# THE POLITICAL AND SOCIAL THOUGHT OF KWAME NKRUMAH

## **AMA BINEY**



# The Political and Social Thought of Kwame Nkrumah

# The Political and Social Thought of Kwame Nkrumah

Ama Biney





#### THE POLITICAL AND SOCIAL THOUGHT OF KWAME NKRUMAH

Copyright © Ama Biney, 2011.

All rights reserved.

First published in 2011 by PALGRAVE MACMILLAN® in the United States—a division of St. Martin's Press LLC, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010.

Where this book is distributed in the UK, Europe and the rest of the world, this is by Palgrave Macmillan, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited, registered in England, company number 785998, of Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS.

Palgrave Macmillan is the global academic imprint of the above companies and has companies and representatives throughout the world.

Palgrave® and Macmillan® are registered trademarks in the United States, the United Kingdom, Europe and other countries.

ISBN: 978-0-230-11334-3

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Biney, Ama.

The political and social thought of Kwame Nkrumah / by Ama Biney. p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-230-11334-3 (alk. paper)

1. Nkrumah, Kwame, 1909–1972—Political and social views. 2. Ghana—Politics and government—1957–1979. 3. National liberation movements—Ghana—Philosophy. 4. National liberation movements—Africa—Philosophy. 5. Pan-Africanism. I. Title.

DT512.3.N57B52 2011 320.54'9092-dc22

2010040966

A catalogue record of the book is available from the British Library.

Design by Scribe Inc.

First edition: April 2011

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America.

To Tajudeen Abdul-Raheem and all those unknown Africans killed prematurely as he prophetically and ironically said, "through inadequate public services compromised by corruption. Monies meant for drugs, roads, hospitals, schools, public security, etc. . . . are siphoned away making all of us vulnerable to premature death."

—Tajudeen Abdul-Raheem, "Corrupt Leaders are Mass Murderers"

## Contents

Acl	ix	
Abl	breviations	xi
1	The Discourse on Nkrumah	1
2	Nkrumah's Intellectual Influences, 1927–1945	11
3	From Activist to Leader of the CPP, 1945–1951	29
4	Nkrumah and the Dyarchic Partnership, 1951–1954	47
5	Nkrumah and the Opposition, 1954–1957	65
6	Nkrumah's Politics, 1958–1966	81
7	Economic, Social, and Cultural Policies, 1958–1966	99
8	Nkrumah's Political Writings, 1958–1966	119
9	Nkrumah's Foreign Policy, 1958–1966	135
10	Nkrumah in Exile, 1966–1972	155
11	Nkrumah in Retrospect	173
No	tes	193
Bib	liography	233
Ind	ev	243

## Acknowledgments

As students of history we can learn to honour great men and women without shutting our eyes to their faults. Perhaps these human imperfections make their achievements all the more heroic.

-Michael Stanford, A Companion to the Study of History, p. 41.

My fascination with Kwame Nkrumah began in my late teens during my undergraduate studies at the University of Birmingham in 1983 where I encountered Nkrumah as a larger-than-life figure and then in my years as member of the United Kingdom Chapter of the All African People's Revolutionary Party (AAPRP) led by Kwame Ture, formerly known as Stokely Carmichael. At Birmingham, Nkrumah was vilified in the readings I came across and by lecturers. In the AAPRP, he was shrouded in hagiography; critique was inconceivable.

My interest in Nkrumah eventually led to my formal enrollment as a part-time PhD student at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS), University of London in 1998, under the supervision of Prof. Richard Rathbone and later Dr. John Parker. At this juncture I was, as most doctoral students were, unclear on what to focus on. It was June Milne, Nkrumah's literary executrix whom I met back in 1990, who inspired me to consider the subject of Pan-Africanism and Nkrumah. She wrote a reference for me and continued to offer encouragement and research materials at her wonderful house in Pinner, just outside central London. Gradually it became clear that the evolution of Nkrumah's ideas, essentially what motivated Nkrumah, was of immense interest to me. This became the focus of my doctoral dissertation and is the subject matter of this book. However, my approach to Nkrumah is encapsulated in the quotation from Michael Stanford that begins these words of acknowledgment. All great figures of history are simply flawed human beings, like all of us. How these defects and strengths in human character impact on their agency, vision, and material reality makes an analysis of the past fascinating.

Along my intellectual sojourn, I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors Richard Rathbone for his encouragement, his infectious enthusiasm, and for passing me into the rigorous supervision of John Parker when he retired in 2003.

While in Accra, the director of the national archives of Ghana, Cletus Azangweo was persistently welcoming and pleasant in his offers of afternoon tea and bottles of cold water; Mr. Mensah, senior archivist; William Ashaley and Killian Onai were efficient and helpful. Winifred Hassan at the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS), University of London Library, along with my line manager, Anna Byers at Kensington and Chelsea College, who permitted me to pursue scholarly activity, deserve special mention.

My gratitude also extends to the School of Oriental and African Studies for a research student fellowship and the Royal Historical Society that financially supported my field trips to Ghana in both 1999 and 2002 and to the United States in 2003. A part-time researcher balancing work and study immensely appreciated these funds.

To the late Tajudeen Abdul-Raheem, my adopted "senior brother" who shared with me his thoughts, survived my badgering, and was a colossal source of strength and moral comfort when occasionally times were tough—I miss you profoundly and express my immense gratitude to you. A big thank you to my mother, Gladys Charlotte Biney for accompanying me on my 2002 trip to Ghana. To my father, Charles Otoo Biney and cousin Kofi Ofori-Duodu—thank you for chasing some materials for me at Legon, as the request was last minute and as they say in Ghana "by force!" To my good friends and colleagues: Molara Solanke, Heather Gunter-Harewood, Gamal Nkrumah, Wassa Fatti, Iyiola Solanke, Kwesi Adabunu, Mohammed Umar, Marilyn Naden, Joseph Kingsley-Nyinah, and Ivan Gibbons—your encouragement and the many times you listened and inquired of my progress are deeply appreciated. To Michelle and Tao at the SOAS IT help desk-thank you so much for the last-minute technical assistance! To Yaa Osun and Tunde Osun, who were complete strangers to me but welcomed me into their lovely home in northwest Washington, DC, so that I could access the Moorland Spingarn-Center at Howard University in the summer of 2003—your genuine Pan-African hospitality was deeply appreciated. To my publisher, Palgrave-MacMillan, particularly, Chris Chappell, Sarah Whalen, and Ciara Vincent; Colleen Cantrell at Scribe; and other faces unknown to me—yet silently working behind the scenes to put the book together—your patience, swift responses to e-mail correspondence and technical support were hugely invaluable. Lastly, I sincerely thank David Owusu-Ansah for his reading of the manuscript and attentive constructive criticism; A. B. Assensoh and Patricia Daley for their regular insistence and encouragement that I get the work published over the years that it lay dormant. Without their regular inquiries, the work may never have been published.

Lastly, while I owe an enormous debt to others, the shortcomings in this work are my sole responsibility.

## **Abbreviations**

AAC African Affairs Centre

AACPC All African Committee for Political Coordination

AAPC All African People's Conference

AAPRA All African People's Revolutionary Army
AAPRP All African People's Revolutionary Party

AAPSP All African Socialist Party

AAS African Affairs Secretariat

AAS Association of African Students

AATUF All African Trade Union Federation

ANC African National Congress

ARPS Aborigines Rights Protection Society

AU African Union

AYA Asante Youth Association AYC African Youth Command BAA Bureau of African Affairs

CA Constitutive Act

CAA Council of African Affairs

CIAS Conference of Independent African States

CMB Cocoa Marketing Board
CPC Cocoa Purchasing Company

CPGB Communist Party of Great Britain

CPP Convention People's Party

CYO Committee of Youth Organisations

FLN Front de Liberacion Nacional/the National

Liberation Front of Algeria

#### xii • Abbreviations

GCCMB Gold Coast Cocoa Marketing Board

GCP Ghana Congress Party

GCTUC Gold Coast Trade Union Congress
GNTC Ghana National Trading Corporation
GCTUC Gold Coast Trade Union Congress
GYPM Ghana Young Pioneer Movement

ICFTU International Confederation of Free Trade Unions

KNII Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute
KNWS Kwame Nkrumah Welfare Society
LSE London School of Economics
MAP Muslim Association Party

MI military intelligence

MNC Mouvement Nacional Congolais/ Congolese National Movement

NAG National Archive of Ghana

NASSO National Association of Socialist Students Organization

NCBWA National Congress of British West Africa NCGW National Council of Ghanaian Women

NDC National Democratic Congress
 NDP National Democratic Party
 NLC National Liberation Council
 NLM National Liberation Movement

NPP Northern People's Party

NRC National Redemption Council
NSC National Security Council

NUGS National Union of Ghanaian Students

OAU Organisation of African Unity

OCAM Organisation Commune Africaine et Malgache

ONUC United Nations Operation in the Congo

PA Positive Action

PAC Pan-African Congress
PAF Pan-African Federation

PAIGC Party for the Independence of Guinea and

Cape Verde Islands

PANYMO Pan-African Youth Movement
PDA Preventive Detention Act

PDD Presidential Detail Department PDG Parti Democratique de Guinea PFA Parti de la Federation Africaine

PNDC Provisional National Defence Council

PNP People's National Party

POGR President's Own Guard Regiment

PPP People's Progressive Party
PVAs Party Vanguard Activists

TA Tactical ActionTC Togoland CongressTUC Trades Union CongressUAR United Arab Republic

UDI Universal Declaration of Independence

UGCC United Gold Coast Convention

UN United Nations

UNIA Universal Negro Improvement Association

UP United Party

VRP Volta River Project

WANS West African National Secretariat
WASU West African Students Union

WFTU World Federation of Trades Union

YPM Young Pioneer Movement YPI Young Pioneers Institute

### **CHAPTER 1**

### The Discourse on Nkrumah

Fundamentally, I do not believe in the great men theory of history, but I do think that so-called great men of history merely personify the synthesis of the tangled web of the material and historical forces at play.

-Kwame Nkrumah, "Nkrumah's Private Notes"1

The year 2007 marked fifty years since Ghana's independence, which ignited waves of African independence struggles across the continent. Kwame Nkrumah was a central figure in those tumultuous struggles of that era. It was a period also entangled with Cold War tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union as both countries were engaged in a contest for the mantle of world leadership.

"Show Boy"—as Nkrumah was referred to—possessed both a charismatic and controversial personality. His politics—domestically, on the world stage, and on the Pan-African stage was equally controversial. In a post-Cold War world and with historical events placed firmly in the past, a greater sense of perspective becomes possible in soberly reassessing Nkrumah's role and contribution. The specific task of this book is to analyze the political, social, and cultural thought of Kwame Nkrumah, one of twentieth-century Africa's most important nationalist leaders. Nkrumah's historical reputation is shrouded in considerable ambivalence and controversy. His performance as independent Ghana's first leader and his policies on the domestic, African, and international stage have continued to generate lively debate within African studies and in popular forums. African listeners to British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) Focus on Africa reflected the popularity of Nkrumah in a poll in December 1999. Nkrumah was voted as "Africa's Man of the Millennium." Charles Abugre suggests that Nkrumah's legacy is far from monolithic: "Dead politicians are different things to different people. Both their good and their wrong define the goal posts and hence the playing fields upon which the survivors take their positions in society. Their good is usurped, their failures exhumed and magnified as appropriate and in accordance with creed. It is in the nature of humanity to review the past, for in doing so we not

only define our own essence but also seek to learn lessons if we genuinely desire to do so." Shakespeare wrote that "the evil that men do lives after them but the good is interred with their bones." Of deceased political figures, Abdul-Raheem contends, "Politically, victims and beneficiaries remember both. It is the balance between the two [the good and the bad achievements] that determines their place in the politics of memory, which, like all memories, is prone to being selective." Even General J. A. Ankrah, who headed the Supreme Military Council that took over Ghana after the February 24, 1966, coup d'état that toppled Nkrumah, confirmed that his place in African history had been assured. In short, Nkrumah has been vilified and revered for both his failures and achievements by scholars and ordinary people alike.

In the 1950s, Ghana and Kenya emerged as the two models of British decolonization on the African continent. The former was symbolic of the peaceful and constitutional route in the transfer of power and the latter of the more violent path. Both countries were constantly in the news and their nationalist leaders, Nkrumah and Jomo Kenyatta, became household names. Nkrumah became a disciple of Mahatma Gandhi's nonviolent strategy of "Satyagraha" (soul force), which he coined as "Positive Action." This strategy was diametrically opposed to the armed struggle of the Mau Mau, which Kenyatta was erroneously associated with. These antithetical decolonizing strategies alarmed the British authorities. In the climate of Cold War suspicions and tensions, both leaders were suspected of being communists and using violence as an illegitimate method of agitation to achieve their political ends. Both leaders were imprisoned by the British and used the term "prison graduate" to consolidate their status as nationalist leaders.

A broad literature on Ghana and Nkrumah emerged in the 1960s. Early scholarly writings included political histories of the country<sup>5</sup> and a plethora of biographical work.<sup>6</sup> Other emphases have included the nature of the handover of power in Ghana;<sup>7</sup> the emergence of political opposition to Nkrumah's Convention People's Party (CPP);<sup>8</sup> the rise and nature of the one-party state Nkrumah created in independent Ghana;<sup>9</sup> and his economic policies from 1957 to 1966.<sup>10</sup>

As Cooper maintains, "There is a particular poignancy to the history of Ghana because it was the pioneer. Kwame Nkrumah was more than a political leader; he was a prophet of independence, of anti-imperialism, of Pan-Africanism. His oftquoted phrase 'Seek ye first the political kingdom' was not just a call for Ghanaians to demand a voice in the affairs of state, but a plea for leaders and ordinary citizens to use power for a purpose—to transform a colonized society into a dynamic and prosperous land of opportunity." Similarly, Amilcar Cabral, the Guinea-Bissau leader, characterized Nkrumah in his eulogy as "the strategist of genius in the struggle against classic colonialism." Hodgkin observed that Nkrumah's "radical Pan-Africanism had an influence on the attitudes and behaviour of a substantial body of people." In terms of the positive impact of Nkrumah, the founding president of Namibia, Sam Nujoma, maintains, "Ghana's fight for freedom inspired and influenced us all, and the greatest contribution to our political awareness at that time came from the achievements of Ghana after its independence. It was from Ghana that we got the idea that we must do more than just petition the UN

[United Nations] to bring about our own independence." <sup>14</sup> Kenneth Kaunda, who led Zambia to independence, claims, "Nkrumah inspired many people of Africa towards independence and was a great supporter of the liberation of southern Africa from apartheid and racism." <sup>15</sup> Nkrumah's uncompromising announcement that "the independence of Ghana is meaningless unless linked to the total liberation of the African continent" translated into moral, logistical, and material support for dependent territories across the African continent. However, in the postindependence period it led him to be the focus of opprobrium from neighboring African leaders who considered his actions in aiding political dissidents as interference in the sovereignty of other states.

Nkrumah was central to the major debates and issues of the decolonization period of the 1950s and 1960s. Among these was the emergence of the modernization paradigm, which assumed that newly independent states would seek to imitate European systems of governance, economic growth, and values in order to build cohesive nation-states. 16 In attempting to forge national unity among disparate ethnic and religious groups, the belief was that these newly independent states would abandon tradition for "modernity." Nugent claims "a general sense of optimism was also reflected in the writings of an emergent community of Africanist scholars" during this time. 17 By the end of the 1970s, modernization theories had long been discredited. The mood of optimism had dissipated and was transformed into "Afro-pessimism" during the 1980s and 1990s. In the aftermath of independence, "a combination of charisma and efficacious leadership generated widespread popular support and legitimacy for the new leaders. However, legitimacy was highly contextualised in the sense that the mobilised masses developed an instrumentalist conception of political independence. They viewed it as a prelude to material progress and social welfare. In short, legitimacy was based on a fundamental African social compact in which the new political elites promised, at least implicitly, to produce less poverty and less inequality, in exchange for popular support."18 Implicit in Nkrumah's famous dictum "Seek ye first the political kingdom and all else shall be added unto you" was the promise of an economic paradise and accompanying riches for Ghanaian citizens of the newly independent state. It led Nkrumah in April 1957 to accept President Houphouet-Boigny's challenge as to which country (Ghana or Côte d'Ivoire) would be more developed in ten years. The "West African wager," as it became known, was part of the era's focus on the efficacy of development strategies. Nkrumah moved further to the political left and Ivory Coast espoused commitment to a free-market economy and reliance on French technical expertise and private investment.

Nkrumah lost his wager with Houphouet-Boigny, failing to transform Ghana into an economic paradise. <sup>19</sup> Whether this was on account of the socialist shift he made in 1961 is debatable, for Ivory Coast was economically aided by its former colonial master, France. However, as Young argues, "the Nkrumah shift in 1961 appeared part of a much broader movement in Africa" that was committed to creating a more egalitarian society on socialist lines in achieving material prosperity. <sup>20</sup> Along with Friedland and Rosberg, he maintains that the ideological

spectrum broadened during the first two decades of African independence and socialism became an attractive ideology to several African leaders.<sup>21</sup> Similarly, Killick contends that Nkrumah's adoption of a socialist economic strategy was part of the general trend toward development economics adopted by many developing countries at the time.<sup>22</sup>

Green also subscribes to the view that Nkrumah's socialist economic strategy was flawed by weak implementation, but despite this it was a rational and prudent policy choice.<sup>23</sup> Ghana under Nkrumah was one among what Friedland and Rosberg characterize as the "first wave" of socialist regimes in the 1960s.<sup>24</sup> Countries in this "first wave" included Tanzania, Algeria, Guinea, Mali, Libya, Egypt, and Tunisia. Collectively, this broad group is characterized by Young as "populist socialism" or "African socialism" on account of the fact that a socialist perspective shaped—or at least legitimated—major policy decisions in these countries.<sup>25</sup> As Young states, "Despite the tendency of socialism to dominate ideological discourse, it was never in reality the most widespread guide to policy choice in the 1960s because nobody loved capitalism...there was something shameful about openly espousing it."26 Such a stance led some countries such as Malawi, Cameroon, and the Ivory Coast to describe themselves as "pragmatic," as they remained uncomfortable with the term "capitalist."

While some scholars such as Young and Metz place Nkrumah firmly in the "African socialism" school of thought, in 1966, in an article titled "African Socialism," Nkrumah clearly distanced himself from this brand of socialism.<sup>27</sup> Metz maintains that compared to Nyerere, Nkrumah's theoretical position on socialism adhered more closely to Marxist orthodoxy. Nkrumah subscribed to dialectical materialist analysis and believed that African society was a fusion of the traditional African way of life and Euro-Christian and Islamic influences. He did not urge a return to an idyllic traditional African society, as his contemporary Nyerere did. The term "scientific socialism" was eventually adopted by several African countries in the late 1960s and 1970s, including Congo-Brazaville, Ethiopia, Angola, and Mozambique. Political labels aside, Young contends that we should "not expect ideology alone will explain relative success or failure in achieving the central goal of a better life for the citizenry."28 Political effectiveness is equally important in policy implementation in order to achieve increased material prosperity.

It is the argument of this book that Nkrumah was profoundly motivated by an ideological vision of radical socioeconomic development for both Ghana and a united Africa along socialist lines. As Young observes "ideology is not to be dismissed as simple, evanescent rhetoric" and since "few rulers are such philosophically inspired kings as to apply ideology alone to policy reason," this book seeks to examine Nkrumah's efforts to transform Ghana and Africa according to his radical vision.<sup>29</sup> While Nkrumah was ideologically motivated, he was also a pragmatist who was not bound to ideological dogmatism. Consequently, his vision was on occasion in tension with flawed and misjudged policy decisions that appeared inconsistent with his ideological preference. As Young writes, "Such dissonance may be rationalised as either not truly inconsistent with ideology correctly understood or as a conscious and temporary departure from rectitude; it does not annul the worldview with which it is in tension."<sup>30</sup> Therefore, it is essential to study Nkrumah's ideological vision of the world and how he sought to transform Ghana and Africa if we seek to understand Nkrumah as a nationalist and Pan-Africanist.

Another debate in the literature in which Nkrumah surfaces relates to the nature of the one-party state and neopatrimonialism that emerged with his government. Mohan, Fitch, and Oppenheimer belong to the Marxian school of thought, which argues that Nkrumah's CPP traveled the path of neocolonial accommodation by inheriting Western parliamentary institutions and permitting Ghana's future economic development to be inextricably tied to Western finance capital. In so doing, Nkrumah enabled a Ghanaian petty bourgeoisie to dominate the party, state, and wider society by their access to state resources for self-enrichment. They contend that no fundamental structural change took place in Ghana's economy during Nkrumah's years in power.<sup>31</sup> At the time of the 1966 coup, the economy remained Western-orientated despite the intention of the Seven Year Plan (1964–1970) to increase economic trade with the Eastern bloc and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). Instead, a stifling state bureaucracy emerged alongside an undemocratic party that no longer represented the interests of the majority of Ghanaians.

Contributing to the literature on personal rule in Africa are a number of writers.<sup>32</sup> Mazrui is among those that characterized Nkrumah as "the Leninist Czar," while Marable referred to him as "the Bonapartist benefactor."<sup>33</sup> They concur on the growing corruption and bureaucratization within the Ghanaian state, along with the cult of personality, as factors that led to an increasing concentration of power in Nkrumah's hands. In addition, they argue that Nkrumah, consciously or unconsciously, modeled himself on Lenin and Napoleon. In a far more trenchant critique, Pobee, Bretton, Omari, and Lacouture depict Nkrumah as a tyrannical megalomaniac.<sup>34</sup> Mazrui also concurs that "Kwame Nkrumah started as a democrat and ended his political career as a dictator."<sup>35</sup>

Other analyses of Nkrumah and postindependence African politics focus on the nature of the state inherited at independence and how nationalist leaders reconfigured state-society relations. Young's comparative work on the colonial state in Africa and elsewhere rests on the premise that the new nationalist leaders inherited the repressive structures of the colonial state. The "Bula Matari" complex impacted negatively and pervasively on the new postindependent African states. In essence, after formal decolonization the African state continued to remain external to the citizen; African governments failed to engage their citizens in meaningful political participation; the state remained predatory and alien but in a new though equally repressive configuration wielded by new African elites. The state became an instrument by which African elites enriched themselves at the expense of the citizens and the latter saw their rights being increasingly eroded by a state that failed to produce the most basic of services. Instead the spoils of the state were distributed among those who considered themselves to be the "gatekeepers" of the state.<sup>37</sup>

6

Cooper argues that such patron-client relations were not peculiar to nationalist leaders but also colonial officials. However, the new African leaders "had trouble making the nation-state into a symbol that inspired lovalty."38 Both Cooper and Mbembe examine the nature of the postcolonial state that emerged across the African continent. Cooper emphasizes that "gatekeeper states are thus not 'African' institutions, nor are they 'European' impositions; they emerged out of a peculiar Euro-African history."39 In the case of Ghana, Cooper maintains that "even when Nkrumah became leader of the Gold Coast in 1951, he was operating under serious constraints."40 He was reliant on cocoa revenues to diversify the economy and was in search of much-needed Western finance and technology to develop the country. The weaknesses of Nkrumah and many African leaders of this period, according to Cooper, was how they conceived of unity and political dissent: "Gatekeeper states' insistence on the unity of the people and the need for national discipline revealed the fragility of their all-or-nothing control; they left little room for seeing opposition as legitimate."41 Neither did ordinary citizens have an opportunity to influence politics at the local level, for local government was given little autonomy.

"The politics of the belly," as Bayart contends, not only produces patronclient relationships, for "corruption and predatoriness are not found exclusively amongst the powerful."<sup>42</sup> The norms and modes of conduct exercised by the rich elite also permeate the thinking and conduct of their citizens—the little women and men—who also find unscrupulous means of taking their slice of the national cake. Moreover, since independence the struggle has been one not only for material survival but for a share in democratic government.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, in postindependent Africa a conflict emerged in which the youth, women, and urban workers sought to challenge the balance of power and redistribution of wealth in society.<sup>44</sup> In Ghana, workers, supported by market women, challenged the Nkrumahist state in 1961 and by the end of Nkrumah's government, much of the general population had become disillusioned with CPP rule.

Scholars such as Austin, Apter, Davidson, and James initially wrote positively on the achievements of the CPP between 1948 and 1957. <sup>45</sup> Austin's disillusionment with Nkrumah commenced with the postindependence period in which he considered Nkrumah's role as "an African Tsar" presiding over an intolerant nationalist party. <sup>46</sup> For Austin, "the circumstances of the time," <sup>47</sup> together with the insensitivity and intransigence of the opposition "to act more prudently" were factors affecting the outcome of the developments during the 1957–1960 period. <sup>48</sup>

In 1964, Nkrumah transformed Ghana into a one-party state. Even prior to this, trade unions, women's organizations, and youth groups had become integral wings of his ruling CPP. In addition to this, the independence of the judiciary was seriously undermined in 1963 when Nkrumah sacked the chief justice. Nkrumah also encouraged a cult of personality that gave rise to acolytes in the form of "Nkrumaists." The centralizing machinery of the CPP state was all embracing. For Austin, "Single-party rule was achieved and defended not because the leaders believed it to be the price to be paid for securing the safety of the state but because it matched their own interpretation of the nationalist revolution to

which they laid exclusive claim."<sup>49</sup> Since Nkrumah was the nation's leader, it was his interpretation of the nationalist revolution that prevailed.

A central argument of this book is that although Nkrumah may be remembered for establishing the template of single-party rule and a bloated state bureaucracy, he was by no means the exception during this phase of Africa's history. Thirty-eight years since Nkrumah's death, scholars are now more capable of soberly reassessing Nkrumah's performance within a broader context of the historical, political, economic, and social trends of the period. One of the important legacies of the postcolonial state was the type of state structures it inherited from the colonial masters. As Cooper and Young contend, the postcolonial state followed in the footsteps of its predecessor, the colonial state, by collecting relatively little revenue from Africa's urban classes and peasants.<sup>50</sup> Mbembe argues that the colonial and postcolonial state claimed a total monopoly of politics. "Commandment" was premised on a regime of privileges and immunities for the ruling elite that excluded the majority.<sup>51</sup> In Nkrumah's Ghana, it was evident that those who had access to such privileges were members of the CPP. Nkrumah inherited the colonial state and failed to transform it into a meaningful democratic institution in the lives of ordinary Ghanaian citizens. Rather, the state was considered an instrument of nepotism and self-enrichment.

A further argument of the book is that a fundamental influence on political, economic, and social developments in Ghana between 1957 and 1966 was Nkrumah's own ideology: his conception of the world, his convictions, and his ambitions. The approach adopted is to critically examine Nkrumah's ideas and beliefs as reflected in the body of his written work and numerous speeches. He is one of the few African heads of state who has left for posterity published work.

Nkrumah's ideological perspective has not been seriously and sufficiently examined. With the exception of a handful of work—such as that of Botwe-Asamoah, who tends to emphasize the cultural aspect of Nkrumah's ideology, or of Killick, who is generally sympathetic to the ideological convictions that underpinned Nkrumah's move toward "development economics," or the rather abstract work of Afari-Gvan that fails to relate Nkrumah's ideas to his performance in power—a serious examination of Nkrumah's intellectual thought is lacking.<sup>52</sup> The works of Poe, Botwe-Asamoah, and Rahman tend to fall into an uncritical Afrocentric examination of Nkrumah's ideology within a hagiographic tradition.<sup>53</sup> A critical contextual approach that fuses a discussion of ideology, political performance, events, personality, and agency into a single perspective is necessary in examining Nkrumah's life. The contention is that it is important to understand the role of political, social, and cultural beliefs in the lives of political leaders. There is a relationship between ideas as they are conceptualized, lived, and implemented. Ideology is critical in understanding political figures and what motivates individuals to act. Agyeman defines ideology as "a political belief system with a commitment either to sustain, modify, or overthrow the existing order."54 Fundamental to Nkrumah's ideological outlook was the concept of unity. Agyeman argues that "the richness of Nkrumah's thought lies precisely in the unity of his political, philosophical and sociological ideas."55

The aim of this book is to understand Nkrumah as a complex character rather than as a one-dimensional, larger-than-life figure. Much of the scholarly writing has paid attention to Nkrumah as a shrewd political operator, a nationalist figure, and a politician but he remains an unpredictable character to define. Perhaps this is due to the fact that he erected an almost impenetrable barrier around himself and maintained a jealously guarded separation between his public and private life. Nevertheless, there exists a human side to this political figure that has been marginalized in the literature. The ordinary interests, pastimes, and activities he engaged in contribute to a roundedness of character in addition to his weaknesses and strengths and underpin what is intended to be an intellectual biography of Nkrumah.

This work seeks to demonstrate the continuing relevance of Nkrumah on various levels: in contemporary Ghanaian affairs, on the African continent, and within the diasporic African community. In Ghana, domestic politics since Nkrumah's death in 1972 has seen the rise of a plethora of Nkrumaist parties, which have continued to remain divided as a result of bitter ideological feuds and personality differences. The New Patriotic Party (NPP) considers itself the intellectual heir of Dr. J. B. Danguah and Dr. K. A. Busia, who were Nkrumah's arch ideological opponents. At the continental level and at the level of contemporary globalization, there has been a renewed interest in Pan-Africanism along with a reassessment of Nkrumah. At an institutional level, Nkrumah's ideas of African unity have seen the transformation of the Organisation of African Unity (OAU), which he helped found in 1963, into the African Union (AU) in 2002. Nkrumah was a passionate advocate of what he conceived of as "Continental Union Government for Africa." He considered the United States and the former USSR as among the many models for the political unification of Africa and he relentlessly championed Pan-Africanism. Yet his vision of unity considered capitalism as exploitative of human beings and, therefore, undesirable as a generator of wealth in a future "Continental Union Government for Africa."56

Nkrumah's political, social, and cultural thought, as contained in his writings and speeches, reflects a coherent thought process. He wrote on a plethora of intellectual themes, such as decolonization, neocolonialism, imperialism, political economy, African freedom and unity, history, and African social and cultural philosophy. Some of his ideas evolved over time while certain convictions remained unchanged.

Nkrumah's work, thought, and policies continue to be relevant to a contemporary analysis of the African continent. His ideas belong to a radical intellectual canon of African political thought alongside Frantz Fanon, Sékou Touré, Leopold Senghor, Jomo Kenyatta, Julius Nyerere, and Amilcar Cabral.<sup>57</sup> However, there were tensions in some of his ideas and contradictions in his policies that shall be explored.

It is cliché to state that Nkrumah was a product of his time. However, he was part of a Cold War era in which the Soviet Union and United States fought for influence on the global stage. A great deal of the literature and documents, including those produced by the British Colonial Office, reflect this Cold War prism in which the rest of the world was viewed.

The 1950s and 1960s saw some African leaders embracing socialist ideology, but as Cooper points out, "the national focus of African elites in the mid 1950s represented a shrinking of spatial perspectives."58 It was both Leopold Senghor of Senegal and Nkrumah who sought to revive a broader perspective. Senghor advocated equality for Africans within the French Union. However, "Nkrumah's hopes for a United States of Africa achieved little support from African leaders intent on protecting the sovereignty they had so strenuously fought for."59 During the 1960s, proponents of African unity considered the prospect of various forms of supranational federations. However, lack of political will and increasing self-interest made the realization of such perspectives unviable. Yet there is no political figure on the African continent who waged the struggle for Pan-African unity with more indefatigable energy than Nkrumah. He was the embodiment of a specific historical era in Ghanaian and African history. Nkrumah's words that begin this chapter demonstrate his understanding of the importance of individuals in making history. However, as Marx stated, "Men make their own history. But they do not make it just as they please; they do not make it under circumstances chosen by themselves, but under given circumstances directly encountered, given and transmitted from the past."60

Thirty-eight years since his death, the ideas and issues that Nkrumah lived for and wrote about continue to reverberate across the continent. In his controversial book *Neo-Colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism*, published in 1965, Nkrumah denounced the rampaging nature of multinational companies, Africa's dependency on aid, debt, and increasing poverty in the absence of greater continental economic and political integration. As Mazrui points out, Nkrumah's book, like Lenin's more famous *Imperialism: The Last Stage of Capitalism*, identified the negative side of the current phenomenon of globalization that prevails.<sup>61</sup> For Nkrumah, African unity was neither the dream nor the fantasy that his detractors and enemies accused him of. He considered African unity a precondition for the survival of Africa and Africans.

It seems that in order to arrest Africa's increasing powerlessness in a harsh global economic environment, the OAU's transformation into the AU in 2002 (which was attended by over thirty African heads of state) was a rekindling of Nkrumah's ambitions for greater political and economic unity. Despite continuing differences of opinion on the meaning of unity and strategies to attain greater integration among African leaders, it appears that Nkrumah's economic, political, and cultural thought continues to have a contemporary relevance to a new generation of politicians, scholars, and African people—both in the Diaspora and on the continent itself.

### **CHAPTER 2**

# Nkrumah's Intellectual Influences, 1927–1945

#### Gold Coast Student, 1927-1935

Nkrumah's autobiography sheds light on the influences that shaped his thinking during his school days. However, his *Autobiography* must be read as a profoundly political document. It was written after he became prime minister of the Gold Coast in 1951. He dictated his life experiences to his British secretary, Erica Powell, and it was first published in 1957, the same year as the country's independence. It is, therefore, not a dispassionate account.

Although Nkrumah was born in 1909 in the small, relatively poor village of Nkroful in the Nzima region of the southwest of the Gold Coast, his mother was determined that he receive an education. It appears she had an early influence on his view of life and attitude toward education. Of Nkrumah's father, little is known, except that according to Nkrumah, he was "a man of strong character" and polygamous.

It was during his period in primary school that he came under the influence of a German Roman Catholic priest, George Fischer, who converted both mother and son to Catholicism and paid for Nkrumah's primary education.<sup>3</sup> Nkrumah wrote, "In those days I took my religion seriously and was very often to be found serving at Mass. As I grew older, however, the strict discipline of Roman Catholicism stifled me. It was not that I became any less religious but rather that I sought freedom in the worship of and communion with my God, for my God is a very personal God and can only be reached direct."<sup>4</sup>

In his 1957 *Autobiography*, Nkrumah declared, "I am a non-denominational Christian and a Marxist Socialist and I have not found any contradiction between the two." These apparently irreconcilable positions and his break with religion will be explored later in the book. However, between the ages of approximately 6 and 17, Roman Catholicism shaped his beliefs and conduct.

Around the age of 17, Francis Nkrumah, as he was known, was a pupil-teacher for one year at a school in Half-Assini and was noticed by the principal of the Government Training College who visited the school in 1926.6 This opportunity became a life-changing one, as he came into contact with Dr. James Kwegyir Aggrey, assistant vice principal of the Government Training College.<sup>7</sup> Of Aggrey, Nkrumah wrote, "To me he seemed the most remarkable man that I had ever met and I had the deepest affection for him. He possessed intense vitality and enthusiasm and a most infectious laugh that seemed to bubble up from his heart, and he was a very great orator. It was through him that my nationalism was first aroused."8

Although Nkrumah was not formally taught by Aggrey, he "drew much inspiration and encouragement from his Sunday evening sermons."9 In fact, in one of Nkrumah's classes when a tutor continued to divide Africa's ethnic groups, Nkrumah expressed his disapproval and interrupted with the following words: "You're wrong: Dr. Aggrey has told us that all Africans are one." According to Nkrumah, in discussions with students, Aggrey would attack Marcus Garvey's principle of "Africa for Africans." Nkrumah agreed with Aggrey on cooperation between black and white people but had reservations. He argued, "I could not, even at that time, accept this idea of Aggrey's as being practicable, for I maintained that such harmony can only exist when the black race is treated as equal to the white race; that only a free and independent people—a people with a government of their own—can claim equality, racial or otherwise, with another people."11

This difference of opinion between Nkrumah and his mentor is important, as it indicates the beginnings of independent intellectual growth on Nkrumah's part. These were perhaps the embryonic forms of Nkrumah's nationalist convictions. He believed in the oneness of African people. Yet his belief in racial equality was based on the inalienable principles of freedom and self-determination for all peoples. He, therefore, went one step further than Aggrey in demanding political independence for African people.

Aggrey's sudden death in 1927 affected Nkrumah deeply. 12 Nevertheless, he continued with his studies at the Government Training College. Despite his serious academic attitude, he also made time for amateur dramatics, Asafo dancing, sport, and "tribal drumming." 13 While at the Government Training College his withdrawal from Catholicism increased.<sup>14</sup> His irregular attendance at church was swiftly brought to the attention of the bishop, who summoned him. Nkrumah explained himself but continued to adhere to his inner religious convictions. Thereafter, he discontinued attending mass but maintained a respectful hourly silence in the dormitory. 15 At this early stage of Nkrumah's life, his refusal to attend mass indicated a strong character rejecting conformity even under the pressure of established spiritual authority. He did not relent and his irregular attendance did not disqualify him from subsequently being elected prefect. In this role, he helped establish the Aggrey Students' Society, founded in April 1928, in memory of the vice principal. 16 As a debating forum, the society was an important training ground through which Nkrumah and his colleagues acquired oratorical skills.

Nkrumah recorded his period at Achimota<sup>17</sup> (from 1927 to 1930) to be his "happiest," for it was a time during which he read at leisure and engaged in intellectual debate with colleagues.<sup>18</sup> At the age of twenty, he was employed as a primary school teacher at a Catholic school at Elmina. A year later, in 1931, he was promoted head teacher of another Catholic school at Axim. During his spare time, he helped set up the Nzima Literature Society. It was through the society that he met another individual, Mr. S. R. Wood, secretary of the National Congress of British West Africa (NCBWA), who was to have a huge impact on his political thinking.<sup>19</sup> According to Nkrumah, it was Mr. Wood who introduced him to politics through their long discussions.<sup>20</sup> Wood strongly encouraged him to travel to the United States to continue his studies at Lincoln University and wrote a letter of reference for him.

Encouragement to travel to the United States is also very likely to have emanated from Aggrey. According to Nkrumah, "It was because of my great admiration for Aggrey, both as a man and as a scholar, that I first formed the idea of furthering my studies in the United States of America." It is also very possible that Nkrumah's meetings with the Nigerian publisher Nnamdi Azikiwe further inspired him to travel to the United States to pursue his studies. "Zik," as he was more popularly known, was a graduate of Lincoln University and a nationalist.

In 1930, Nkrumah moved from Axim to the Roman Catholic seminary at Amissano near Elmina. At St. Theresa Catholic seminary, Nkrumah "regained the religious fervor" to the extent of seriously considering becoming a member of the Jesuit order.<sup>22</sup> What caused the strengthening of his religious convictions is intriguing. Such a deep religious calling was in tension with what Nkrumah describes as "the old desire to be up and going, to further my education and to proceed to America in order to do this, got the better of me and I felt that the walls of the seminary would enclose me if I didn't take action."<sup>23</sup>

Nkrumah acknowledged that other significant influences on his nationalist thinking were the ideas expressed in *The African Morning Post*, edited by Nnamdi Azikiwe.<sup>24</sup> The Sierra Leonean agitator I. T. A. Wallace Johnson was a contributor to the paper, and it was his famous article titled "Has the African a God?" that also had a profound impact on Nkrumah's emerging nationalist consciousness. In a defiant style, the article asserted the right of Africans to determine their own destiny and denounced the hypocrisy of European civilization.<sup>25</sup>

Nkrumah's determination to travel to the United States was realized with the assistance of a generous uncle in Lagos who funded his passage together with his own thriftiness in saving. In October 1935, the young Nkrumah traveled first to Britain in order to obtain an American visa. While in London, he heard the news of the Italian invasion of Ethiopia. He maintained, "My nationalism surged to the fore." For many Africans and people of African descent, Ethiopia remained a beacon of pride and nationalistic sentiment, for along with Liberia it had been able to escape colonial rule. The Italian invasion spelled the end of this independence, and political outrage was felt by Africans and people of African descent around the world. 27

It is unknown whether Nkrumah attended any political meetings in London concerning the invasion of Ethiopia.<sup>28</sup> Nevertheless, at this important juncture of his life he already possessed nationalist sentiments; he believed in freedom of

African people. The fiery language and radical outlook of Zik's newspaper and Wood impacted deeply on him. His sojourn in the United States, which was to last for ten years, was to deepen his intellectual growth and political commitment to freeing Africa from colonial rule.

#### Student in America, 1935-1945

Sherwood's study of Nkrumah's student years in America is an important work shedding light on a formative period of his life. Careful research in Lincoln's files, correspondence, and interviews with individuals who taught Nkrumah or were his contemporaries offer rare glimpses into the world in which Nkrumah moved and was shaped by.

Of his undergraduate years from 1935 to 1939, Sherwood writes "Nkrumah was a good student."29 At the age of 26, Nkrumah arrived at Lincoln University with "the equivalent of forty pounds in my pocket, a second-class teacher's certificate and a letter of introduction from Mr. S. R. Wood."30 In 1936, he had won second place in the Kappa Alpha Psi oratorical contest, speaking on "Africa, the burden of the Negro." Two years later, he won the Robert Fleming Labaree Memorial Prize in Social Science, for which he submitted an essay titled "Imperialism: Its Politics, Social and Economic Aspects."31 He graduated in 1939 with a bachelor of arts in economics and sociology.

According to Sherwood, interactions between Africans and African Americans on the Lincoln campus were strained on account of cultural differences.<sup>32</sup> Outside of classes there was little interaction between the few Africans and the African American students.33 The cultural differences were manifested in the studiousness of the African students, and their determination to succeed was often perceived as an air of superiority by African American students.<sup>34</sup> At the beginning of 1939, Nkrumah was joined by fellow countrymen, Ako Adjei and K. A. B. Jones-Ouartey, along with other Africans including Asuogo Udo Idiong and Abdul Karim Disu.

Academic staff who taught Nkrumah observed his personal conduct and intellectual abilities. Dean Grim, Nkrumah's lecturer in general biology, recollected the young Francis as "gentlemanly; of above average intelligence, quick to defend what he felt was right. Quiet and courteous. Strongly individualist."35 Dr. Kuehner, registrar at Lincoln University, remarked, "Good student with limitations in ability at points in higher level college work. Loved controversy. Quiet. Usually withheld his opinions except in debates (on the team). An eager questioner in class. Critical of any criticism of Great Britain, especially by a non-subject. Held strong views. (His concept of primitive man for example)."36

As Nkrumah's nationalist ideas were evolving during his early days at Lincoln, it is peculiar that he was "critical of any criticism of Great Britain." It is possible to surmise that Nkrumah was simultaneously anticolonial as well protective of the British motherland, particularly from students he felt had little understanding of British colonial rule. Had British colonial education inculcated a sense of loyalty in Africans-and even in Nkrumah? Whatever the explanation, if one

accepts the truth of Dr. Kuehner's observation, it reveals some of the contradictions and complexities inherent in Nkrumah's thinking at an early stage. One contemporary, an African American by the name of Beverley Carter, described him as "thoughtful, reflective and considerate. He was the most liked of the foreign students on campus. He mixed well in contrast to a number of others from Africa . . . He seemed to take a personal pleasure from reading the great philosophers . . . Nkrumah was talking about Pan-Africanism throughout his later years at Lincoln. He talked about the independence of the then African colonies in a way that made many think of him as a dreamer." 37

Nkrumah's studiousness earned him the post of philosophy assistant to Dr. Foster in the autumn of 1939. During his vacation, he stayed in Harlem with fellow student Thomas Dosumu-Johnson and frequently visited the Harlem National Memorial Bookstore where he was allowed to read in the back room, as he could not afford to purchase the books. He also visited the Schomburg Collection in Harlem.<sup>38</sup> Earlier, in 1937, the dean of Lincoln, Frank W. Wilson, wrote a letter of introduction to the Council on African Affairs (CAA) on Nkrumah's behalf.<sup>39</sup> Wilson refers to Nkrumah as "a person greatly concerned about the entire African situation."<sup>40</sup> Nkrumah met the secretary of the organization, Max Yergan.

A great amount of Nkrumah's time as a student was spent reading on philosophy, political science, and history. His voracious reading included the work of Kant, Hegel, Descartes, Schopenhauer, Nietzsche, and Freud. Beverley Carter remarked, He was always well prepared but did not make a fetish of studying. He seemed to take great personal pleasure from reading of the great philosophers and this was in many ways a form of relaxation for him. His was in many ways a form of relaxation for him.

Two undated essays reveal Nkrumah's intellectual interest in philosophy. One is titled "Is Man Naturally Moral?" and the other is titled "The Philosophy of Property." The former is a two-page examination of this question from the perspectives of Aristotle, Kant, Rousseau, Hobbes, and Machiavelli as well as Christian, anarchist, and totalitarian perspectives. Nkrumah concluded by stating, "I subscribe to the theory that man is naturally amoral. That is he is non-moral by birth, incapable of being good or bad, but his capability of moral or immoral action is determined as he grows in reason and intelligence amidst the mores and customs of his society." 45

"The Philosophy of Property" examines the evolution of the concept of property from Hebrew, Hindu, Greek, Roman, and medieval perspectives. The church and modern views, including those of Adam Smith and Locke, and the Marxist interpretation are also outlined. It is interesting that in regards to the view of property as advanced by the French socialist Proudhon, Nkrumah shows he is in disagreement with the Marxist conception of ownership. He wrote, "I wholly believe in individual rights and ownership." Earlier in the same piece, he wrote of "the idealism and impracticability of communistic theories." Moreover, he argued "communism seems to be unsuccessful in societies where it has been tried, because its principles are at variance with human nature, and even with the original nature of property itself."

16

Apart from the question of the distribution of property in society, Nkrumah was also preoccupied with the state of the African continent. A contemporary of Nkrumah at Lincoln was Robert T. Freeman Jr., who stated that Nkrumah was committed to a United States of Africa.<sup>48</sup> Another classmate, Dr. J. Jeffrey Higgs, characterized Nkrumah as a "quiet, introspective, serious student, not particularly interested in socializing or engaging in local politics; (he had no) particular interest in African American problems."<sup>49</sup>

The extent of Lincoln's influence on Nkrumah is very difficult to determine. One of his tutors, Dr. J. Newton-Hill, maintains, "Nkrumah did not always make it clear how the institution was affecting him. I think in many areas he seemed to be somewhat affable among his fellow students and among certain members of the faculty. But Nkrumah had his mind pretty well made up when he entered Lincoln University and I don't think he changed his general point of view very much while he was there. So I would say the effect of the institution on him was somewhat minimal except for the educational aspect of the work."

Another incident narrated by Newton-Hill involved the performance of a play at Lincoln, in which Nkrumah played the "reluctant part of a Nubian slave." Furthermore, Newton-Hill claims, "I handed him the copy of the script and told him to read certain passages which I had previously selected. He read them with a complete lack of interest with a marked distaste, for in all those passages the Nubian slave was a rather despicable individual as presented in that play." Yet Nkrumah was not aware that his tutor was testing him. He was told to read the entire play and return to rehearsals the following day. Nkrumah was to learn that the Nubian slave led a mutiny on board a ship and freed all the slaves aboard. He then accepted the part.<sup>51</sup> This incident appears to demonstrate Nkrumah's profound sense of racial dignity and desire for leadership.

Aside from his academic work, which extensively absorbed his intellectual energies, Nkrumah had relationships with a few women and involved himself in political activities. During his period at Lincoln, the majority of students were male. However, the scarcity of friends is also attributable to Nkrumah's single-minded political focus. He made the important comment—albeit retrospectively—that he considered women, religion, and money as forms of entrapment. He wrote,

Unfortunately, the fact that I enjoy women's company has led to a great deal of misunderstanding from those who look at my life from outside. I have never wanted to become too entangled with a woman because I know that I would never be able to devote enough attention to her, that sooner or later whether she was married to me or not, she would begin to wander away from me. I was afraid too, that if I allowed a woman to play too important a part in my life I would gradually lose sight of my goal. Few people have been able to understand this attitude of mine.<sup>52</sup>

Beverley Carter, however, claims that "On the personal side, he was attractive to the opposite sex." <sup>53</sup>

Nkrumah himself reveals in his *Autobiography* that while preaching in a Baptist church in Philadelphia, he was introduced to two sisters by the names of Portia and Romana. A "strong friendship" grew between the three of them.<sup>54</sup> It was Portia who became what he described as a "special friend."<sup>55</sup> However, it seems from his own account he was not entirely faithful to Portia as he was accused by Portia of "two-timing" her.<sup>56</sup>

Constantly plagued by financial worries, Nkrumah worked during vacations at sea on board the *Shawnee*, a ship of the Clyde Millory Line.<sup>57</sup> However, he was fortunate that his studiousness earned him the support of individuals in prominent positions who assisted him financially. His conscientiousness was noted in a letter from the dean of Lincoln University to the dean of Graduate School of Art and Science at Howard University, recommending Nkrumah apply for a scholarship at the school.<sup>58</sup> In 1939, Nkrumah enrolled at the University of Pennsylvania, in Philadelphia, for the master of science degree in education. Sherwood maintains, "He gained one credit, two A's, seven B's, one C and one D grade, and was awarded the degree in Feb 1941. This is quite an achievement for someone 'carrying' 12 to 14 hours at the Lincoln Seminary and four hours at Pennsylvania in the first semester of 1940, and ten in the second. One has also to bear in mind that he had to travel to Philadelphia from Lincoln until July 1941."<sup>59</sup>

In January 1941, Nkrumah wrote to Dr. Thomas Jesse Jones, the director of the Phelps Stokes Fund, seeking sixty dollars toward his fees at the University of Pennsylvania. He was successful in his application and in a letter of appreciation to Dr. Jones wrote, "It is my pet ambition to carry on where my teacher and inspirer Dr. Kwegyir Aggrey left off. My interest in you and Dr. Aggrey is profound and immeasurable. Aggrey is dead and gone, but I am consoled in the fact that I can still have you as my guide in all things if you want to be so." 60

Toward the end of his undergraduate studies, Nkrumah expressed an interest in pursuing a master's degree in journalism at the University of Pennsylvania, but lacked the financial means to do so. Sherwood suggests that he accepted a place at the Lincoln University Theology Seminary in September 1939 in order to help resolve his financial difficulties. Nkrumah's choices were also limited, as the University of Pennsylvania did not offer journalism. At some stage during Nkrumah's seminary course, it appears he became alienated from religion. Reverend Philip Miller, who was at the time one of Nkrumah's lecturers, commented on Nkrumah's religious commitment: "Courteous, somewhat aloof . . . very religious: he led prayer services and tended other religious facets conscientiously . . . Over dogmatic on certain points of social anthropology . . . He became deeply imbittered by some indeterminate cause late in his seminary course." The details and nature of this disillusionment remain unknown.

While Sherwood claims "one would not wish to query Nkrumah's sincerity" in his motivations for taking up the licentiate, she insinuates that they were largely financial. He received a small fee from the church collection and was also eligible for reduced bus fares as a minister. <sup>63</sup> It is indeed curious that while Nkrumah was brought up a Roman Catholic, he obtained his bachelor of arts in sacred theology

from a Presbyterian college and a licentiate from the Chester Presbytery. To add to this apparent denominational confusion, Nkrumah then went to preach at a Baptist church in Washington.<sup>64</sup> Interestingly, one congregation member interviewed by Sherwood recalls that much of what Nkrumah preached in his sermons focused on Africa.<sup>65</sup> A seminary classmate of Nkrumah's, Everett A. Hewlett, confirmed that Nkrumah was a serious student who immersed himself in books and that "He was a good speaker and the congregation enjoyed his talks."<sup>66</sup> Nkrumah's skills in public speaking had improved since his participation in the Aggrey Student's Society and his earlier experience as a teacher in the Gold Coast.

Nkrumah stated in his *Autobiography* that his intellectual influences during this period included Karl Marx, Lenin, and Mazzini. However, it was *Philosophy and Opinions of Marcus Garvey*, published in 1923, that deeply impacted him. <sup>67</sup> At this particular juncture, Garvey's discourse of racial self-assertion considerably influenced Nkrumah. It was expressed in a sense of racial pride in the young Nkrumah and optimism for the future of the African continent. However, Nkrumah was later to prove himself to be diametrically opposed to Garvey on the ideological question of European involvement in the development of Africa. <sup>68</sup>

Nkrumah's early political outlook was also reflected in his involvement with the Association of African Students (AAS) in the United States and Canada in 1943.69 The AAS came into existence in January 1941 when a few students at Ohio State University devised a constitution. Founding members were Nigerians Ozuomba Mbadiwe, Nwafor Orizu, and the Sierra Leonean John Karefa-Smart. The organization had 28 members. Its aims were to "interpret Africa to America"; "to acquaint our people at home with the facts of the rapidly changing international scene";70 and to liaise with other bodies, such as the West African Student Union (WASU) in England, in the struggle for freedom for colonial subjects.<sup>71</sup> It is untrue that Nkrumah was elected the association's first president. He wrote in his Autobiography, "At the first conference [of the AAS] I was elected President, a position I held until the day I left for England."72 Nkrumah's historical memory may be called into question and his own self-importance may have dominated. In September 1941, the Nigerian Mbono Ojike was elected the first president of the association and in the following year, 1942, Nkrumah took over. The Nigerian Ibanga Akpabio succeeded him in 1943.73

An important cultural and political activity Nkrumah participated in as a member of the AAS was the Aggrey Memorial Celebration held on November 26, 1942, under the joint auspices of WASU and the AAS.<sup>74</sup> This African funeral commemoration of Aggrey was held at Salisbury, North Carolina, and caused an altercation between Nkrumah and Mr. Johnson, dean of the Lincoln Seminary.

Robert Kweku Gardiner, research scholar at the University of Oxford, represented WASU, and Ako Adjei and Nkrumah represented the AAS. Other attendees included friends of Aggrey and of Africa: Dr. Anson Phelps Stokes, president of the Phelps Stokes Funds; Dr. E. W. Smith, biographer of Aggrey and former president of the Royal Anthropological Institute of Great Britain. The Aggrey family was also present. After the unveiling of a monument by Mrs. Aggrey, Nkrumah, as president of the AAS, performed "sacred prayers in the

Fante language, three times in succession and then poured libation to the gods three times, each libation being preceded by a prayer." Nkrumah "charged the spirit of Aggrey to leave the foreign soil in which it had been resting for years and go back home to Africa, to sleep with the spirits of his ancestors and have eternal rest." Some four months later, Nkrumah received a stern letter from the dean of the Lincoln Seminary, who sought to "question the expediency of what was done at Salisbury." The dean wrote, "It was purely an Animistic service without Christian significance and indeed contradictory to Christian teaching. To pray to heathen gods and to pour libations to them is directly forbidden in the Holy Scripture."

Johnson considered the "heathen ceremony" to be "an insult to the memory of a Christian man." Nkrumah's reply to Johnson began with an apology for the delay in his response. He wrote, "a letter of explanation will not do me justice. I am therefore trying to find time to visit you at Lincoln in order to talk at length over the issue."<sup>78</sup> Nkrumah's response was brief:

You seem to have misunderstood me partially and you are right at that if all your reasons are coiled from the report in *The African Interpreter*. May I say however that to meet Christ on the highway of Christian ethics and principles by way of Christian salvation, and turn back, is a spiritual impossibility. The burden of my life is to live in such a way that I may become a living symbol of all that is best in Christianity and in the laws, customs and beliefs of my people. I am a Christian and will ever remain so but never a blind Christian.<sup>79</sup>

Nkrumah's controversial position and disagreement with Johnson suggests his breaking with organized religion. It displayed strength of mind and his embrace of two systems of belief. Yet he seemed to assure Johnson that he remained a Christian, but one who questioned his faith and sought to reconcile it with his Akan cultural beliefs.

Two other thorny intellectual issues confronted Nkrumah during the three years of the existence of the AAS: a disagreement over the extension of membership to non-students, and "the internal conflict between the Nigerian and the Gold Coast elements" over the question of territorial independence versus West African unity.<sup>80</sup> On the first, it is interesting that Nkrumah insisted that African seamen who had traveled to America should be admitted into the AAS. His argument, as conveyed by a contemporary, Dr. Ikejiani, was that many of the seamen had abandoned their studies due to financial burdens. They sought employment with the aim of returning to study.

While on the one hand Nkrumah sought to encourage seamen to join the association, it appeared very unlikely he would win the majority of AAS members to the acceptance of the seamen. There was a majority of Nigerian students in the AAS who were likely to have sided with Mbadiwe and Orizu on grounds of ethnic loyalty and were against the seamen being accepted. Despite this, Nkrumah won due to the persuasiveness of his argument. According to Dr. Ikejiani, "Kwame genuinely wanted to extend a student association to include workers and that means all Africans in the United States. And he was right in saying that most of

them came primarily as students but had fallen out because they had no money to continue then as students, and indeed, some of them did go back to colleges and universities to finish what they had come earlier to do. However one looks at it now, one cannot escape the interpretation that it showed the political maturity of Kwame at that inchoate stage of his later political career."81

Nkrumah's political skillfulness in winning this argument is significant, for he was able to increase his potential power base within the AAS by bringing non-students into a hitherto student body. In addition to this, it can be argued that alongside Nkrumah's political desire to win this dispute and undoubtedly to expand his support base within the AAS, his desire to extend the AAS membership to the seamen was consistent with an outlook on organizational theory that he was developing at this time. Nkrumah fervently believed in a participatory role for various social groups in the struggle for African self-determination. Likewise, he believed all Africans should be admitted into the AAS—both students and non-students alike. He outlined this inclusive organizational principle in a later dissertation. Lastly, Nkrumah may have been more inclined to be sympathetic to the plight of the seamen on account of his own personal financial hardships and experience at sea. These reasons present an alternative explanation to the questioning of Nkrumah's motives by Sherwood. 82

On the question of the political stance of the AAS on African independence, Nkrumah wrote in his *Autobiography* that there was a division between the Gold Coast students who supported West African unity and the Nigerian students who supported territorial independence. He stated, "The idea of West African unity, which, of course, I strongly supported, became the accepted philosophy of the African Students Association." Sherwood questions Nkrumah's assertion, as the spring 1944 editorial of *The Interpreter* advocated independence for each country. As Nigerians were opposed to West African unity and made up the majority of the organization, such a claim makes Nkrumah's assertion unlikely. We are uncertain of the authorship of many of the articles printed in the newsletter, as editorials were unsigned.

Without question, Nkrumah's mental energies were focused on the elimination of imperialism on the African continent. "There was a clear difference in attitude between Nkrumah and Mbadiwe, Orizu and Ojike: Nkrumah concentrated his mind on Africa (except for his one year presidency of the AAS), whereas the others divided their attention between Africa and educating the American public about their homeland, about which Americans were wholly ignorant," argues Sherwood.<sup>85</sup>

However, Nkrumah was also interested in the unity of people of African descent and commonalities between Africans on the continent and Africans in the diaspora. He wrote that he was

interested in two sociological schools of thought in the States, one represented by the Howard sociologist led by Prof. Fraser, and the other led by Dr. M. J. Herzkovits [sic], Professor of Anthropology at North-Western University. The Howard school of thought maintained that the Negro in America had completely lost his

cultural contact with Africa and the other school, represented by Herzkovits, maintained that there were still African survivals in the United States and that the Negro in America had in no way lost his cultural contact with the African continent. I supported, and still support, the later view and I went on one occasion to Howard University to defend it.<sup>86</sup>

Nkrumah's position suggests, despite the uprooting experiences of slavery, he upheld a Pan-African perspective on the cultural and racial affinities between Africans born in the diaspora and those born on the African continent. This was the formative stage in the development of Nkrumah's Pan-Africanist ideology in which he considered African people of the diaspora as part of continental Africa. Even before he left for the United States, Nkrumah considered all African people on the African continent as one people. In America it seems he extended his definition to include African Americans who had retained aspects of African culture despite the violent displacement of slavery.

In comparison to his contemporaries, Nkrumah published very little while in the United States. Of his writing, there is his message to the AAS and two articles titled "Education and Nationalism" and "The History of the Negro Church." In a message to the AAS, Nkrumah called on his peers to "reflect upon their future and their destiny," as he considered that the African continent had reached a turning point at which her greatness had yet to be achieved.<sup>87</sup> Nkrumah claimed that the war being fought by the Allied Powers sought to defend imperialism, similarly "the Axis Powers, on the other hand, are engaged in war to secure territories in order to consolidate and extend their own sphere of profits."88 In Nkrumah's opinion, "It is then our contention and feeling that if democracy, peace and order are to be achieved in these chaotic days of ours, then imperialism must be abolished throughout the world."89 For Nkrumah, the war was allegedly being fought to preserve democracy and freedom "but half the world today is still in bondage, and slaves to the very nations supposedly fighting for that freedom!"90 His central thesis was that "Fascism must be defeated, but so must all other forms of exploitation and imperialism."91

Nkrumah also wrote a column in *The Lincolnian*, the university newspaper, on "Negro history." He wrote, "In introducing certain aspects of Negro history in the columns of *The Lincolnian*, I offer no apology. The mission of the Lincolnian University is the cause of Negro education is dynamic." Furthermore, Nkrumah argued "that a thorough knowledge of Negro history is indispensable" in the training of a future African leadership, for "a country or race without the knowledge of its past is tantamount to a ship without a pilot." Such words echo Garvey's dictum that "a people without knowledge of their history is like a tree without roots."

Despite the prejudice and human suffering caused by imperialist wars, Nkrumah saw a "renascent African" questioning the status quo. He demanded "all non-African governments should, after the war, withdraw from the colonial areas which they now control—even withdraw from the mandated areas however enlightened their policy may be." Africans and people of African heritage

should "assume the responsibility which is theirs in these momentous times." He urged Africans to unite under nationalism "but not the nationalism of the competitive type," but founded on the principles of "unity, freedom, justice, democracy and independence for Africa." <sup>94</sup>

The address "Education and Nationalism in Africa" opens with the following lines: "Human history has been dominated by two things: the quest for bread and the quest for human rights. Today we hear the deep strong voice of Africa in this quest for human rights." Nkrumah gave a brief history of Christian education in West Africa, the prevailing forms of higher educational institutions, and a critique of educational provision in the Gold Coast.

His criticisms of the colonial educational system resonated with those of his late mentor Aggrey. Aggrey had closely observed the educational debate among African Americans. The Tuskegee experiment at Hampton led by Booker T. Washington emphasized the industrial and agricultural training of African Americans. Washington's arch opponent was the African American scholar W. E. B. DuBois, who considered such an education maintained the perpetual subservience of African Americans as manual laborers. Washington in turn criticized African Americans who sought to imitate white schools and teach Greek and Latin as being elitist and impractical. Aggrey's maxim was "Let Africans remain good Africans, and not become a poor imitation of Europeans." He urged the people of the Gold Coast to amalgamate the finest in Western culture and education but retain their own cultural integrity. Moreover, it was while preaching in North Carolina that he became deeply aware of the importance of the acquisition of agricultural skills and knowledge reflected in the accomplishments at the institute. Aggrey was impressed by what he saw there and sought to introduce a similar program to Africa. 96 He believed strongly in the relevance of the educational system to societal needs. Hence, as Aggrey's protégé, Nkrumah believed that "if education is life, then the weakness of the school system in Africa is evident. The activities of these schools should be made to relate to the life of the people so as to equip and fit them to meet their varied life demands. The old conception of education as being exclusively academic still dominates the colonial school program of Africa. Such anachronistic conceptions should give way to a new process of training and educating in life and current social, political, technical, and economic ideals now in vogue in progressive schools in America, China and Russia."97 Nkrumah saw the absence of the physical, natural, and social sciences in the school curriculum as requiring correction, for "any system of education worth its salt should be made consistent with the changing needs of the community in which the individual personality finds expression."98 Nkrumah argued that the failures of the British colonial educational system were to be seen elsewhere in the colonial world—the East Indies, Malaya, Singapore, Indonesia, and Myanmar. He argued that "any educational program which fails to furnish criteria for the judgment of social, political, economic and technical progress of the people it purports to serve has completely failed in its purpose, and has become an educational fraud."99 Sherwood is, therefore, incorrect to write that "Nkrumah did not write a stern critique of the existing—in fact almost non-existent—educational system

in British West Africa" or make "serious criticism" of the colonial educational system.<sup>100</sup> The piece is a highly critical denunciation of British educational policy in Africa.

Using Marxist terminology, Nkrumah explained the nature of the contradiction between African and European value systems: "When two cultures meet there is bound to be a crisis—a crisis which often results in the cultural dialectic synthesis of the two. Development is but the result of internal and external conflict relation. This struggle of opposites which causes development leads, at a certain point, to a revolutionary break, and to the emergence of a new thing—a new culture, a new education, or a new national life." <sup>101</sup>

To resolve this conflict, Nkrumah argued, "In the educational process of the African the best in the western culture should be combined with the best in African culture." Yet he did not demonstrate how this synthesis of cultures could be achieved. As Sherwood argues, neither did he define what he considered to be "the best" in African and Western culture nor detail how the colonial education system could be transformed. <sup>102</sup> In spite of this, the recipients of such an education—"a new class of educated Africans should demand the powers of self-determination and independence to determine the progress and advancement of their own country." <sup>103</sup> This essay is, as Sherwood describes, a highly political statement in an educational journal. It ends with a call for an end to the ongoing war. <sup>104</sup>

The ten-page essay titled "The History of the Negro Church" is an arid narrative outlining the growth of missionary activity from the onset of slavery and the subsequent rise of independent churches in the United States that can be dealt with briefly. <sup>105</sup> It is not known when Nkrumah wrote this essay. We know neither what may have prompted him to write it nor from where he obtained his sources. Nkrumah described how during slavery, missionaries discovered they were caught in the dilemma of saving heathen souls and yet denied the slave equality before God. "Neither the Baptists nor the Methodists were at first especially interested in the Negro," he writes. <sup>106</sup> Later, these denominations were to become part of the growing European movement in the last quarter of the eighteenth century calling for the abolition of the slave trade and slavery.

Aside from these written publications, Nkrumah sought to climb the academic ladder. His application to Harvard University was rejected, but he was successful in completing his Master's Degree in Philosophy at the University of Pennsylvania in January 1943. Subsequently, his attempts to obtain his PhD were met with failure and controversy. Nkrumah submitted to Prof. Morrow a dissertation titled "The History and Philosophy of Imperialism, With Special Reference to Africa." It appears this work met with the disapproval of the university authorities. According to Sherwood, "Correspondence in the Lincoln Archives suggest that it was Nkrumah's research topic and not the quality of his work that created the hiatus." An opportunity was presented to Nkrumah to make amendments to the dissertation. Dean Williams wrote to Nkrumah in December 1944 proposing the alteration, but it appears Nkrumah declined. We can only conclude that Nkrumah refused to make the necessary changes to his thesis. The

reasons for this can only be surmised. Perhaps for Nkrumah the insistence that he modify the contents was an intellectual compromise he was unwilling to accept.

In order to consider the intellectual preoccupations of Nkrumah as a post-graduate student, it is essential to examine the contents of "The History and Philosophy of Imperialism" along with a second manuscript, "Mind and Thought in Primitive Society: A Study in Ethno-Philosophy with Special Reference to the Akan Peoples of the Gold Coast, West Africa." <sup>109</sup> The two papers appear to have been written around the same time and, therefore, present what Sherwood characterizes as "a conundrum." <sup>110</sup> Whether the anthropological dissertation "Mind and Thought" was a ploy to gain acceptance as a doctoral candidate in order to pursue Nkrumah's preferred choice of topic is a question posed by Sherwood. <sup>111</sup> We are left with no conclusions as to why Nkrumah apparently wrote two dissertations around the same time, nor do we know why what he submitted was rejected.

Nkrumah's later book *Towards Colonial Freedom*<sup>112</sup> contains much of the ideas and perspective reflected in his dissertation "History and Philosophy of Imperialism." On page three of the dissertation, Nkrumah refers to the dissertation as "a pamphlet," which suggests that in 1942, not only was he intent to pursue the topic as a doctoral thesis but he also had thoughts of publishing the dissertation as a pamphlet.<sup>113</sup> It indicates Nkrumah's intention to disseminate his ideas to a wider audience apart from a narrow academic community.

Considering the political and social climate in which it was written—a period when much of Africa remained under European colonial rule and in which Africans were considered politically and socially incapable of self-government—the dissertation is a bold polemical denunciation of imperialism. Nkrumah was forward thinking in his demand for colonial subjects to organize for their own emancipation. It is evident from examining this dissertation that Nkrumah viewed the world from a Marxist theoretical perspective, which he applied to the relationship between the European colonial powers and Africa.

Nkrumah wrote in his introduction that the primary objective of colonial rule in Africa and elsewhere was the extraction of raw materials. The second objective was to utilize the colonies as a "dumping ground" for manufactured products. Nkrumah believed that "the basis of colonial territorial dependence is economic, but the basis of the solution of the problem is political. Hence, political revolution is an indispensable step toward securing economic emancipation. This point of view irrevocably calls for an alliance of all colonial territories and dependencies."<sup>114</sup> For Nkrumah, emancipation called for unity among colonial peoples transcending tribal differences and "colonial provincialism." Colonial peoples were urged not to be deceived by terms such as "trusteeship" or "partnership," which he characterized as mere "camouflage" and as "misconceived."<sup>115</sup> He was convinced freedom had to be attained through "the complete revolutionary change of the colonial system." He maintained, "The only thing left for colonial peoples to do is to wrest their freedom and independence from these colonial powers by the application of moral if need be, physical force."<sup>116</sup>

It is possible that the university authorities viewed Nkrumah's call to "physical force" as political incitement. Nkrumah argued that the destruction of colonialism

"will necessitate a vital upheaval within the colonies." This may be the reason why the authorities instructed him to make amendments to his dissertation, to which he refused. He concluded his introduction by stating, "The dynamic force needed for such an upheaval must be generated through a united colonial revolutionary organization. Herein lies the theme of this pamphlet." 118

Thus integral to Nkrumah's theoretical definition of political mobilization toward ending colonial rule was a vital role for all social groups in the struggle for national independence. No social component was to be excluded. 119 He adopted the classic Leninist definition of revolutionary organization "consist(ing) chiefly of persons engaged in revolution as a profession." The aim of the organization "is to accomplish the speedy and successful overthrow of colonial oppression and exploitation." In terms of "the weapons of the organisation," Nkrumah advocated "mass uprisings and mass demonstrations, strikes, boycotts, civil disobedience, non-cooperation, and non-confidence in administration. The practical application of any of these forms of resistance is worth a million petitions and delegations and editorials."120 In summary, the contents of this polemical essay outlined Nkrumah's political views on imperialism and colonialism, in addition to practical ideas toward their eradication. With historical hindsight, it is therefore hardly surprising that the university authorities rejected the dissertation on account of its radical Marxist contents. In addition to this, the overall tone and style of the exposition was uncompromisingly direct.

The ideas contained in "Mind and Thought" had been previously expounded upon by other distinguished West African writers, such as James Africanus Beale Horton (1853–1883), John Mensah Sarbah (1864–1910), Dr. J. B. Danquah (1895–1965), and the colonial ethnographer R. S. Rattray. Hence, the positions espoused in "Mind and Thought" are hardly original.

The work is a defense of Akan culture in the form of an ethnological exposition of the beliefs, customs, and norms of the Akan peoples. Nkrumah's central argument is that "primitive peoples are not possessed of an inherently lower mentality." Adopting a comparative approach, he refuted European theorists such as Levy Bruhl and others who argued for the existence of a "primitive man." Nkrumah's hypothesis was to demonstrate "that the mental processes among Africans and European peoples are essentially the same" and "the view cannot be maintained that the different peoples of the world stand on different stages, and that civilized man has attained a higher place in mental organization than primitive man." In summary, Nkrumah argued from a position of the equality of African and European cultural beliefs in "Mind and Thought."

With regards to Nkrumah's extracurricular political activities, it appears he was extremely busy. In December 1942, Nkrumah and Mbadiwe were invited as speakers at the Philadelphia Pyramid Club. In the same year he joined the platform with the African American congressman Adam Clayton Powell and others at a student conference at Lincoln University on "The Status of the Negro in Fighting for Democracy." Nkrumah also worked with Claudia Jones, a leading member of the Communist Party of the United States. In a letter to Jones, Nkrumah thanked her "for the courage, inspiration, and aid" that he had received

from her. He went on to write, "The future of Africa like the future of all people throughout the world lives at stake today. Action now will remove the threat of oppressor and oppressed." <sup>123</sup>

In Pennsylvania in 1943, he gave an address to the Russian War Relief Committee Youth Division on the question "Is North Africa part of the United Nations? The Colonial Question Now and After." <sup>124</sup> He also spoke to schoolchildren of Douglass Junior High School in April 1943 on aspects of African culture and education. Indeed, the importance of culture was central to Nkrumah's evolving social, political, and economic worldview. As part of his talk to the schoolchildren, he sang two traditional Akan songs in an endeavor to challenge the disparaging depictions of Africa in Western popular culture. <sup>125</sup>

Sherwood writes that Nkrumah was a member of Marcus Garvey's Universal Negro Improvement Association (UNIA) Twenty-First Division and attended Garveyite meetings in New York. Mr. Hubert Whiteman, an African American who had been Nkrumah's landlord in New York, claimed he took Nkrumah to the UNIA at 100 West 106th Street in New York. Whiteman remarked that it was a period in which Nkrumah advanced toward socialism as a political ideology. He maintained, "We used to discuss political systems . . . Nkrumah chose socialism as his guiding light. I used to warn him of what might happen when he went back with his fine education, but he said he would fight for his people. He discussed a United States of Africa, in which each state would be independent." 128

It was also during his time in America that Nkrumah attended meetings held by political organizers across the political spectrum. <sup>129</sup> It was through such gatherings that Nkrumah became acquainted with the Trinidadian scholar and activist C. L. R. James. James recollects that

[I] got to know Nkrumah in the United States in 1943, and he and I and some of my friends were very close between 1943 and 1945. We went down to Pennsylvania or to Lincoln to see him—or he would come up to New York and spend a day or two with his friends and exchange ideas with us. Even in those years, Nkrumah was noted for his acute intelligence, his intellectual energy, the elegance of his person, the charm of his manners and his ability to establish easy relations with any company in which he found himself. We could observe that, behind his easy style, his primary concern was the independence and freedom of African people. <sup>130</sup>

In Washington, Nkrumah participated in a public lecture on "West Africa post war and the American Negro" at Howard University Memorial Chapel in February 1943. He also spoke on "Africa, the War and Post-War Status," in which he advocated the complete independence of colonial territories and a "Federated States of West Africa." He took part in a New York conference organized by the CAA in April 1944. The conference's theme was "Africa—New Perspectives." It was attended by 200 black representatives and 60 organizations. Nkrumah attended as representative of the AAS and not only remet Max Yergan but also met Paul Robeson, Ralph Bunche, Alphaeus Hunton, and Amy Ashwood Garvey. Alphaeus Hunton, and Amy Ashwood Garvey. The conference participants demanded the colonial powers end the

practice of the color bar in Africa, institute fair prices for African raw materials, and that the United States ensure the political freedom of colonial peoples.

As Nkrumah spent ten years in the United States, his experiences of racism impacted his political views. In his *Autobiography*, he claims he carried out research on six hundred African American families in Philadelphia, which exposed him to the racial tensions in America. However, he vividly recalled his "first experience of active racialism below the Mason-Dixon line" when he traveled by bus from Philadelphia to Washington and stopped for refreshment. He asked for a glass of water from a white waiter and was referred to the "spittoon outside." The incident left him "so shocked" but did not on the whole embitter him toward Europeans. <sup>134</sup>

When he departed America for London in late 1945, it seems doubtful that Lincoln University and the University of Pennsylvania had changed the ideas and ideals of Nkrumah. Instead, he simply used the opportunity within the confines of these institutions to deepen his intellect and study the means to attain his political ambitions.

It appears he possessed an undogmatic Marxist and Pan-African outlook on the world, which centered on the political aspirations of African people organizing themselves toward the eradication of economic and political oppression at the hands of European colonial rule. His romantic streak is revealed in a letter he wrote in which he declared, "Every preparation that I am making here is for the interest of Africa. I have always dreamed of a United States of West Africa under African hegemony to be brought about through the aid of the United States Government and the Governments of Europe with interest in West Africa. This may sound utopian and impossible, nevertheless it has been my dream (italics mine)."135 It is unknown to whom Nkrumah wrote this handwritten letter, but it is characteristic of his powerful idealism that remained with him throughout his life. It is interesting that at this stage of Nkrumah's political development, he believed that a politically united Africa could be brought about "through the aid" of the United States and European governments. Several years later, with the publication of his book Neo-colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism in 1965, he no longer upheld such a view.

## **CHAPTER 3**

## From Activist to Leader of the CPP, 1945–1951

#### Activism in London, 1945-1947

Nkrumah claimed he traveled to London "to study law and, at the same time, to complete my thesis for a doctorate in philosophy. As soon as I arrived in London, therefore, I enrolled at Grays Inn and arranged to attend lectures at the London School of Economics." He departed for London in late May 1945, where he was met by George Padmore and Joe Appiah. Padmore was, according to Sherwood, "the London-based guru of colonial revolutionaries" and Joe Appiah was a Ghanaian law student and active member of the London-based West African Students Union (WASU). All three became political colleagues.<sup>3</sup> Padmore was indeed a political magnet in black activist circles in London. As the vortex of imperial rule, the capital drew many African students who gradually became politicized by the organizational activities and views of Padmore and the desire to see their respective countries free from colonial rule. Padmore was "always neatly dressed with crease-lines in his usually dark trousers and spotless white shirt under jacket and ties," writes Abrahams. 4 He had an "iron will" and resolute political focus.<sup>5</sup> According to Sherwood, it was C. L. R. James who introduced Nkrumah to Padmore by way of a letter. Immediately after his arrival in London, his colleagues found him accommodation at the WASU hostel. He stayed there for a short while before moving into a house with his former colleague Ako Adjei at 25 Laurier Road, Tufnell Park, in north London. He later moved to a room at 60 Burghley Road in north London. The address was in proximity to individuals who were to become some of his close political colleagues. Among them were Joe Appiah, who lived in Primrose Hill Gardens in Hampstead and shared the house with Bankole Akpata, F. Kankam-Boadu, and Afolabi Odebiyi.

Many African students living in London during the 1940s and 1950s experienced the color bar.<sup>6</sup> Several landlords had turned Nkrumah away before Mrs. Florence Manley at Burghley Road eventually accepted him. She maintains that

many of her neighbors did not like the idea of a "coloured man" in her house. For six months to almost a year, she was ostracized by her neighbors. Gradually her neighbors realized that her tenant "was a very nice man, very polite and everybody greeted him."

According to Appiah, Nkrumah spent many evenings visiting George Padmore and becoming engrossed in political discussions "lasting into the early hours of the morning in the company of such fighters as Jomo Kenyatta, Richard Wright, Ras Makonnen, Peter Koinange, Peter Abrahams, CLR James, Dudley Thompson, Yacub Osman." Kojo Botsio also remarked that many African students were "avid students of what Padmore was preaching and visited Padmore's house almost everyday."

In October 1945, Nkrumah registered as a PhD student at the London School of Economics (LSE); he expressed an interest in reading anthropology but appears to have withdrawn one term later. He registered a year later—in October 1946—at University College London, intending to study philosophy. His field of academic study was "Knowledge and Logical Positivism" and his supervisor was Professor A. J. Ayer. 10 For all his grand academic intentions, Nkrumah was immediately engaged in intense political activity in the imperial capital. His doctoral aspirations were quickly abandoned. Ayer maintains, "His main concern was always with the liberation of Africa."

According to Nkrumah, however, lack of finances contributed to this abandoned project.<sup>12</sup> Key figures who helped influence him in this decision were undoubtedly his mentor, Padmore, and others such as Ras Makonnen, 13 who shared a flat with Padmore, and Peter Abrahams. In Makonnen's view, the idea of Nkrumah pursuing a legal qualification was derided among their small circle of African and West Indian political activists. Nkrumah swiftly immersed himself in various political activities. "London was the critical point of contact where Pan-African, socialist and anti-colonial ideas were shared and enlarged," observed Abrahams, 14 The WASU and Pan-African Federation (PAF) campaigned in support of the Nigerian mine workers' strike of July 1945. A public rally in London was held on July 15, 1945, in solidarity with the striking miners. 15 Also present at the rally were members from the Federation of Indian Associations in Britain, the Ceylon Students' Association, and the Burma Association. 16 Nkrumah's participation in the rally was his first organizational involvement in London political activism. More importantly, Nkrumah was to prove himself sufficiently organizationally reliable to be entrusted with the post of regional secretary of the PAF by Padmore. "[Nkrumah's] name appears as Regional Secretary on the letterhead of the PAF's Southern Regional Council," indicating his support for the rally and striking Nigerian miners. 17

In October 1945, Nkrumah was elected vice president of WASU, a position he occupied for one year. It was the Central Committee of the PAF that decided on organizing the Fifth Pan-African Congress. Padmore, "the master planner, was in his element." Abrahams suggests that the entire organization for the congress was executed by Padmore in the head office of Makonnen's restaurant in Manchester. In his new organizational role, Nkrumah was given access to Padmore's

wide-ranging political contacts both within Britain and worldwide. He sent out letters of invitation to the congress.

Much has been written about the historic Pan-African Congress held between October 13 and 21 in Manchester.<sup>19</sup> The congress brought together over two hundred delegates from various political and social organizations and trade unions from Africa and the West Indies. They challenged the colonial powers to end colonial rule, demanded self-determination and "economic democracy" for ordinary people.<sup>20</sup> It was presided over by the "Father of Pan-Africanism," William Edward Burghardt DuBois, and delegates heard reports on the colonial situation in the Caribbean and Africa as well as discussion on the color bar in Britain. Nkrumah was appointed rapporteur of two sessions discussing "Imperialism in North and West Africa" on October 16 and 19. According to Kojo Botsio, Padmore and Nkrumah "were the driving force behind the Congress."<sup>21</sup>

Sherwood concludes that Nkrumah's participation in the congress "had not only given Nkrumah a high profile—it also allowed him to meet political and trade union activists in the capacity of one of the recognized organizers of the Congress." Moreover, the congress was an important opportunity for Nkrumah to combine his political convictions with practical organizational experience.

Among the many resolutions drafted by the congress participants, nowhere did "the Congress unanimously endorse the doctrine of African socialism based upon the tactics of positive action without violence." Moreover, Nkrumah wrote, "As the preponderance of members attending the Congress were Africans, its ideology became African nationalism—a revolt by African nationalism against colonialism, racialism and imperialism in Africa—and it adopted Marxist socialism as its philosophy." Nkrumah appears to have interpreted history backward, for there is no mention of "African socialism" in the congress proceedings or in the reminiscences of individuals such as Ghanaian F. R. Kankam-Boadu and Sierra Leonean Ernest Marke 25 who attended nor in coverage from the British press. 26

Among its many resolutions, the congress called for the total elimination of colonial rule throughout the African continent; an end to forced labor; the wealth of the continent to be utilized by Africans; the democratic rights of Africans in South and East Africa to be upheld; as well as calling on Africans to organize themselves.<sup>27</sup> The tone of the congress was irrefutably anti-imperialist. There was a call for "nationalization of all basic industries vital to life and welfare of the community" and "public ownership of all public utilities," which may at the stretch of the imagination have been inferred to mean socialism. While some of the key organizers of the congress had Marxist views, there was no official adoption of what Nkrumah describes as "Marxist socialism" as the congress's philosophy.

Of the two major declarations endorsed by the congress, "The Challenge to the Colonial Powers" and "The Declaration to the Colonial Peoples of the World," Nkrumah claims to have been the author of the latter. The anti-imperialist Sri Lankan activist, T. B. Subashinghe, a colleague of George Padmore and an attendee of the congress believed that Padmore "had a big hand in drafting the resolutions presented to the Congress." Hence, this evidence appears to refute Nkrumah's claim to have been its author.

The brief declaration denounced imperialist exploitation and affirmed "the right of all colonial peoples to control their destiny." Workers, farmers, intellectuals, and the professional classes of the colonies throughout the world were "to awaken to their responsibilities." It ends with the line "Colonial and subject peoples of the world—unite!"<sup>30</sup> The slogan suggests the influence of Marxist socialist philosophy on some congress participants. However, Folson argues, "Although several delegates, including Dr. DuBois and George Padmore, were committed socialists, the Congress cannot be said to have directed the minds of the future leaders of Africa to socialism."<sup>31</sup>

Nkrumah's participation in the congress shaped his thinking prior to his return to the Gold Coast. His involvement had put him in contact with future African leaders such as Wallace Johnson of Sierra Leone; Obafemi Awolowo, Jaja Wachuku, and H. O. Davies of Nigeria; Garba-Jahumpa of the Gambia; Jomo Kenyatta of Kenya; Hastings Banda of Nyasaland; and Peter Abrahams of South Africa.

Soon after the congress, Nkrumah set up the West African National Secretariat (WANS). It was made up of individuals such as Wallace Johnson, Bankole Akpata, Nii Odom Annan, Kwaw Swanzy, Koi Larbi, Kojo Botsio, and Bankole Awoonor-Renner.<sup>32</sup> It was an organization of which Makonnen became suspicious.<sup>33</sup> Yet the rationale justifying the establishment of WANS came out of the deliberations of the Fifth Pan-African Congress (PAC) and endorsement from the Aborigines Rights Protection Society (ARPS) as well as the Sierra Leone branch of the West African Youth League.<sup>34</sup> Among WANS's many objectives were to follow up on congressional resolutions "to maintain contact with, coordinate, educate and supply information on current matters to various political bodies, farmers organisations, cooperative societies, educational, cultural and other progressive organisations in West Africa with a view to realizing a West African front for a United West African National Independence." The veteran trade union champion I. T. A. Wallace Johnson was elected chair and Nkrumah general secretary.<sup>36</sup>

There are two controversial perceptions of Nkrumah's involvement with WANS. First, there is the view held by Makonnen and members of the Central Committee of the PAF who saw WANS and Nkrumah as too compromisingly close to the Communist Party of Great Britain (CPGB),<sup>37</sup> and the opinion held by Sherwood that the organization was yet another vehicle for Nkrumah's "self-aggrandizement."<sup>38</sup>

Makonnen's evidence for his allegation appears to be based on the occasion he and a few other PAF members visited the London WANS office based at 94 Grays Inn Road. They "found copies of the Moscow magazine on colonial questions." Furthermore, he writes, "not even a single copy of *Pan-Africa* or the other things we had been producing in Manchester" was present in the office.<sup>39</sup> An incensed Makonnen returned to Manchester and reported the matter to the Central Committee. Nkrumah was summoned before some members of the committee, including Jomo Kenyatta, Dr. Peter Milliard, Padmore, and Makonnen himself. The position of the committee was clear: Nkrumah's close relationship with the Communist movement was considered to be compromising the

autonomy of the anticolonial movement. Makonnen claims he played the role of Vishinsky during what he refers to as Nkrumah's "prosecution." As Nkrumah does not shed any light on this disagreement within the organization or his reaction to it, we have only Makonnen's comments. An explanation for Nkrumah's omission of this incident in his *Autobiography* is likely to be embarrassment. He could hardly reveal his involvement with Communists at a time when the country was still in the process of negotiating its independence with the British colonial administration when he was writing his *Autobiography*. To have disclosed any association would most likely have impacted negatively on his party and negotiations for independence with the British. Makonnen observed it was "very embarrassing for him."

The extent of Communist influence on Nkrumah and WANS is uncertain. <sup>42</sup> Nkrumah was acquainted with communists in the United States and in London, such as the Sierra Leonean Bankole Awoonor-Renner. In addition, Emile Burns, a leading British Communist, was to remain Nkrumah's lifelong friend. Nkrumah attended lectures run by Burns for students from the colonies. Sherwood questions whether Communists had intentions of influencing the politics of WANS "as an entry into British West Africa." <sup>43</sup> She claims they fell short of achieving this goal—if indeed they intended to. She attributes their failure to her belief that "Nkrumah was probably too much of a pragmatist and an opportunist in the best sense of that word, to be committed to any theory of political party. His idealism, his consuming passion was for freedom—for all Africa, West Africa, or just the Gold Coast—whichever could be attained the soonest under his leadership." <sup>44</sup> Though Nkrumah certainly associated with Communists, he never became a member of the CPGB.

Sherwood implies Nkrumah neglected his responsibilities as secretary of PAF to establish WANS as a vehicle through which to promote his own ego. His personal ambition was at the root of his neglect of his duties at the PAF. She conjectures, "Did Nkrumah believe that the future he had cherished since his US days, of a free, independent and united West Africa, were more realizable within an organisation in which he held the most senior post? Did he perceive George Padmore as an obstacle to his own ambitions?"

The formation of the Circle, a small inner secret group of WANS members who were obliged to pay personal loyalty to Nkrumah's leadership, appears to justify her argument. In Sherwood's view, "on the evidence presently available, that Nkrumah's ambition, so amply demonstrated in the Circle document, and his appreciation of the presence of a number of West Africans in London, communist, non-communist or anti-Communist, but certainly pro-independence, led him to make his bid for West African leadership by setting up the Secretariat."

Nkrumah's involvement in the Circle sheds light on his concept of political methods of organization, power, and his personality. The motto of the group was "The Three S's—Service, Sacrifice and Suffering." Its aims were to "commence revolutionary work in any part of the African continent" toward the ultimate goal of establishing a union of African socialist republics. 48 Membership in the Circle cost seven guineas and, according to Nkrumah, extended "only to those

who were believed or known to be genuinely working for West African unity and the destruction of colonialism."49 Legum considers that "the history of Dr. Nkrumah's reliance on a vanguardist organization began with the formation of The Circle."50 He argues that this particular organizational method was to remain with Nkrumah post independence.<sup>51</sup> The notion of the Circle being composed of dedicated revolutionaries indicates the extent of Leninist ideological and organizational principles that influenced Nkrumah. The Circle can be considered a practical application of Leninist organizational methods toward Nkrumah's long-term objective of a Union of African Socialist Republics. Who composed its members and the precise selection criteria remained secret. But for those select members, "the seventh law of The Circle" was to swear personal loyalty to its leader.<sup>52</sup> For Legum, this marks the origins of "the concept of Osagyefo, the great unvanquished warrior, [which was] already firmly implanted."53 That the members did not pledge loyalty to the aims of the organization, or to each other, but to Nkrumah, shows the beginnings of Nkrumah's desire for power and his demand for personal allegiance from individuals who were in agreement with his revolutionary political objectives. However, according to Kojo Botsio, the Circle never really had the opportunity to take off, as "soon after the establishment of The Circle, Kwame had an invitation to go to the Gold Coast."54

Other evidence of what Sherwood considers to be Nkrumah's "individualistic (if not self-aggrandizing) behavior" is illustrated in his procurement of funds to privately publish *Towards Colonial Freedom* (TCF) in 1947.<sup>55</sup> Farleigh Press, which published the pamphlet, was owned by the CPGB.<sup>56</sup> It suggests further evidence of Nkrumah's involvement with Communist circles, but there is no incontrovertible evidence that the CPGB funded the pamphlet.<sup>57</sup>

The bulk of the ideas contained in this small publication appear to be a duplication of those contained in his aforementioned 1942 undergraduate doctoral submission, "The History and Philosophy of Imperialism With Special Reference to Africa." Therefore, the writing of TCF spanned the period of his formative involvement in political activity while in the United States to his immersion in radical Pan-African activity in London. Legum describes the pamphlet as "a straightforward Marxist-Leninist tract against imperialism."58 Nkrumah's central argument was a call to the West African masses—comprising the youth, labor, and women—to mobilize through nonviolent methods in a national liberation movement for the establishment of national independence and economic freedom. In short, Nkrumah saw political independence as a prelude to economic freedom, and it was a political goal Nkrumah never lost sight of. Criticisms have been made of the work by Afari-Gyan. He argues that "an assumption of inevitability underlines much of Nkrumah's reasoning in the book. For example, he sees the emergence of an anti-imperialist colonial intelligentsia, the awakening of national consciousness among the colonized peoples, the emergence of a working class movement, and the growth of the national liberation movements as the inevitable results of colonialism and imperialism. Following this line of reasoning through, he foresees the national solidarity of the colonial people's against imperialism to be also inevitable."59

Other political activities that consumed Nkrumah's time and energy included a meeting with African Deputies of the French Assembly. Among them were Apithy from Togo and Leopold Senghor from Senegal, who were interested in organizing a West African National Conference, which was scheduled to take place in Lagos, Nigeria, in October 1948.<sup>60</sup> He also met with left-wing labor politicians Arthur Creech Jones, Dr. Rita Hinden, and Fenner Brockway and attended anti-imperialist demonstrations and meetings in Trafalgar Square and Hyde Park in London.<sup>61</sup> Nkrumah's political association with these progressive Europeans is further evidence of his ideological departure from Garvey's anti-European position.

Around September 1947, Nkrumah received a letter from Ako Adjei, who had returned to the Gold Coast. The letter invited Nkrumah to take up the post of general secretary of the United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC),62 a recently established political movement. The executive committee of the UGCC offered him a salary of one hundred pounds a month and use of a car. 63 In order "to play for time" to discover "the real spirit, motives and aims of those who started this movement," he wrote to Adjei, informing him he was considering the offer.<sup>64</sup> Meanwhile, Nkrumah immersed himself in organizational activities for the proposed West African National Conference. Through lengthy discussions with Tony Maclean, a British extramural lecturer at Oxford University who had spent some time in the Gold Coast, he actively sought to acquaint himself with the political climate in the Gold Coast. Initially, Nkrumah was ideologically opposed to a "movement backed almost entirely by reactionaries, middle class lawyers and merchants."65 Subsequently, another persuasive letter from Dr. J. B. Danguah, the "doyen of Gold Coast politics" and founding member of the UGCC, reached Nkrumah. At this stage, Nkrumah had sought advice from a number of individuals including his ideological mentor, Padmore, and also Fenner Brockway, a leader of the British Independent Labour Party. He also called a meeting of the WANS. After much serious discussion, it was agreed that Nkrumah should accept the offer. He sent a formal written acceptance to Adjei and Danquah, and soon after he received one hundred pounds traveling allowance from George Grant, president of the UGCC.66

Nkrumah left Britain on November 14, 1947, with his WANS colleague Kojo Botsio and experienced a rigorous questioning by the British authorities at Liverpool docks. Leaving Botsio to travel ahead to the Gold Coast, Nkrumah made a detour to Freetown and Monrovia. His two-week stay in Freetown was preoccupied with political meetings with Sierra Leonean political leaders, whom he claims he found very much divided. Nkrumah met privately with the leaders and maintains "we managed to come to some sort of agreement as to how the leaders in Sierra Leone could form a united front and work together for West African unity." 88

In Liberia, Nkrumah was unable to meet President William Tubman who had traveled abroad. He observed the difference in the political climate between Sierra Leone and Liberia and did not detail the specific nature of his disappointment with the country heralded as "the symbol of African redemption." <sup>69</sup> He

managed to discuss the proposed West African National Conference with several Liberian politicians before he left for the Gold Coast.

The earlier cross-examination by the Liverpool police had psychologically prepared Nkrumah for a similar interrogation by the port authorities of Takoradi. It appears Nkrumah's reputation had preceded him, for the Ghanaian official prudently exhibited enthusiasm and surprise at meeting Nkrumah in person.<sup>70</sup> The official "whispered softly: So you are Kwame Nkrumah!," which suggests a reputation of intrigue surrounded Nkrumah as a political figure before he entered the country. "Out of earshot of the other passengers and officials," the immigration officer who made it known that he had heard of him received Nkrumah warmly and assured him that his papers would be processed swiftly.<sup>71</sup> The welcome to his native land by this African official after 12 years abroad was by no means a prediction of the struggles ahead in his new post as secretary of the UGCC. The political ferment in the land of his birth had been forged by several Gold Coast nationalists and Pan-Africanists during the 1920s and 1930s, resulting in the formation of ARPS, the National Congress of British West Africa (NCBWA), as well as the surge in Pan-Africanist sentiments in 1935 as a result of fascist Italy's unprovoked invasion of Ethiopia. Therefore, Nkrumah reentered a tumultuous political landscape to which he was to contribute.<sup>72</sup>

#### The Ideology of the CPP and Nkrumah, 1949–1951

The UGCC initially comprised the wealthy and highly educated in Gold Coast society. It represented the "Ghanaian establishment," constituting barristers, doctors, and businessmen. The founding fathers were "big men" such as Francis A. Williams, Dr. J. B. Danquah, William Ofori Atta, Ashie Nikoe, John Ayew, R. S. Blay, J. W. de Graft Johnson, and George Alfred Grant.

This infant body of a handful of men was greatly in need of an organizer to build the convention, and with Nkrumah's appointment the social makeup of the movement changed considerably in a relatively short space of time. As professionals and businessmen, the convention leaders were far too busy to devote time and energy to the necessary task of building the movement. Hence, Nkrumah was hired with this clearly defined task. He formally assumed his appointment on December 29, 1947.

The convention leaders demanded an end to colonial rule, an end to the unfair colonial trading practices that damaged their business interests, and, more important, by virtue of their learning and status, they envisaged themselves replacing the British colonial administrators. In short, the convention leaders believed in the political principle of freedom for all, but with themselves leading the future Gold Coast polity. Neither did the leaders reexamine this assumption as the movement garnered political support from the local youth societies in the colony and Ashanti towns; farmers, petty traders, drivers, artisans, school-teachers, and clerks. I Gradually, due to the efforts of its energetic secretary, a common front began to take shape: the youth societies became branches of the

UGCC, and Danquah and Nkrumah began the task of knitting the multiplicity of local organizations into a broad national movement."<sup>75</sup>

However, shortly after Nkrumah began his appointment as secretary of the UGCC, the ex-servicemen's demonstration and the boycott of European goods in Accra erupted in February 1948. Nkrumah, as part of the Big Six, was held responsible and, along with Obetsebi Lamptey, Ako Adjei, William Ofori Atta, J. B. Danquah, and A. E. Akuffo-Addo, was imprisoned by the British colonial authorities. Nkrumah was summoned before the Watson Commission, which was convened to look into the causes of the riots. Nkrumah's interrogation before the commission is interesting for several reasons. First, Nkrumah denied he had ever been a member of the British Communist Party, though he openly admitted to subscribing to their views and that he had met with Palme Dutt, vice president of the organization. Second, when Nkrumah was interrogated on his possession of a Communist Party card, he informed the commission that he was given it by the treasurer of the party. His intention was to use it as a model for the design of a similar card for the UGCC, as he was impressed with its shape and size. Third, when he was asked to account for his views since his arrival in the Gold Coast, Nkrumah argued that he began as a Pan-Africanist. He maintained, "Upon my arrival back to the Gold Coast I saw that the people were not in fact thinking of any West African idea of community but each one trying in his own way to get some sort of territorial position for themselves and self-government position and I felt in order to do my work here I had to conform with that view."<sup>76</sup> Hence, Nkrumah considered territorial independence as a prelude to West African unity and appeared to adjust his view in order to prioritize national independence before greater regional integration.

Fourth, a copy of the Circle document was found in Nkrumah's wallet on his arrest and on being questioned, he confessed that the document was "a private dream of my own." It is untrue that he "never communicated the contents of the dream to anyone else." He hoped for the creation of an African socialist republic. However, when he returned to the Gold Coast, he claims he departed from that dream. Lastly, it is evident that Nkrumah's interrogators were highly paranoid about the infiltration of Communist ideas into the colonies. Nkrumah dealt calmly with the relentless and pointed questions on his contacts with Communist party members, trade union officials, and his political beliefs. In a firm manner, he reiterated, "I have made it clear that I am a socialist, and a Marxist socialist. I have never made it clear that I am a communist. I hold their views, but not all of their views." Overall, Nkrumah's performance before the Watson Commission made public his political views, demonstrating his discretion to political colleagues and his success in revealing very little about the Circle while under pressure.

After his release from prison, Nkrumah set about organizing the young men of the Gold Coast. The formation of the Committee of Youth Organisations (CYO) in August 1948 was initially an integral part of the mother body, the UGCC. Its chairman was Komla Gbedemah and its secretary was Kojo Botsio. It was the CYO that later became the nucleus of the Convention People's Party (CPP) on

June 12, 1949, when the youth, representing the radical and progressive section of the UGCC, broke away from the parent body to form an independent political party.

What led to the split between the UGCC and the CYO were ideological differences encapsulated in the UGCC's demand for "Self-Government in the shortest time possible" versus the CPP's call for "Self-Government NOW!" These ideological differences were evident as far back as the beginnings of the relationship between Nkrumah and the UGCC. On first meeting their new employee, the convention leaders questioned him on how he was to reconcile his allegiance to West African unity, as expressed in WANS, with the narrower aims of the UGCC. Politically astute, Nkrumah responded that he "believed in TERRI-TORIAL BEFORE INTERNATIONAL solidarity."78 It appears this response went some way to reassuring the gentlemen of the committee. In addition to this, the men were equally uncomfortable with his use of the word "comrade." Austin remarks, "This initial uneasiness was set aside later, and Danquah and Nkrumah campaigned together in the name of the Convention. But the Committee never quite overcame their ambivalence towards Nkrumah—hoping to use him, needing to accept (ready also to deny) what he might do in their name but possessed of a growing fear of what he might do without them."79

Beyond the political slogans of "Self-government within the shortest time possible" and "Self-Government NOW!" lay two antithetical political outlooks. They also represented opposing social forces. The gradualist approach of the UGCC's "Self-government within the shortest time possible" broadly represented the chiefs, wealthy merchants, and highly learned men of Gold Coast society comprising "an older-established, intelligentsia class." They tended to be older in age, tolerant, and accommodationist in their approach in comparison to the zeal and impatient radical outlook of the "verandah boys" or semieducated "commoners" who made up the youthful and broad social base of the CPP. The chiefs, elders, and the UGCC closely watched this amalgam of educated and semi-educated commoner class. The Gold Coast elite viewed them as "malcontents" and "agitators."

The wealthy lawyer Francis Awooner Williams, vice president of the UGCC, revealed the attitude of the Gold Coast establishment toward the commoner class. Thomas Hodgkin met Williams in January 1950, during the time of the Positive Action campaign that was launched by the CPP. Hodgkin wrote that Williams was "bitterly opposed to the strike and complaining that the Government ought to use tear gas against the strikers if need be. He was obviously a Girondin of the most property and class conscious kind: spoke in contemptuous language of the 'mob' and the 'rabble' etc." The African American Richard Wright's meeting with Dr. J. B. Danquah in the early 1950s also sheds light on the attitude of the UGCC toward the semieducated classes. Danquah was asked by Wright why he did not try to win this political constituency to the side of the UGCC. Danquah responded with "a grimace saying: I don't like this thing of the masses. There are only individuals for me." Wright concluded, "Every word that I had uttered clashed with his deep-set convictions. And it suddenly flashed

through me that this man was not a politician and would never be one."83 To Wright, Danquah was of the "old school," who could not speak for the masses but could tell them what to do.

In short, underlying the ideological orientation of the UGCC was a disdain, suspicion, and fear of the potential of the "rabble" when harnessed. It was the CPP and Nkrumah that captured and represented these social forces. Nkrumah saw the masses as constituting a potential for transforming the country into a modern state. For him, the commoners were to become autonomous citizens, who were capable of making rational choices and forging a new political entity. The modern constituent assembly was to be formed on the basis of citizens free from colonial domination with the objective of forming a new nation. The CPP affirmed the principle of one man, one vote. This extension of the franchise, equality and freedom for all was given legal backing and realization in 1951. The Coussey Committee, set up in the aftermath of the report of the Watson Commission, was mandated to look into constitutional proposals for the Gold Coast. It proposed the restriction of universal adult suffrage to "male and females of 25 years and over . . . who should have paid or contributed to the payments of rates paid levy or annual tax."84

Kwesi Plange became one of the youngest CPP candidates. He was elected in a Cape Coast by-election in June 1950 just before his twenty-fifth birthday. He argued that the voting age should be lowered to 21 years of age. The unofficial members of the Legislative Council agreed that the age for electors only (but not for candidates) should be 21. Consequently, Plange's radical move, had it not been implemented, would have disenfranchised the 21-24 age group in the municipal areas.<sup>85</sup> Hence, the CPP stood for the expansion of democracy. Implicit within this rationale was the prospect of the ruled becoming the rulers. The verandah boys, or semieducated commoners, appeared to be stating, "We have the right to represent ourselves." The Ghanaian intelligentsia rejected the right of the crowd to represent itself behind the leadership of the CPP, for in doing so, the "rabble" and the CPP had usurped the "right" of the Gold Coast elite to govern over the "common man." Part of the bitterness harbored by the UGCC leaders extended to this sentiment as well as to the fact that they had invited Nkrumah from London to build the movement. The leaders believed Nkrumah had politically betrayed them by breaking away to set up his own political party based on the CYO.

The rise of the "commoner's party," or people's party as the CPP became known, was also attributable to the successful presentation of the party as the embodiment of the will of the people. It cast itself as a "mass party" with huge popularity. However, the ideological orientation of the CPP and Nkrumah during these early years was nebulous. It is difficult to describe the CPP and Nkrumah as subscribing to Marxist-Leninist ideas during this period, even if Nkrumah and other CPP leaders had earlier been under the influence of such ideas. If we examine the intellectual nuances of the CPP's 1949 constitution, there was no explicit allegiance to socialism, nationalization of wealth, or use of Marxist language. The constitution outlined the national aims and objectives of the party, which were

general in focus. For example, it stated it aspired "to work for a speedy reconstruction of a better Ghana (Gold Coast) in which the people and their chiefs shall have the right to live and govern themselves as free people." Even in its early formation as the CYO, the CPP aligned itself not only with the people but also with their chiefs. Later in the political struggle for independence, the party became involved in a bitter struggle with the chiefs in the countryside despite its consistent denials that it did not seek to destroy the institution of chieftaincy.

In terms of its international perspective, the party committed itself "To work with other nationalist democratic and socialist movements in Africa and other continents" and "to support the demand for a West African Federation and of Pan-Africanism by promoting unity of action among the peoples of Africa and of African descent." It could not be assumed that by "working with other nationalist democratic and socialist movements" the CPP was by association socialist in its orientation. Its Pan-African convictions in comparison to its socialist commitment were stronger and explicit—as Nkrumah, Botsio, and others had been involved with the Fifth Pan-African Congress and the WASU. Despite this, the aspirations of the party were radical to the extent that it "wished to replace the existing leadership in the politics of the country with a more dynamic one," it sought to engage the common man in the politics of the country, which was an anathema to the elitist UGCC, and lastly, "it sought to change the political system rather than seek accommodation within it."

It was the publication of Nkrumah's What I Mean by Positive Action that heralded the beginnings of practical revolutionary politics. <sup>89</sup> It was an exposition of Nkrumah's adherence to peaceful, nonviolent methods of political struggle within a constitutional framework. The pamphlet took its ideological inspiration from Mahatma Gandhi. In Nkrumah's private notebook, written in 1950, he wrote several paragraphs defining Gandhi's moral and political vision. He claimed, "To Gandhi non-violence was much more than a weapon; it was part of a religious way of life which he called Satyagraha. The word Satyagraha is Sanskrit in origin—a combination of saty (truth) and agraha (insistence)." <sup>90</sup>

Nkrumah believed in the moral correctness of nonviolence but did not uphold the religious steadfastness of Gandhi. He may have read the book *Civil Disobedience Movement in India* by the Indian author C. V. H. Rao, for he cited a passage from this book in his private notebook. He agreed with Rao that the resolution of any conflict is affected by "the nature of the moral force and public sympathy generated by the righteousness of the cause for which the suffering is undergone and the extent of the moral reaction it has produced on the party against which it is directed." <sup>91</sup>

Nkrumah's purpose in writing the publication was to correct "erroneously and maliciously publicized" disabuse of the term Positive Action (PA) by forces he castigated as "provocateurs and stooges." Nkrumah was called before the Ga State Council on October 20, 1949, to explain the term, which in the minds of some individuals had acquired the meaning of violent disturbance. He rationalized that Positive Action was the strategy through which "the British Government can relinquish its authority and hand over the control of affairs, that is, the

Government to the people of this country and their chiefs."93 Nkrumah believed that there was one of two ways in which self-government would be obtained: via "moral pressure" as exemplified by Gandhi and the Indian people or through armed revolutionary action. He defined Positive Action as "the adoption of all legitimate and constitutional means by which we can cripple the forces of imperialism in this country. The weapons of Positive Action are: 1. Legitimate political action 2. Newspapers and educational campaigns and 3. as a last resort, the constitutional application of strikes, boycotts, and non-cooperation based on the principle of absolute nonviolence."94

The practical focus of Positive Action was important, for it alarmed not only the chiefs of the country, the intelligentsia, and the British colonial administration, but also it was directed to appeal to the bulk of the CPP's political constituency. As Nkrumah wrote, "We must remember that because of the educational backwardness of the colonial countries, the majority of the people of this country cannot read. There is only one thing they can understand and that is Action."

He called for the creation of widespread political consciousness and a sense of national self-interest. He made it clear that "Nation-wide Non-violent Sitdown-at-home Strikes, Boycotts, and Non-co-operation" would constitute a last resort. Nkrumah wrote to the British colonial governor, Sir Charles Arden-Clarke, on December 15, 1949, threatening that if the legitimate aspirations of the people represented by the Peoples Representative Assembly were not met, the CPP would embark upon Positive Action. It was followed by the *Evening News* front-page headline: "The Era of Positive Action Draws Nigh." Sir Charles Arden-Clarke claimed that "some at least of the party leaders would have preferred not to resort to 'positive action' but to await the result of the general election, of the outcome of which they were fairly confident. But they found themselves enmeshed in the coils of their own propaganda. The tail wagged the dog, and 'positive action' was duly declared in January 1950."

In contrast, Nkrumah suggests the decision to adopt PA by the CPP executive committee—under the principle of democratic centralism—was a unanimous one. It is interesting that Nkrumah's *Autobiography* presented the episode of the decision and its implementation as an unwavering one, as this differs starkly from the accounts given by both Sir Charles Arden-Clarke and Sir Reginald Saloway in 1957 and 1955, respectively. Nkrumah's interpretation suggests that his recollection is not entirely accurate and that indecisiveness is a quality he did not wish to be associated with, for PA and indecisiveness is a contradiction in terms. Arden-Clarke indicated that there were political differences in the CPP. Moreover, Sir Reginald Saloway reinforces his view.<sup>99</sup>

Nkrumah told Saloway in a meeting between the two that he had the whole country behind him in support of Positive Action. After Saloway had addressed a CPP executive committee meeting urging members to adopt the constitutional path to political reform, Saloway claims, "Nkrumah publicly called off Positive Action." In Nkrumah's account, he claimed that "Positive Action had certainly not been abandoned," though he acknowledged "it was waning." Despite this, it appears there were elements within the CPP calling for the continuation of

Positive Action when it had in fact been called off. Saloway contends, "Nkrumah tried hard to get the TUC [Trades Union Congress] to call off the general strike but the TUC no longer had any control over the wild men. Dr. Danquah taunted Nkrumah with having sold himself to the Colonial Secretary and thus infuriated the rank and file of the CPP who forced Nkrumah to retract. Even so, Positive Action and the general strike went off at half cock." 102

In short, it appears that there were radical elements within the CPP rank and file who were staunchly in favor of the continuation of Positive Action in order to force the government to move toward the creation of a constituent assembly. While Nkrumah's account is rather partial, he seems to have managed to placate the radical elements. Moreover, it appears that the CPP pursued a twin track strategy of condemning the new Coussey Constitution as "bogus and fraudulent" while simultaneously focusing on the forthcoming February general elections. In Austin's account of these tumultuous days, "there was a note of uncertainty about [Nkrumah's] call to arms, and it was easy to understand why Nkrumah should hesitate."103 Nkrumah was an astute politician, aware of the dangers of Positive Action escalating. He was also urged by more vociferous party followers to pursue militant action, for as he said in his pamphlet What I Mean by Positive Action, "the majority of the people" of the country only understood action. Therefore, while Nkrumah had given intellectual expression to Positive Action on paper, he was to find that its practical implementation entailed a political risk to the desired outcome of a constituent assembly.

Meanwhile, Nkrumah and others were publicly tried and sentenced to jail for their role in promoting an illegal strike by adopting Positive Action. With Nkrumah in James Fort prison, the political campaigning for the country's first general election took place between the CPP, UGCC, and the National Democratic Party (NDP).

Nkrumah spent a total of 14 months in prison. It seems it was sufficient time for him to articulate his thoughts on capital punishment, which was carried out at James Fort. Nkrumah contemplated "whether prison punishments really did achieve their purpose in reforming the criminal and whether capital punishment was a solution to murder cases." 104 Nkrumah wrote,

Criminals, after all, are human beings. No man is born a criminal; society makes him so, and the only way to change things is to change the social conditions; it is only from the social standpoint that crime and punishment can be effectively approached. I have always been against the death penalty, even before I came so close to understanding what this meant during my prison life. I believe that it is a relic of barbarism and savagery and that it is inconsistent with decent morals and the teaching of Christian ethics. The aim of punishment should be that of understanding and correction. <sup>105</sup>

For most of his 14 months incarceration, he suffered "extreme boredom" but appeared to be mentally engaged in planning for the party. <sup>106</sup> He gave a number of written instructions to party members on prison toilet paper. <sup>107</sup> The letters do not shed any further light on Nkrumah's intellectual thinking, but they reveal

his attitude to power as well as his pedantic preoccupation with developments within the party that were not to his liking. He expressed that he was "rather very much worried about the present standard of the Evening News," which he considered "definitely below the mark." 108 He suggested a new format to the party paper, which was to include new columns such as news headlines, a workers' forum, public opinion, and a CPP Newsletter. 109 As he considered the *Evening* News to be "the backbone of the party," Nkrumah proposed that G. K. Amegbe and Jimmy Markham run the paper under Gbedemah's supervision. The then editor, K. Owuya Quashie, was to be transferred to the party's central office to organize the workers in the trade unions on a full-time basis. Nkrumah was of the opinion that "every element in the country must be organized behind the party." Nkrumah expressed concern at the arrival of the Labour Spokesman—another newspaper considered to be a competitor. Gbedemah was instructed to contact its editor and persuade him to become affiliated to the CPP, for "anything set up outside the machinery of the Party is politically dangerous," wrote Nkrumah. 110 This instruction on Nkrumah's part suggests he could not envision autonomous bodies within civil society acting independently—even if in broad support of the party's aims. He went on to instruct Gbedemah that "the Labour Spokesman must come under your supervision."111

In another letter written between August 1 and 5, 1950, addressed to Gbedemah, Nkrumah sought information about the progress of the party and the First Annual Delegates Conference scheduled for August 6-7. He advised Gbedemah not to make public the names of the candidates for the general election and the assembly. Nkrumah considered "the action is very inopportune and very politically dangerous. Tactically the step is wrong and could lead to political and party confusion." In his view, "The proper thing to do is a solid and impregnable organization and the cipipiification of the country. We must be sure that we have very town and village cipipified."112 Nkrumah cautioned that the party needed to be certain of its organizational strength before it could then embark on selecting candidates. He insisted that "the loyalty of the candidates be vigorously tested. And no names should be made known until a month or so before the date of the general election." The criteria for selection were an understanding of the party's program and the candidate "must be made to swear an irrevocable loyalty to the party, and be made to swear allegiance to Kwame Nkrumah. They must be made to understand the theoretical foundation of our struggle."113

The argument that Nkrumah consciously aided the development of a cult of personality around himself is further evidenced by his insistence that members of the party swear an oath of loyalty to him. Richard Wright witnessed an oath-swearing ceremony in a meeting of the women's division of the CPP in 1952 when he visited the Gold Coast. Wright observed that when he "impulsively" requested a copy of the written oath, Nkrumah deliberately did not answer him and that on the return journey to Nkrumah's house, Nkrumah "was poised, aloof, silent. Intuitively, I knew that he was thinking of my reaction to that oath-taking," wrote Wright. He was perplexed by the oath the women had sworn but justified it in terms of "oaths was a common feature of their rituals."

Overall, Nkrumah's preoccupation while in prison was with the organization of the party in preparation for the general election and improvement of the party paper. Nkrumah's prison letters and directives were authoritarian in tone. In a letter dated June 17, 1950, Nkrumah was scathing of the tone of the party paper of the same date. He wrote, "The scriptural quotations and tone of writing have made the Evenews look like the organ of the Christian Council. Often Today's Quotation has come from the scriptures instead of the quotations from political classics etc."116 Nkrumah had a great fondness for political quotations. His notebook contained many from political thinkers, politicians, and writers he admired.<sup>117</sup> Nkrumah was also critical of the length of articles in the paper, that "several prominent and important columns have painfully disappeared from the dynamic Evenews: 'Public Opinion' is gone! 'Workers Forum' is gone! 'News Headlines' seldom appears! On the other hand other papers have been adopting these titles ONE BY ONE." Nkrumah insisted, "all these columns must be resuscitated WITHOUT DELAY." He also proposed that "the *Evenews* should now display a photograph or cartoon etc EVERYDAY to serve as a new attracting feature: Let all classes of people be represented, e.g. political and trade union leaders, merchants, workers, etc, etc, etc."118

As the leader of the party, he was committed to his party's interests, but his concern was also likely to have been partly affected by his own frustrations with his incarceration that prevented him from participating in the election. Nkrumah received 22,780 votes out of a total of 23,122 in the Accra constituency where he stood. It was under the astute leadership of Gbedemah, as campaign director general, that an effective political machinery was established to confront the British colonial administration while Nkrumah was still in prison. Other influential leaders of the CPP campaign team were Madam Deede Aryeetey, Sophia Doku, Esi Allua, Nathaniel Welbeck, Archie Casely-Hayford, Krobo Edusei, Ako Adjei, and Nii Kwabena Bonne.119

The party became the majority party within the new Legislative Assembly. A new executive council was constituted, although the CPP insisted on its presiding majority. Nkrumah was released from prison on February 12, 1951, and on the following day invited to become the Gold Coast's leader of government business by Arden-Clarke. Four months later, on June 5, 1951, Nkrumah and his longtime colleague Kojo Botsio visited his alma mater, Lincoln University, where he gave an address to an American audience. Not only did he take the opportunity to express his gratitude for the honorary degree of doctor of laws awarded him, but Nkrumah also briefly outlined the principles and policies of the CPP. He denied being a Communist, as his detractors had painted him. He insisted "self-government is only a means to an end—the goal of economic and social upliftment for the people of the Gold Coast." He also revered his hero—Garvey—in claiming, "There never was a better period for the 'Back to Africa' movement of Marcus Garvey than today. Let Negro scientists and technicians and teachers flow in ever larger numbers to the Gold Coast to help build the new Gold Coast, yea, the new Ghana—a New Africa."120 Hence, Nkrumah's Pan-African appeal to African Americans in the diaspora to assist the Gold Coast

widened the appeal of his country but also set in motion the notion of Ghana as a mecca for Pan-Africanists.

He spoke of his government's new development plan and appealed for American financial aid in the fields of science, technology, and agricultural assistance. Nkrumah said, "Our immediate objectives in the development plan are the implementation of our educational plans, the construction of the Volta Scheme, the establishment of a cement factory and another for making pipes for water supply and iron bars for house construction." Via his numerous appearances before the American press, and his audience with the mayor of Philadelphia, he used the opportunity to appeal for technical assistance. Moreover, he said, "the people of the Gold Coast have the right to govern or misgovern themselves." His speech gave an indication of the aspirations of both the new government and its leader.

The period of dyarchy or partnership, as the years 1951 to 1957 are known, were not as smooth sailing as the CPP were later to present. The 1951, electoral victory had forced the British colonial state to reconsider a party it had earlier considered to be no more than a "rabble"—as a serious political entity with popular support. Some elements within the British colonial administration continued to consider the chiefs the natural and rightful rulers to whom power should be transferred. The subsequent period was a politically turbulent one in Ghana's history, as the CPP's assertion to be the national party of the common man was challenged by a number of opposing political forces, from the cocoa producing region of Ashanti, the Ga people of the Accra region, a secessionist movement of the Togoland Congress, and from the North of the country. These politically disgruntled elements later formed the National Liberation Movement (NLM). How Nkrumah and the CPP responded to the emerging demand for a federal constitution by these political elements and how it managed to cooperate with the British colonial authorities in the struggle to realize "Self-Government NOW" is the focus of the next chapter.

## **CHAPTER 4**

# Nkrumah and the Dyarchic Partnership, 1951–1954

The period after the Convention People's Party (CPP) electoral victory of February 1951 was characterized by an intense struggle between newly emerging political forces. Opposition forces sought to challenge the CPP's claim to be a national party representative of the chiefs and the commoners of the Gold Coast. The period from 1951 to the attainment of independence in 1957 was one in which the CPP won two general elections, in 1954 and 1956. It sought to establish itself as a national party and acquired some measures of internal self-government in the administration of ministries.<sup>1</sup>

The beginning of shared government with the British colonial administration signaled an end to the militancy of Positive Action and the beginning of what Nkrumah coined "Tactical Action." Hence, the 1951-57 period saw a powersharing arrangement, often referred to as "dyarchy." According to Rathbone, "The dyarchy pattern was evident even before the election [of February 1951], with CPP workers cooperating with officials in the field" to put in place electoral administrative processes and the registration of voters.<sup>2</sup> It was characterized by cooperation, adjustment, and compromise between the British and the incumbent CPP government as well as a clear attempt by Nkrumah to consolidate his leadership over a party comprising disparate social and political elements, which by 1952 began to show evidence of fracture. Drah makes the important point that "Nkrumah's Marxist-Leninist beliefs were muted in this period" while "his belief in 'majority rule' was always manifest." The overriding political objective of the CPP was to settle down to govern the country. Alongside this powersharing arrangement, an intense power struggle in the Ashanti region and in Akyem Abuakwa in the south where there was deep-seated hostility toward the CPP emerged. During this preindependence period, these tensions often erupted into political violence as the CPP and its chiefly opponents sought to win the hearts and minds of rural dwellers of the Gold Coast.4

What follows is a critical examination of Nkrumah's thought and practice in relation to his consolidation of the party during this early phase of the anticolonial struggle (1951–57). It appears that during this period, urgent and practical political matters overrode the expansion and development of his philosophical concerns. Excluding his *Autobiography*, which was published in 1957, Nkrumah published very little during this time. The publication *I Speak of Freedom*, which was published postindependence (in 1961), is the only other documentation elucidating his views on the period of Tactical Action. His later writings, particularly during his "exile" in Guinea-Conakry, do not shed any further light on this phase of his political life.

How did Nkrumah's intellectual development in America and London shape his political approach during 1951 to 1957? It appears Nkrumah now focused on the narrower aim of territorial independence before West African unity. The latter had preoccupied him during his days in America and London. He remained driven by implacable opposition to colonialism and imperialism. Though he still believed in an alliance of all colonial territories and dependencies, on his return to the Gold Coast, his observations required revision in his political thinking, as he revealed to the Watson Commission. However, the demands for West African unity were not altogether abandoned during the 1951–57 period. Though domestic political affairs absorbed Nkrumah's time and energy, he remained intellectually committed to the larger issue of African freedom and unity. However, the period 1951–57 undoubtedly gave rise to a number of problems and issues between the new African ministers on the one hand and their expatriate counterparts in the British civil service on the other.

### Tactical Action: Partnership with the British, 1951–1954

Nkrumah's statement in which he declared his opposition to discrimination and anti-imperialism exposed that, unlike Marcus Garvey, he was able to carefully distinguish principle from race and color. Nkrumah reiterated his opposition to imperialism and racism at a commemoration of the late Dr. Aggrey. The *Evening News* of May 31, 1951, reported on Nkrumah's visit to Anomabu, hometown of Aggrey. He sought to pay his respects to his mentor in front of Anomabu Castle, where several chiefs had gathered for the occasion. He remarked, "My hatred against imperialists can never dwindle, but what I want everyone in this country to remember is that we are fighting against a system and not against any individual, race or colour."

This intellectual separation enabled Nkrumah to immediately establish an amicable working relationship with the governor, Sir Charles Arden-Clarke, which lasted throughout the dyarchic period. The success of the partnership was attributable not only to the cordial interpersonal relationship that emerged between these two men but also to their pragmatism and political acumen.<sup>6</sup> Both recognized the constraints they were under and remained focused on ultimate political objectives. Arden-Clarke also referred to a "close, friendly" and "not unfruitful partnership with Nkrumah."<sup>7</sup>

Nkrumah acknowledged in the *Autobiography* that he did his best to prevent conflicts escalating between his ministers and British civil servants, for his greatest fear was that "this might result in the breakdown of the Government and possibly the suspension of the Coussey Constitution." In his address to CPP assemblymen at the arena in early February 1951 (prior to the first legislative assembly meeting on February 20, 1951), Nkrumah demonstrated that he had not lost sight of the political objectives of the party. The purpose of the meeting was to warn assemblymen of "the dangers and difficulties that lay ahead of them when they took their seats in the Assembly." Nkrumah's belief in fighting from within and without was integral to his political strategy. This strategy contributed to the ambiguity of the CPP's new policy of Tactical Action. The language of Tactical Action enabled him to sound hard-hitting when necessary and to adopt accommodating language when appropriate.

In this early transitional stage of self-government, Nkrumah made an attempt to check personal aggrandizement within the party. To this end, an agreement was reached among CPP executive council members that they would not live in the luxurious ministerial bungalows that had been built and would live simple lives.<sup>10</sup>

Nkrumah's account of the adjustment from "Positive Action" to "Tactical Action" in his *Autobiography* as a "smooth" transition was intended to justify a change in strategy from vehement opposition to British colonial rule, to a position in which the CPP "had to serve a period of apprenticeship under official control" in order to achieve its political objectives. At the same time, the party was not yet fully in control of itself. According to Rathbone, "Nkrumah retained the attractive activist fiction of 'Tactical Action,' the successor to positive action, which suggested grudging cooperation coupled with overt anti-colonial resistance. It was indeed an astute political strategy on Nkrumah's part. It enabled him to carry the more radical elements of the CPP with his militant rhetoric and denunciation of the Coussey constitution as "bogus and fraudulent" and appear to be unbending. Yet he simultaneously justified cooperating with the British colonial administration "as a stepping stone" to "Self-Government Now." 15

Integral to Nkrumah's definition of Tactical Action was Africanization—a gradual policy of replacing the expatriate public service with Africans. He considered that Tactical Action was a policy that required "thought and tact." Such a policy was essentially one of compromise, negotiation, and pragmatism between Nkrumah, the CPP, Arden-Clarke, and the expatriate civil service. Nkrumah's position on Tactical Action officially characterized the accommodating disposition of the CPP government; however, it also obscured what Arden-Clarke referred to as "an atmosphere of perpetual crisis" in the early years of the implementation of the Coussey constitution. 17

Both men did not foresee the problems the transition period would throw up. <sup>18</sup> On the one hand, the governor had to placate a "markedly restive and militant" British civil service while Nkrumah also had to appease the impetuous elements in his party. <sup>19</sup> Nkrumah sought compliance from a party comprising conservative and more radical elements. <sup>20</sup> Among the issues, which threw up much disagreement among CPP assemblymen and the party rank and file, were

the close relations between British district officers and the chiefs. The district officers were perceived as biased in their judgments on account of their sympathy toward the traditional rulers. Increasingly, mutual suspicions between Nkrumah's ministers and British civil servants also contributed to the atmosphere of distrust between the two sides. Nkrumah acknowledged that not "everything was plain sailing" during the period of Tactical Action. Chapter 13 of his *Autobiography*, titled "Tactical Action," does not elucidate the precise nature of the frictions between his inexperienced ministers and the British civil servants who were equally suspicious of their African counterparts.<sup>21</sup>

Nkrumah accepted the predicament that while the Gold Coast needed "an efficient administrative machine to give effect to government policy," competent African personnel required to replace the expatriate staff were largely nonexistent. When the civil service was on the verge of total collapse. The growing insecurity of tenure among many British civil servants and their disquiet at attacks made on them by the rank and file of the CPP led British secretary of state for the colonies, Oliver Lyttelton, to declare that British civil servants would be transferred to colonial territories that required their skills. This apparent ultimatum forced Nkrumah to attempt to arrest the situation.

Consequently, the CPP government embarked on a policy of realism and pragmatism whereby "overseas officers could elect to serve if they wanted to" and compensation for loss of career was given while a program of Africanization was simultaneously implemented.<sup>25</sup> It was a delicate balancing act that sought to retain as many officers as possible in a "freezing period of four years" to give the government "a breathing space."<sup>26</sup>

Nkrumah also made clear in an address to the assembly on July 8, 1953, that "while appreciating the need for accelerated Africanisation, Government do not propose that this should be achieved at the expense of efficiency, or that promotion in the service should be on the basis of colour. At the same time, changed conditions of service will be recognised by the introduction of a scheme for compensation."27 Approval from the parliamentary CPP and "wild men" of the party for this scheme was difficult for Nkrumah to obtain, for many associated the move toward independence with the automatic replacement of British civil servants with Africans. However, Bing remarks that Nkrumah and his ministers philosophically accepted the situation.<sup>28</sup> The impact of the scheme led to a slow increase in the employment of senior African personnel. The total number of Africans in the public service in 1949 was 171 and Europeans totaled 1,068. In 1951 the numbers of Africans increased to 268 and 1,043 for Europeans, and in 1954 to 916 and 1,490, respectively.<sup>29</sup> In short, even though the number of Africans tripled, total numbers of Europeans also increased due to the overall expansion of the civil service.

#### **Dissent from Within and Without**

Two years after the birth of the party, Nkrumah made unequivocal his position on factionalism within the CPP. At the Second National Delegates Conference, held at Ho in the Volta Region, Nkrumah presented the party's constitution. He declared, "We shall not tolerate factionalism in our Party. We shall expel from our ranks those individuals and those little caucuses who meet in their little holes and conspire against the backs of the Party. If they have any grievances against the Party let them come out in the open and defend their position. This, Comrades, is democratic centralism." Nkrumah's attempt to stamp out factionalism very early in the party's history was a means by which he sought to preserve unity within a party constituted by heterogeneous interests and social groups. However, it had little effect.

The CPP won the municipal elections of 1951, but it was by no means fully in charge of the country when the Legislative Assembly met on February 20, 1951, for the first time. Nkrumah returned to the tumult of Gold Coast politics after his visit to his alma mater, Lincoln University, in early June 1951. In August 1951, he introduced a controversial piece of legislation that was inextricably linked to his concept of state power. The philosophical rationale of the 1951 Local Government Ordinance represented the confrontation between popular democracy versus chiefly rule. It also embodied opposing notions of control over the wealth created by cocoa revenue. The chiefs, who had long been encouraged by the British colonial authorities to consider themselves the natural rulers, had their legitimacy challenged and vehemently "repudiated the government's policy of controlling and managing stool lands through the new local government organisations." In short, the bill empowered the newly created democratic local councils to collect revenue from stool lands. The local councils were to make an annual grant to the traditional bodies and state councils.

During the third reading of the passing of the bill, Dr. J. B. Danquah, Nkrumah's arch ideological opponent, told the house in December 1951 that the bill was dangerous to the authority of chiefs in the country. Danquah stated that "the bill was going to create confusion in Akim Abuakwa state, whose revenue formed one fifth of the colony revenue as far as the Native Authority treasury were concerned."<sup>33</sup> Again, during the debate on the Gold Coast Marketing Board Ordinance of 1951, Danquah bitterly denounced the government's control of stool lands through the new councils as "confiscation of property—communism naked and unashamed" and hence a violation of individual and communal rights to the free enjoyment of property.<sup>34</sup> He believed the funds of the Gold Coast Cocoa Marketing Board (GCCMB) belonged to farmers, the majority of whom were in the Ashanti region and Akyem Abuakwa.

Conversely, Nkrumah and his government viewed cocoa revenue as national property to be fairly redistributed in the economic improvement of the entire country via central control. The secretary for finance, Ohene Djan, made it clear during the Legislative Assembly debates in 1951 that the interests of cocoa farmers could not be separated from that of the rest of the country.<sup>35</sup> The bill, as

Rathbone observes, was the first step in curbing chiefly rule. Chiefs in the colony and in the Ashanti region had now effectively lost control of stool lands, which were now to be allocated by local councils. In addition to this, the new local councils had to reserve one-third of the membership to traditional leaders; hence, popular representation was reversing the long-held political power of the chiefs and giving way to the voice of the commoner.<sup>36</sup> This perceived interference in chiefly matters provided grounds for a rebellion, which later intensified into a more politically organized and credible opposition in the period 1954 to 1957.

Meanwhile, anti-CPP elements continued to attack Nkrumah for "kicking wildly against the original Coussey Constitution only to go to prison and suffer to return and accept a mutilated Coussey."<sup>37</sup> The central argument of the opposition was that by its volte-face, "the CPP preached SG [Self-Government] Now only to accept in practice "S G [Self-Government] in the Shortest Possible time."<sup>38</sup> As attacks from the opposition continued there also emerged internal political rifts within the CPP between 1951 and 1952.

In a review of 1951, Bankole Timothy celebrated the achievements of the CPP as having "generated a sense of confidence in the country." Timothy speculated that "the CPP will for some time at least, continue to dominate the political scene" on account of "an efficient propaganda machinery throughout the country" and "their political ideology 'S G Now' appeals strongly to the masses and wins their full support and loyalty."39 He wrote, "It would take an article in itself to discuss the political dissension that is seething in the CPP. There is a battle of political ideologies. The battle is made lively by the techniques of some CPP backbenchers for leadership in the front ranks of the party. But in spite of these differences, the Gold Coast is forging ahead in an admirable way."40 It appears that beneath the facade of organizational unity Nkrumah had imposed, strong political differences existed inside the party. Timothy appears to have downplayed the political schisms residing in the party by omission of such "seething" issues. Nevertheless, by mid-1951 some party members were uncomfortable with internal irregularities and there were hints of undemocratic tendencies within the party. In August 1951, Kwesi Lamptey resigned from the party in protest at the slow rate of advance toward self-government. He had performed the important role of acting national vice chairman in 1950 and ministerial secretary in February 1951.

In late December 1951, E. S. Nartey, who was eastern regional secretary, resigned his post in the party. In a letter to Nkrumah, Nartey did not disguise his distaste for autocracy: "I fear that the fate to which Mussolini and other Fascist leaders suffered from the masses whom they once led may befall me when the masses of the Gold Coast wake up from the delusion in which they are now."<sup>41</sup> There were others who had equally forthright grievances. They attacked Nkrumah at the August 1951 CPP conference for not moving fast enough toward the objectives of immediate self-government and were expelled in April and May 1952.<sup>42</sup>

Nevertheless, the critics within the party were small in number "and although able to execute party feelings on one side or the other, had little weight behind

them."<sup>43</sup> In a letter to Nkrumah, Padmore expressed his "alarm" at the resignation of Dzenkle Dzewu and others, as they were part of the CPP leadership and therefore as "responsible as anyone else for the formulation of broad Party policy."<sup>44</sup> Padmore's private letters to Nkrumah during these early years of the CPP's struggle for independence reveal him to be the principal strategist and ideological engineer in guiding Nkrumah and the CPP in matters of both political and socioeconomic policy. <sup>45</sup> Padmore urged Nkrumah to "exercise firmness" and "patience in explaining to those leading comrades who might not have grasped the whole political manoeuvre why things are not moving as rapidly as you would all like them to do at the moment. <sup>346</sup>

Around the same time as the resignation of these CPP militants, a small and insignificant opposition party came into existence. The CPP was unthreatened by the birth of the Ghana Congress Party (GCP) on May 4, 1952, in Accra. Its formation effectively replaced the UGCC as the main opposition party, representing the interests of the established coastal urban elite. It was disparagingly referred to within CPP circles as the "Ghost Party." Its main sources of support came from the Wenchi royal family, from where its leader, Professor K. A. Busia, hailed, as well as from ex-CPP supporters such as Dzenkle Dzewu, Ashie Nikoe, Mate Kole, H. P. Nyemetei, Saki Scheck, and Kwesi Lamptey. A few of the Cape Coast lawyer-merchant class also lent their weight to the GCP, but similar to the United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC), the party became weakened by internal rifts among its leaders. Its political objective was to become "an effective opposition to the CPP government." But to the CPP, "its existence was probably an additional, though minor, help to the CPP leaders in their insistence on the need for vigilance and discipline."

A series of events arose that enabled Nkrumah to capture the initiative and gain an upper hand in dealing with disgruntled elements within the country and inside the CPP. First, in April 1953 the government published a white paper comprising the views of the territorial councils and political groups; and second, on July 10 Nkrumah delivered his famous "Motion of Destiny" speech in the Legislative Assembly.<sup>49</sup> Nkrumah demanded Her Majesty's Government introduce an act of independence for the Gold Coast to obtain self-government within the Commonwealth. Third, in August 1953 Nkrumah merged the Gold Coast Trade Union Congress (GCTUC) and the Ghana Trades Union Congress (GTUC).<sup>50</sup> This act appears to have substantiated his ideological belief that "anything set up outside the machinery of the Party is politically dangerous."51 The amalgamation of the two organizations "was designed by Nkrumah to produce a united Trade Union Congress under CPP control."52 The forced merger temporarily obscured the three ideological positions within the labor movement.<sup>53</sup> The CPP loyalists within the labor movement were led by Tachie Menson and were committed to the principle of nonaffiliation to any trade union body. Seeking reaffiliation with the International Confederation of Free Trades Union (ICFTU) was the GCTUC, led by Larbi Odam. Finally, there was the left-wing old Ghana Trades Union Congress led by Turkson Ocran.

54

In October 1953 a more serious event, occurring on the other side of the Atlantic, gave rise to further alarm over communist penetration in the Gold Coast on the part of the British Colonial Office. In the context of the Cold War, the 1950s and 1960s saw a heightening of ideological tensions and suspicions between the capitalist West led by the United States and the communist world led by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). These conflicts embraced the colonial territories, as each superpower feared their noninvolvement in colonial affairs would be an advantage to their opponent. The incident also enabled Nkrumah to strengthen his hand over the party. The suspension of the constitution of British Guiana on October 9 led to the dismissal of the democratically elected government led by Dr. Cheddi Jagan. The People's Progressive Party (PPP) was declared by the British government to be a communist party intending to foster strikes to undermine the economic stability of the colony. The British government sent military and naval forces to the South American country signaling the immediate assumption of direct rule. Several weeks later, another incident that aggravated the situation was the attendance by two CPP members—Anthony Woode, member of the legislative assembly, and Turkson Ocran, secretary of the GTUC at the Third Congress of the World Federation of Trades Union (WFTU) in Vienna on October 22, 1953. The two incidents were the subject of extensive discussion in the Gold Coast press and in the Colonial Office. The repercussions of the incidents were not lost on Nkrumah. The manner in which he handled the unfolding state of affairs made unequivocal his position on communism and demonstrated his political ruthlessness.

In a letter dated October 24, 1953, Barnes requested an assessment of the extent of communist control within the trade union movement in the Gold Coast from Arden-Clarke.<sup>54</sup> Barnes expressed fears of the spread of communism in the Gold Coast and that "the willingness of Her Majesty's Government [HMG] to agree to further constitutional reforms has been based on the assumptions that there was no real Communist threat in the Gold Coast and that democratic parties would be the main rivals for office. The possibility of the emergence of a united Communist-led trade union movement make these assumptions questionable."<sup>55</sup> He requested the governor inform him of measures that the CPP government intended to adopt to confront "the communist problem."<sup>56</sup>

Arden-Clarke's response to Barnes revealed that Nkrumah had prudently heeded the precedent of British Guiana. The governor wrote, "Nkrumah and other Ministers are becoming increasingly, if gradually, aware of the dangers attendant on communist infiltration and of the importance of building up confidence in the Gold Coast in the non-communist world. The lesson of British Guiana is being learnt." Despite Arden-Clarke's candid assessment of political developments on the ground, it appears the Colonial Office and the secretary of state, Oliver Lyttelton, were not reassured. Neither did Nkrumah's stern warning that there would be no entanglement with foreign power politics reassure the confidence of the British Colonial Office. Nkrumah was intent on demonstrating that the CPP was not about to "jump from the British imperialist frying pan into the Moscow-ite Communistic fire," as he conveyed to a group of students

at the University College and the local branch of the United Nations in late October 1953.<sup>58</sup>

From Nkrumah's position as leader of the party and the Gold Coast government, severe measures were necessary to stamp his authority on the party and to safeguard constitutional progress toward self-government. His speech to the Central Committee of the party showed he was sensitive to the Cold War divisions of the world. He proclaimed, "In our forward march to independence, we as the people should remember that the struggle of a colonial people for Self-Government is by no means isolated from international politics."59 Consequently, both Anthony Woode and Turkson Ocran were immediately expelled from the party. 60 Following this action, in the Legislative Assembly on February 25, 1954, Nkrumah made an important statement on the government's attitude toward the employment of Communists in the public service by prohibiting Communists from taking posts in the army, police, labor, education, and civil service. 61 Furthermore, "these measures are being taken solely as a precaution and to protect the security of the Gold Coast and not on political grounds,"62 At the same time as the suspensions, Nkrumah and the CPP were "under considerable pressure from their extremists to abandon their present methods and make an immediate demand for independence."63

Dangerous rumors had been circulating within the CPP rank and file that there was "an imperialist plot" to sabotage any further progress toward self-government in the Gold Coast. Popular CPP opinion viewed the Commission of Enquiry, led by Justice K. A. Korsah, into the bribery scandal that led to the resignation of J. A. Braimah in November 1953 as an attempt to besmirch the CPP.<sup>64</sup> Simultaneously, "recent events in Kenya, British Guiana and Uganda are quoted as examples of the imperialist government's determination to keep the colonies in subjection," wrote Arden-Clarke.<sup>65</sup>

It is important to address why Nkrumah carried out such actions in these circumstances. According to Rathbone, "The adoption of these apparently draconian measures by the CPP dominated government was only partly intended to reassure the Conservative government in London. As importantly, these prohibitions provided the CPP with useful weapons in its struggle with independently minded left-wingers within the Party." 66

Nkrumah's crackdown on leftist labor elements is revealing. As far back as 1945 when he wrote *Towards Colonial Freedom*, he had conceived of the ideological necessity to organize a political movement of which labor was an integral component. His ideological mentor, Padmore, congratulated him for "purging his party of irresponsible members, who, under communist inspiration are out to stir up tribal, religious and labour troubles on the eve of self-government." In the opinion of Kofi Duku, "Nkrumah had to do that [order the expulsions] to save a given situation. It was sad because Turkson Ocran was a very good trade unionist. So was Tony Woode. But Nkrumah acted to save a very serious situation in the attainment of self-government." 68

Nkrumah's actions, therefore, enabled him and the CPP government to publicly distance themselves from communism. However, in spite of this stance the

party made an official yet muted commitment to socialism during these early years. At the August 1951 second annual conference held in Ho, among the party's national objectives were the following: "Self-Government Now and the development of (Gold Coast) Ghana on the basis of Socialism" and "To establish a Socialist state in which all men and women shall have equal opportunity and where there will be no capitalist exploitation."69 Despite this proclamation, there was no subsequent explication of how socialism would be achieved in practice. In its editorial of December 19, 1953, the Evening News stated, "Deeply ingrained in the hearts of the common people of this country is the basic ideological tenet of the CPP—Socialism. They love it. They see in it the only hope of salvation for the man in the street, the perpetual toilers in the hierarchy of capitalist society." Here was an implicit assumption that the CPP represented the socialist aspirations of the common people of the Gold Coast. In the accepted wisdom of the CPP leaders, the interests of the people were identical to those of the Party. The editorial ended with the following rhetorical lines: "Long live Socialism! Long live the toiling masses!" Yet neither the party, the Evening News, nor its leaders had clearly articulated what it referred to as "its basic ideological tenet." Therefore, it is questionable the extent to which ordinary people of the Gold Coast and the entire membership of the CPP (including the Central Committee), at this juncture of the country's movement toward self-government, understood what socialism meant. In short, during this transitional phase of constitutional advance, the ideological doctrine of the party was ill defined if not vague.

Other areas of tension during this period of Tactical Action existed in the area of reform of local government. The chiefs were at the crux of this system of reform. African ministers and many rural dwellers throughout the Gold Coast were critical of the British district officers and their relationship with the chiefs. Yet the relationship of dyarchy was to have a profound impact on the local government level. Having begun with the reform of the native authorities in the local government ordinance of August 1951, the regional officers and government agents perceived two problems: they considered the new government as attempting "to monopolise the local authorities for their own political interests and secondly that this seeming over-centralisation of CPP power stifled local representation."

Tensions mounted between civil servants, who considered African ministers as interfering in local affairs, and they voiced such concerns to Arden-Clarke. Similarly, CPP ministers and Nkrumah believed that their administration of the rural regions was vital, particularly as they were suspicious of the long-established relationship between the traditional leaders and the expatriate administration and questioned the loyalty of the British civil servants. A way around this was the creation in 1952 of a special cadre of African executive officers, who became agents of the minister of local government. However, this action created another furor between Nkrumah and the regional officers. According to Nkrumah, the commissioners "found it more difficult than most other expatriates to accept the new order of things."

Another battleground raged in the countryside between the chiefs and their subjects. The extraction of exorbitant taxes and the lack of impartiality by chiefs

in the administration of justice through the native courts as well as unfair land sales were the causes of conflicts between chiefs and rural people in the colony.<sup>72</sup> "But far more frequently," claims Rathbone, "the root cause of dissent was quite simply the fissiparousness that characterised Akan chieftaincy," which brought the young men of the countryside and chiefs into open confrontation.<sup>73</sup> Exacerbating this conflict was the fact that some within the CPP leadership sought to win over the traditional rulers and their followers to the CPP camp. Moreover, problems that beset the local government reforms were the lack of agreement between the traditional bodies and the local councils over the allocation of stool land revenue. This led to an intractable conflict that prevailed between 1951 and 1954. On the one hand, the CPP welcomed rural disorder because it could claim its support came from the discontented masses. Yet as Rathbone claims, "once involved in government, it had an increasing interest in rural order not least because so much of the national wealth was created in the countryside."<sup>74</sup>

In mid-1953, national discussions took place on the position of chiefs within the new proposed constitution for the country. The Van Lare Report<sup>75</sup> of the same year proposed "head chiefs should not take part in politics and should therefore seek no election to the Legislative Assembly."<sup>76</sup> This was yet another blow to chiefly authority. There were many accounts covering the growing disquiet of the chiefs at what they considered the destruction of the institution of chieftaincy.<sup>77</sup> These viewpoints were also expressed in the national press as well as in the Legislative Assembly. The chiefs remained embittered as their power was gradually being eroded.

#### **Establishing an Economic Paradise**

Another area of tension during this period of Tactical Action stemmed from a perception of the CPP government's economic policies held by the expatriate business community. In his campaigns, Nkrumah had promised voters that he would establish an economic "paradise." However, British mining interests in the country were threatened by the attraction of workers to the CPP. Rabid anticommunism upheld by the mine owners led to deep distrust of CPP economic policies. The British daily newspaper the *Daily Telegraph* supported mine owners' suspicions of the CPP, despite a visit to the United Kingdom by Botsio and Gbedemah in September 1952. These two leading CPP ministers sought to encourage British investors to remain in the country, but they were unsuccessful in allaying the latter's fears. Aggravating British economic insecurities were the prospects of the CPP extending invitations to the Dutch and West German financiers to the Gold Coast. 80

Under the dyarchic government, an area in which both the CPP and the British colonial administration were in agreement was the implementation of economic and social policies. These policies laid the embryonic basis of a welfare state. According to Mohan, that the "ideological father" of the CPP was George Padmore was clear, but "its economic parent was Arthur Lewis," the West Indian London School of Economics (LSE)-educated economist who advised Nkrumah's

government on economic matters during the early days of dyarchy.<sup>81</sup> In 1952, the ten-year economic plan was overhauled and a new £120 million Five Year Development Plan was introduced. It was essentially a reformulation of previous economic plans and was therefore, according to Rathbone, "not really revolutionary."<sup>82</sup> Similarly, the financial secretary, R. P. Armitage, expressed, "Ministers are, I think, determined to introduce new policies and new ideas but so far they have not been able to suggest much that has not already been thought of or even started."<sup>83</sup> But more importantly, "it was the CPP's plan and was presented to the country as such."<sup>84</sup> The CPP government was committed to increasing the provision of schools, clinics, roads, and housing; building a new harbor; as well as constructing the Akosombo dam to provide a national electric power supply.

Rathbone observes, "Fiscal and general economic policy remained sound if more ambitious given the combination of political necessity—Nkrumah had to be seen to be dramatically 'delivering the goods'—and the increasing wealth of the country."85 Unquestionably, revenue in the Gold Coast had grown steadily over the years to sustain the expansion of economic and social developments.<sup>86</sup> Nevertheless, never far from colonial thinking was a belief that the economic fruits of liberal democratic capitalism were necessary to entice Africans away from Communism. This thinking was reflected in a memorandum submitted by the labor parliament member, Sir. R. Acland, to the British secretary of state, Mr. Griffiths, in April 1951. Acland posed, "Are we doing enough to make sure that there is established in the Gold Coast a sufficient economic foundation to sustain the democratic political experiment which is now in progress?"87 He commended what he considered "an extraordinary successful start" being made "in a most audacious experiment in social democracy" in the Gold Coast that would be a "superior alternative to Communism." 88 Similarly, Nkrumah was aware that the political kingdom he promised the electorate had to be translated into economic benefits. Padmore's correspondence with Nkrumah frequently insisted that Nkrumah achieve concrete results to win the hearts and minds of people to the party.89

As the master strategist, Padmore was successful in impressing upon Nkrumah the importance of the CPP being credited with concrete successes in housing and road construction. In a letter dated December 29, 1951, Padmore advised Nkrumah to make some changes in appointments within the cabinet. He proposed Gbedemah exchange ministries with Dr. Koi, "where [Ansah Koi] will be less an obstacle and a drawback."

Other important economic commitments of the Nkrumah government during these early years involved the Volta River Project. While in 1951 the British government was still seriously reviewing its ability to provide the capital to fund the project, W. L. Gorell Barnes, a British official noted "the new African Ministers are extremely keen on the Volta River Project." This was a project that Nkrumah remained totally committed to from the start. He considered electrification as a fundamental goal of industrialization and as a fulfillment of his electoral mandate of seeking first the fruits of the political kingdom from which material benefits would follow. Undoubtedly, among the major considerations

for the British were not only "the vast size of the project," the projected capital expenditure of nearly £100 million, but also the details of the agreement between the three parties (i.e., the Gold Coast government, the UK government, and the West African Aluminum Ltd.). <sup>92</sup> Nkrumah was prepared to receive foreign financial assistance to fulfill this economic ambition.

In addition to implementing industrial plans in order to modernize the Gold Coast economy, Nkrumah sensitively handled the matter of the swollen shoot disease even though "many CPP candidates had told their audiences that, if returned to power, the CPP would end the cutting-out of diseased trees." Nkrumah suspended the policy of compulsory cutting out, which had caused considerable resentment among the farmers. Austin writes, "The new administration followed good colonial practice and appointed a local commission of inquiry to examine not only the effect of previous legislation but the actual methods used by the colonial government in its cutting-out campaign." W. L. Gorell Barnes met the CPP minister of commerce, industries, and mines, Tommy Hutton Mills, during a visit to the Gold Coast in late March 1951 and noted that in regards to the cocoa cutting-out policy, ministers "are not altogether incapable of acting with responsibility and a certain amount of courage."

Under Justice Korsah, a commission was set up to look into the matter of swollen shoot and eventually concurred with the need to cut down the diseased trees while recognizing the depth of opposition to this policy. The CPP government accepted that if the policy continued, it would lead to general unrest. The Cocoa Rehabilitation Department, which farmers had criticized, was dismantled and a "New Deal for Cocoa" was introduced. The new policy emphasized voluntary cooperation of farmers in a cutting-out program that was part of the government's seven-year plan. It entailed each farmer being paid "four shillings as a first payment for every living large tree cut out and two shillings a year for three years as a replanting payment." The CPP government organized an eight-week campaign involving local party groups and the Agricultural Department to explain the need for cutting out up and down the country.

In June 1953, the CPP government published its proposals for constitutional reform, which advocated a single parliamentary chamber of 104 elected members. Significantly, the government had abandoned the proposal for a second chamber for the chiefs and the Legislative Assembly debated and approved the government's proposals. This abandonment greatly disturbed the chiefs and subsequently became a source of considerable political tension. For many CPP members and the CPP government, the achievement of self-government was almost within reach. They were politically confident and believed that they had entered the final stage of dyarchy, which was ultimately to realize the next step of full independence.

Toward the end of the year, Nkrumah convened an interterritorial conference of West African nationalists. It took place between December 4 and 6, 1953, in Kumasi. The idea of a West African nationalist congress was largely Nkrumah's brainchild and emanated from his belief in West African unity. The interterritorial meeting was the ideological continuation of the Pan-African Congress tradition,

which Nkrumah had taken part in during his London days. The *African Morning Post* reported, "The aim of the Congress is to advance the political, economic and social emancipation of all territories in West Africa and to lay the foundation for a federation of West African states and to foster West African unity and Pan-Africanism." Some twenty West African nationalists attended and discussed the common social and economic problems confronting the region. The editorial of the *African Morning Post* on December 11, 1953, celebrated "the decision to establish a national secretariat with its headquarters in Accra" and that "almost all the speeches on this historic occasion were all centred on unity." The newspaper lamented the absence of the opposition parties in the country, who had castigated the conference as a "purely CPP affair."

While Nkrumah relentlessly advocated West African regional unity, the year 1954 brought about increasing national disunity as a number of external and internal challenges to CPP rule emerged.

## The Emergence of Ethnic and Regional Parties

In early 1954 the Muslim Association Party (MAP) was founded by Alfai Larden. <sup>101</sup> The party's political stronghold lay in the "stranger," or "Zongo," areas of southern towns where predominantly Muslim communities were to be found. These minority communities felt that their interests were being neglected by the nationalist leaders. Described by Austin as "violently anti-CPP," the party was supported by Muslim elders and chiefs who sought to promote and protect the particular interests of Muslims. <sup>102</sup>

Following on the heels of the MAP was another political party—this time centered on the North of the country. The Northern People's Party (NPP) was set up in February 1954. Despite the CPP's presence in the North, Northerners did not entirely embrace the CPP. Reasons for this originated not only from "awareness of the low esteem which Southerners had for the North and Northerners" but also from widely held beliefs among Northerners that independence should be delayed until the economically and socially deprived North had caught up with the South. Fundamental to the demands of the new party was a demand for greater economic and social development for the northern territories. Its leaders were J. A. Braimah and S. D. Dombo. The chairman was M. Bawumia.

As the Gold Coast prepared for a second general election, scheduled for June 15, 1954, Nkrumah and his government confronted a political landscape in which they were challenged by several political parties: the Togoland Congress, which sought reunification with French-ruled Togo, the GCP, MAP, and the NPP. To add to this undesirable state of affairs, in May of the same year, Nkrumah faced an internal revolt of 81 rebel CPP candidates, who had put themselves up to stand against official candidates in the forthcoming election. He described his feelings toward this state of affairs as one of "disappointment and anger." Why so many independents emerged at this time was only partly attributable to the redivision of the Gold Coast into 104 electoral districts by the

Van Lare Commission of October 1953. The delimitation of electoral boundaries regrouped many small states of the colony into a single constituency. The result was an increase of 66 constituencies over the number in 1951 and, consequently, "the difficulty of selecting candidates divided the parties—the GCP and its allies as well as the CPP." Compounding the matter and, perhaps more important, was the dominance of local interests. A fierce quarrel developed between the CPP's Central Committee and constituent branches over the selection of nominees. The CPP branch in Kumasi was a hotbed of defiance and Nkrumah visited the regional capital, where he made an appeal to the rebel candidates to stand down. It was on the advice of his mentor, Padmore, that he carried out the draconian measure of expulsion from the party of the entire 81 candidates.

In his *Autobiography*, Nkrumah expressed that he felt "rather as an executioner must do when he has to carry out his distasteful job because of duty and justice." <sup>112</sup> He was fully aware of the prospective ramifications of the "firm action" he had decided to pursue: it would drive the rebels into the embrace of the opposition. <sup>113</sup>

Another significant action pursued by Nkrumah during May 1954 was a calculated attempt to undermine the power of the Okyehene, or king of the kingdom of Akvem Abuakwa, which was firmly anti-CPP. Nkrumah approved of the decision to relocate the native court system from the kingdom's capital, Kibi, to New Tafo. Rathbone observes that while the decision appears to have been made at the behest of the newly constituted and almost entirely CPP members of the local council because they feared injustice and intimidation from the king's court, the decision was a politically charged one. It essentially divided the kingdom between those who were pro-CPP, such as the divisional chief, Nana Kwabena Kena II in whose jurisdiction the court was now located, versus the incumbent Okvehene, Nana Ofori Atta II, who was cousin to Aaron Ofori Atta.<sup>114</sup> An alliance between Nana Kwabena Kena II and Aaron Ofori Atta developed that injured the pride of the Okyehene and his royal court. The partnership epitomized the grafting of national political rivalries to the local level, which in this instance were complicated by clan loyalties and perceived betrayals. Furthermore, the alliance demonstrated the existence of two parallel systems and ideologies: chiefly power and modern democratic politics that were diametrically opposed to one another.115

Meanwhile the country's first elections to be held on the basis of universal franchise were, according to Arden-Clarke, "conducted in an orderly manner throughout the country." <sup>116</sup> It was considered by both the CPP government and British colonial officials and within Whitehall as "the final electoral step before the attainment of full self-government within the Commonwealth." <sup>117</sup> The CPP launched its electoral campaign and, as Arden-Clarke observed, "a majority result in favour of the CPP was never seriously in doubt." <sup>118</sup> The party won 72 of the 104 seats. However, a closer analysis of the election result demonstrated that beneath what Nkrumah referred to as a "sweeping victory," the CPP did not have the widespread support it alleged. <sup>119</sup>

The CPP won just over 55 percent of the 391,817 votes cast. The total adult population stood at 2,376,602, out of which those registered to vote numbered

1,255,603. The opposition parties (totaling 7) had secured 44.6 percent of the vote. Therefore, as Austin points out, "In aggregate throughout the country, there was a considerable anti-CPP vote. The extent of the nationalist party's success in terms of seats exaggerated its strength at the polls, and when the election was looked at in terms of the actual votes cast the measure of the party's victory was greatly reduced." Despite this fact, the 1954 constitution signaled a victory of the commoners over the chiefs; for all the members of the Legislative Assembly were to be elected on the basis of one man one vote. As Drah contends, "It meant the disappearance of chiefly representation as such from the Legislature for good," as the chiefs had now lost their ex-officio membership of the assembly. 122

When the new Legislative Assembly convened on July 28, 1954, Nkrumah made it publicly known his position on regional parties. He was opposed to such formations on political grounds and he, therefore, refused to recognize the NPP, which had won the second highest number of seats in the Legislative Assembly. 123 In Nkrumah's opinion, "the Government did not consider it desirable to recognise as an official Opposition a party organised on the basis of a single region." 124 Nkrumah's concept of a political party was based on a concept of national politics as opposed to political parties formed on a tribal basis. 125

This view enraged the NPP, who were determined to see S. D. Dombo recognized as leader of the opposition. <sup>126</sup> The NPP consequently walked out of the assembly and protested to the governor, who with the speaker of the house did not concur with Nkrumah's view. Nkrumah was forced to acquiesce. He refuted accusations that he did not want any opposition at all. In his estimation, "They could not understand that I was fighting on a matter of principle." <sup>127</sup>

Soon after the election, two factors precipitated vociferous demands for a federalist constitution: first, the failure of the opposition in the election itself and, second, the introduction by K. A. Gbedemah, the minister of finance, of the Cocoa Duty and Development (amendment) bill on August 10, 1954. This bill deeply inflamed the sentiments of the cocoa farmers, particularly in Ashanti. They already had reason to be aggrieved over the colonial government's treatment of swollen shoot disease by the cutting-out policy, which was continued by the CPP government. In addition, malpractices of the Cocoa Marketing Board (CMB) and the Cocoa Purchasing Company (CPC) considerably angered the cocoa farmers. Many farmers perceived the CPC organization as "an extension of Nkrumah's party." 128

The government's justification of the Cocoa Duty and Development Ordinance was in anticipation that high prices for cocoa on the world market, which was enjoyed between 1951 and 1954, would not last. Therefore, in order to guarantee payment to farmers in leaner years, it would assure farmers a minimum producer price of 72 shillings per load of 60 pounds for a period of 4 years. <sup>129</sup> Notwithstanding the economic arguments, there was a perception in the minds of many cocoa farmers that they had not been consulted in the decision to peg the new price. <sup>130</sup> In addition, they foresaw a serious loss of income to themselves.

Furthermore, in late August 1954, Nkrumah and his cabinet became increasingly concerned over the proliferation of organizations established on a regional,

tribal, and religious basis and discussed a bill to prohibit such organizations. The significance of this measure revealed the extent of alarm existing within the cabinet on this particular matter. With its recently acquired electoral majority, the CPP government was sufficiently confident—at this juncture—to seriously consider pursuing draconian legislation to deal with its political enemies. <sup>131</sup> As Austin maintains, "The Moslem Association Party, in particular, came under sharp attack, and there were demands in the newly elected Assembly, and the *Evening News* [of 19 June 1954], that it be outlawed." <sup>132</sup> It was Duwuona Hammond, a CPP member, who introduced the private members motion in the assembly, urging the government to legislate against parties based on religion. The bill was passed by 72 votes against 14. <sup>133</sup> In addition to this bill, the CPP used more subtle measures to weaken NPP support in the North throughout the year. These methods amounted to local attempts to erode the NPP's support base by CPP activists aligning with locally aggrieved forces such as the Frafra and Kusasi in the Mamprussi district area. <sup>134</sup>

It is evident that the principles of freedom, equality, and independence that shaped Nkrumah's thinking during his days in America and London underpinned his political practice during the dyarchic period. He remained staunchly committed to these principles and resolute in his struggle for political independence for the Gold Coast. However, he had no political strategy as a blueprint for securing independence. Yet his political strategy toward achieving this political objective was characterized by considerable pragmatism.

Nkrumah's path of tactical action was one of ambiguity and tension. Nkrumah walked a political tightrope of irreconcilable demands: he had to satisfy CPP rank-and-file militant members while simultaneously adhering to the demands and problems thrown up by working with the British colonial administration. This was by no means easily achieved. Increasing internal dissent and careerism by CPP members challenged his control over the party. At the same time, he sought to erode the power of the chiefs and extend CPP hegemony over the trade unions.

In terms of the ideological character and direction of the CPP, Legum argues that "the CPP was not originally a socialist party in the sense of being predominantly guided by socialist principles." Rather, social welfarism—that is, a desire to improve the general well-being of citizens through employment, educational, and social provision—underpinned the CPP's economic and political orientation. Socialism remained only on paper, for Nkrumah came into office without any clear idea of the economic strategy he might pursue in preference to that laid down by the British government in the Ten Year Development Plan. However, while Nkrumah did not have an economic blueprint, he greatly relied on the economic and political advice of his ideological mentor, Padmore. By mid-1954, the immediate consequences of Nkrumah's expulsion of the dissident CPP militants and the economic grievances generated by the pegging of the cocoa price in August led to the birth of a new and more formidable opposition party in September 1954. We now turn to the Nkrumah's response to this political confrontation.

# **CHAPTER 5**

# Nkrumah and the Opposition, 1954–1957

## Nkrumah and the Federalist Argument of the NLM

The tumultuous years of 1954 through1957 saw a violent political confrontation between the National Liberation Movement (NLM), which was formed on September 19, 1954, and the Convention People's Party (CPP) government. The causes that gave rise to this movement were rooted in a complex emergence of material and regional interests in the country. The loss of Asante's historic hegemony over a country it once ruled, in addition to the fact that a large proportion of the country's major exports (gold, timber, and cocoa) originated in the Ashanti region fueled Asante grievances. Another factor contributing to the conflict in Ashanti was the twenty seats allocated to the region by the Van Lare Commission. Inflaming the discontent among the CPP in Ashanti was Nkrumah's May 1954 public expulsion of the 81 rebel CPP candidates at a mass rally in Kumasi at the Subin River Valley. The site of the expulsion was significant, for the Central Committee of the CPP were fully aware that the rebellion had come from the CPP Asante candidates and they, therefore, openly sought to teach them a lesson as well as make an example of them.

However, the critical factor that galvanized some elements in Ashanti to launch the "Council for Higher Cocoa Prices" was the CPP's introduction of the Cocoa Duty and Development Funds (amendment) bill in August 1954. Among the organizers of the council was ex-CPP member E. Y. Baffoe.<sup>2</sup> The chair of the movement was Nana Bafuour Osei Akoto, who was senior linguist to the Asantehene and a major cocoa producer. Akoto read out the "Aims and Objects of the Liberation Movement" to a crowd of over 40,000 people on the inaugural day. In short, the movement demanded that the price of cocoa be increased from 72 shillings to 150 shillings and a federal constitution be introduced to the Gold Coast. It stated that the people and the movement had no confidence in the government of Nkrumah and the CPP. It warned that the Gold Coast had to be saved from a

CPP dictatorship.<sup>3</sup> Defining itself as a "movement" rather than a "political party," the NLM was supported by many of the young men of Ashanti—the *nkwankwaa*; thousands of small-scale cocoa farmers and wealthy cocoa merchants; and the Asante Youth Association (AYA) who had turned against the CPP.<sup>4</sup>

In a politically charged atmosphere after the murder of E. Y. Baffoe on October 9, 1954, by CPP member Twumasi Ankrah, Akoto appealed on October 12 to the Kumasi State Council of traditional chiefs to lend its support to the movement. After scrutinizing the "Aims and Objects of the Movement," the response from the council was a resounding public endorsement of the NLM and promise of funds. The impact of Baffoe's murder on the NLM was a huge increase in the rank and file membership of the organization as well as the creation of a paramilitary wing, known as the NLM Action Groupers, the counterparts of the CPP Action Troupers. Confrontations between the two, which unfolded soon after the murder of Baffoe, led to growing instability and fatalities in the region by the end of the year.

In a private letter dated October 20, 1954, Nkrumah made a personal appeal to Asantehene Nana Prempeh II. Nkrumah inquired from the Asantehene whether he intended to lend his support to the new movement. The Asantehene replied saying he was "above party politics."

On October 24, 1954, a mere month after the organization was formed, the NLM invited other opposition parties—the Ghana Congress Party (GCP), Togoland Congress (TC), the Northern People's Party (NPP), and the Muslim Association Party (MAP) to attend a roundtable conference in Kumasi on the prospects for federation. The NLM and its newfound allies gave their whole-hearted support for a federal framework of government for the Gold Coast. They believed such a constitution would safeguard their regional and economic interests by devolving power to the regions, as opposed to what they considered the CPP's authoritarian paradigm of political power. Federalism was considered the bulwark against the encroaching dictatorship of the CPP. Conversely, the Nkrumah-led government remained resolutely committed to upholding and defending the territorial integrity of the country under a centralized government despite its promises to consider regional councils.

Nkrumah considered the economic grievances of the Asantes to be unfounded, for in his opinion, such detractors did not consider the new hospital, library, national bank, and other constructions recently built in Kumasi.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, "the NLM did not seem to realise that the cocoa, which they felt so possessive about, would be worthless without the labour, which came mainly from the Northern Territories, and without the exportation which was carried out in the South." For Nkrumah, cocoa was a national economic asset that was not the monopoly of one region or one group of people; its economic wealth belonged to the entire nation. This was an uncompromising conviction he advocated throughout his life. Similarly, his concept of government remained wedded to supreme legislative power remaining at the center and "was not broad enough to encompass the demand, within his own country, for Asante autonomy."

On the same day that the opposition political parties met on October 24, Nkrumah addressed a CPP rally in the Accra Arena and characterized the NLM as "another attempt by imperialists and reactionary agents to bring together some chiefs and disgruntled opposition politicians to undermine the popular elected government." Furthermore, he declared that the price of cocoa would not be raised, that the constitution had been ratified and independence was on course. The political impact of his words inflamed an already tense political situation. Then on December 30, 1954, he made a conciliatory response in a broadcast to the nation. Nkrumah offered to "submit to the Legislative Assembly, as a Government measure, legislation providing for regional councils." It was hoped that this measure would appease the NLM, but it was rejected outright.

Nkrumah was then prompted by the governor to invite the NLM to a round-table conference in Accra in the second week of December 1954. The purpose of the meeting was for "Nkrumah to explain his intention regarding further constitutional and administrative developments" and to discuss "what should be the proper relationship between regional interests and central government." When the movement responded on December 17, it made clear it wanted several assurances before such a conference took place. The demands of the NLM were that the government should adopt an open mind toward a federal constitution and that the NLM be given time to study the CPP's intentions regarding further constitutional and administrative developments. The NLM's response led to an impasse.

Overall, as Austin observes, "The sudden formation of the NLM took everyone by surprise, including the CPP government which in the second half of 1954 was thrown off balance." The CPP had been "overtaken by events" like the colonial government had been by the riots of 1948. Austin argues that "the CPP was strangely slow in taking anything like the proper measure of the NLM" and appeared to bury its head in the sand. Moreover, by the end of the year, Nkrumah's response to the NLM could be characterized as complex and contradictory; he had denounced the movement as the creation of imperialist stooges and had then attempted to woo them to a roundtable conference to discuss political differences and failed in this endeavor.

Allman points out that initially, Nkrumah, his cabinet, and colonial officials "worked hand-in-hand in an attempt to quietly douse the flames of opposition in Asante." However, there was neither a political blueprint nor a prescribed strategy for Nkrumah on how to respond to the evolving constitutional crisis. In America, Nkrumah gained experience in resolving political differences when he was a member and then president of the African Students Association during the period 1942 to 1945; here he sought to unite Ghanaians and Nigerians who were divided on what the objectives of the association should be. He was also instrumental in getting the association to accept nonstudent seamen into the organization. However, the emergence of regionalism and tribalism, as represented in the Ashanti question, was an issue Nkrumah was not prepared to compromise over on account of his deeply held political convictions.

Despite this, "there was some consensus in Accra between colonial authorities and the CPP on how to respond to events in Asante. There must be reaction, but

not over-action, quiet, reasoned negotiation, but minimal compromises." In short, Nkrumah and his government confronted a political predicament: should it ignore the NLM, the implication of such a position was loss of support in the Ashanti region; if it took action against the movement, such action would acknowledge political problems and intervention by the Colonial Office, which could jeopardize the transfer of power. Nkrumah's initial stance was not only one of caution, in order not to foment an ungovernable situation existing in Kumasi, but one influenced by the governor. Arden-Clarke's telegram to the secretary of state, Lennox-Boyd, remarked that he had spoken to the prime minister and "the whole Cabinet on the need to govern by persuasion and consent, and not by coercion and force." He was pleased that "there are indications that this lesson is being learnt."

By the end of 1954, violence in Kumasi had spread to surrounding areas and led to an uneasy existence between the CPP regional headquarters and the NLM party offices, which coincidentally functioned side by side in Ashanti New Town. As the violence in Ashanti had reached dangerous levels, the governor issued the Peace Preservation Ordinance on January 7, 1955, two days after riots had occurred in the Kumasi Zongo area, leaving two NLM supporters dead and several injured. The ordinance forbade "the carrying of dangerous or offensive weapons including firearms, cutlasses, daggers and ammunition in any public place or in any vehicle within 30 towns in Ashanti."<sup>21</sup> The day after the disturbances of January 5, the home of the regional chairman of the CPP was bombed and "100 policemen from the Elmina Mobile Police Reserve" were called into Kumasi to help curb the ongoing political tensions in the city between the CPP and NLM.<sup>22</sup> In early February 1955, the desertions of top-level CPP stalwarts Joe Appiah, R. R. Amponsah, and Victor Owusu to the NLM severely stung the CPP, particularly the defection of Joe Appiah.<sup>23</sup> Allman contends that the executive of the NLM unconditionally accepted the three men into the movement. Immediately, they played a central role in shaping the future policy and direction of the NLM.24

In February, Nkrumah issued another invitation to the leadership of the NLM and representatives of the Asanteman Council to discuss the problems of a federal and regional system of government. This invitation was also spurned. Significantly, during this month, the Brong chiefs brought a petition to the Legislative Assembly seeking government recognition for a separate Brong-Kyempim Council.<sup>25</sup> As Austin maintains, "there were innumerable local disputes within the Ashanti chiefdoms which could yield a rich harvest if properly cultivated and turned to the advantage of the governing party." Hence, Nkrumah's strategy in managing the crisis, which he perceived the NLM had created, and his response to the NLM itself continued to be complex and contradictory. His twin strategy of conciliatory official overtures as well as a more covert plan to undermine the NLM in its own political heartland was deployed as an attempt to resolve the constitutional dispute.

Initially the CPP Central Committee disapproved of the dismembering of Ashanti in order to create a new entity in the western part of the region.<sup>27</sup>

Grievances harbored by the chief of Bechem and other chiefs extended as far back as 1935, when the British had forced the Brong people to join the Ashanti Confederacy. In addition to this, many Brongs felt discriminated against by the Ashantis.<sup>28</sup> Exacerbating the wrath of the Asantes who were threatened by this demand was the fact that it was being advocated by a CPP Asante member, Krobo Edusei.<sup>29</sup> In March 1955, Nkrumah announced to the Legislative Assembly that the government was considering the "possibility of setting up a Brong Kyempim traditional council."30 Meanwhile, it was in Nkrumah's interest to swiftly resolve the constitutional crisis in the country and, therefore, he "pushed for negotiations faithfully, responding promptly to all NLM replies."31 However, "In contrast, the NLM was playing for time. The more protracted the discussions on negotiation, the longer it had to reinforce its ranks, consolidate its leadership, and develop its strategy."32 Hence, on April 5, 1955, Nkrumah made another effort to break the political deadlock and motioned in the Assembly for a Select Committee to explore the question of a federal system of government and a second chamber for the country. The opposition once more rebuffed the government by walking out of the assembly. According to Allman, "Nkrumah, perhaps as a goodwill gesture to encourage support for his committee, raised the price of cocoa to 80 shillings per load in early May [1955], but it was perceived as a feeble gesture. Opposition to the CPP, particularly in Asante, no longer rested on the price of cocoa."33

There were now vociferous demands for a federal structure of government for the Gold Coast from the NLM and its allies. The motto of the movement was "No Federation, No Self-Government." Accompanying their political demands was a growing "resistance culture" on the streets of Ashanti. The NLM Action Groupers and Asante women were in the forefront of this popular defiance. It comprised an escalation in direct action, such as bombings and assaults, leading to a "state of terror" prevailing in Ashanti in May 1955. The popular expression of the time was "yate yen ho!" (we have separated ourselves), which reflected the NLM's refusal to recognize the government in Accra. The agitation for a federal state system had erupted into full-scale rebellion, which brought the country almost to a civil war. Nkrumah reacted by introducing Proclamation Eight on May 24, 1955. The ruling demanded all arms and munitions be surrendered to the Kumasi police. In addition, police reinforcements were sent to the region.

In the following month, on the sixth anniversary of the CPP, Nkrumah delivered "a remarkable speech" aimed at reviving what Austin describes as "the drooping spirits of party members." Nkrumah used disparaging language when he declared that the party was challenged by the violence of a "feudal tyranny" led by "certain intellectual snobs, traitors and saboteurs." He reiterated his belief in "a strong and well organised Opposition Party in the country and in the Assembly." Furthermore, he maintained, "the present political issue is a test as to whether parliamentary democracy will live and strive in this country or whether we shall revert to feudal tyranny and despotic rule. We must not forget that democracy means the rule of the majority, though it should be tempered by sweet reasonableness in the interests of the minority. In a parliamentary democracy

legitimate constitutional opposition is a part of its fabric—but not opposition that breeds and fosters violence."<sup>37</sup>

Finally, in a direct response to repeated accusations of his dictatorial tendencies, he responded thus: "If I were a dictator, the opposition would have no place to stand to make the noise they are making." As leader of the party, Nkrumah gave a speech that was intended to boost the morale of the rank and file as well as the Central Committee. Nkrumah's denunciation of the NLM was integral to his continued ambivalent strategy of conciliation and attack on the NLM. It was noted by Arden-Clarke, who commented in a dispatch to Lennox-Boyd, that "the tone and content of his (the Prime Minister's) and his colleagues speeches at CPP rallies are at complete variance with his repeated statements to us in his series of invitations." The governor claimed, "It remains regrettably true that not only do Ministers appear unable or unwilling to control the behaviour and public utterances of their principal supporters, but they continue to speak with two voices: the voices and indeed the menaces which are heard at party rallies are not reconcilable with the promises of reasonable negotiation which come from ministerial offices or with the set pieces spoken on occasion in the Legislative Assembly."

Arden-Clarke's comments reveal the inconsistent behavior and attitude of Nkrumah and his ministers. However, the governor also remarked that "the Prime Minister's overtures were statesmanlike efforts and, taken by themselves, deserved better success." Similarly, R. J. Vile, a colonial office civil servant, visited the Gold Coast in March 1955 and commented that Nkrumah believed that Ashanti only understood the language of force. It appears from this observation that Nkrumah preferred a more hard-line response to the Ashanti problem. However, Vile wrote, "On my second interview with the Prime Minister he took a much more reasonable line. He fully understood that it was only too easy to provoke violence in Ashanti and that it was his clear duty to act peacefully and diplomatically."

The morale of the party was to plunge further when the CPP lost the Atwima Nwabiagya by-election on July 14, 1955. The by-election came about as a result of the death of John Baidoo, a CPP member of the Legislative Assembly for Atwima Nwabiagya, a rural constituency near Kumasi. The NLM was initially in a political quandary as to whether to participate in the by-election, as up until that point in time, their policy had been one of circumventing the government of Accra by appealing directly to the colonial power in London. <sup>42</sup> In the end, the NLM executive decided to take up the electoral contest in order to challenge the CPP within the NLM's own electoral heartland. However, as the NLM continued to view itself as a movement and not a political party, it decided not to put forward an NLM candidate but to support an independent. Interestingly, the individual the movement supported was B. F. Kusi, who had won the Atwima Nwabiagya seat in 1951 as a CPP member and later defected. In the 1954 general election, Kusi lost the seat to John Baidoo. With the latter's death, the NLM had an opportunity to test its political strength in Asante. <sup>43</sup>

The result was a resounding victory to Kusi, who secured 3,988 votes to the CPP's candidate, B. K. Kufuor, who won 1,758.<sup>44</sup> "This result surprised the government," observed Arden-Clarke.<sup>45</sup> For both the Colonial Office in London

and the colonial administration, the results of the by-election made imperative another general election. Arden-Clarke sent a dispatch to the secretary of state for the colonies, Mr. Lennox-Boyd, dated July 29, 1955. The governor expressed the following view:

Until the impact of the Ashanti by-election had been felt there was a marked tendency to regard independence by December 1956 as "in the bag" . . . I have now been able to bring it home to the Prime Minister and some of his colleagues that it is not just a matter of mechanics; that Her Majesty's Government will require an assurance that there is a wide measure of agreement in the Gold Coast on the form of the constitution at the time when independence is granted; that the conditions at present prevailing do not provide that assurance and that there may in due course have to be a General Election before Her Majesty's Government can be satisfied.<sup>46</sup>

The gravity of the political situation in the country now led to intervention by the secretary of state for the colonies, who in July 1955 proposed that a constitutional adviser visit the Gold Coast to advise the government on recommendations for a federal framework of government.<sup>47</sup> According to Allman "given the Secretary's veiled threat of 'repercussions' Nkrumah had little choice but to acquiesce to the proposals."<sup>48</sup> Meanwhile, on July 17, 1955, the opposition parties published Proposals for a Federal Constitution for an Independent Gold Coast and Togoland.

On August 12 of the same year, Krobo Edusei introduced a motion to the assembly calling for an amendment of the State Councils (Ashanti) Ordinance of 1952 to allow a chief below the status of paramount chief to appeal to the cabinet. It was accepted on a vote of 58 to 13 votes and published on October 22, 1955, in *The Gold Coast Gazette*.<sup>49</sup>

Arden-Clarke commented that the ordinance was to apply not only to Ashanti but to the northern territories and colony chiefs and the "principal amendment proposed being one to vest in the Cabinet the powers, hitherto exercised by the Governor in his discretion, of determining questions regarding the position of Chiefs in customary law." Arden-Clarke wrote, "I had flattered myself at one stage that I had persuaded the Government not to publish these Bills. But I was subsequently told that an incipient revolt by CPP backbenchers had only been averted by a hurried capitulation: in the Prime Minister's own words he had to 'publish or be damned.' Not for the first time, the tail had wagged the dog." 51

It appears Nkrumah was beholden to a strong CPP backbench revolt. Yet his own account of the reasons that brought about this bill does not confirm that of Arden-Clarke's. <sup>52</sup> The governor also felt that the simultaneous resuscitation of the government's three-year-old white paper on the reform of the native courts was causing widespread "alarm" in the northern territories and "was interpreted as another deliberate move to divest the chiefs." <sup>53</sup> Certainly, chiefly jurisdiction between 1954 and 1956, as Rathbone contends, was increasingly being eroded as the CPP put in place pro-CPP individuals on the bodies of the newly established local courts. <sup>54</sup> Altogether these measures were an attempt by Nkrumah on his

part "to end the domination of the Native Courts by chiefs" and to retain the loyalty of destooled chiefs sympathetic to the CPP.<sup>55</sup>

According to Allman, Edusei's proposal seemed to have been immediately overlooked by the NLM leadership, who were absorbed in transforming the movement into a political party rather than challenging CPP legislation.<sup>56</sup> However, in late October 1955, Akoto cabled a strong condemnation of the bill to the secretary of state for the colonies. The Ashanti regional officer, A. C. Russell, believed that the ordinance was a dangerous provocation. All in all, the timing of these political proposals exacerbated the political tensions existing in the country. Arden-Clarke wrote that "in a calmer political atmosphere none of these measures would have necessarily caused misgiving" and hence the timing in his opinion was ill considered.<sup>57</sup>

A month after the publication of the state ordinance, Nkrumah experienced a personal attack. On November 10, 1955—a week before the amendment was voted into law—as Nkrumah was seated on the verandah of his house in Accra with his secretary, Erica Powell, personal accountant, and others, there was "a violent explosion, followed within seconds by another." In the ensuing commotion, Nkrumah immediately rushed to find his mother to ensure she was unhurt. While the culprits were never found, the motive for the bomb explosion was perceived, by Nkrumah and others, to be the introduction of the Ashanti ordinance. The incident was the first of several assassination attempts by the opposition to employ terror to achieve their political ends. In his *Autobiography*, Nkrumah expressed the view that "During these disturbances I always considered that the unhappy history of Ashanti, and the mystery regarding the Golden Stool, its symbol of feudal power, has made the acceptance and practice of democracy in that part of the country more difficult than elsewhere."

The political violence in the country continued with a dynamite explosion at the Central Market in Kumasi on November 17, 1955, and the murder of Krobo Edusei's sister the following month. <sup>62</sup> By the end of the year, "few vestiges remained of a CPP presence in Asante." <sup>63</sup> The CPP rank and file "became almost mutinous" at the news of the death of Edusei's sister. <sup>64</sup> In his *Autobiography*, Nkrumah conveyed that he sought to restrain some sections of the party from pursuing vengeance. He stated, "I was not unsympathetic but I was convinced that severe discipline was the only thing that would assure our final victory. To strike back would have caused serious civil disturbance, probably even civil war, and this would have called for a state of emergency to be declared. This in turn would have invited intervention from Britain and, in the eyes of the world, there would be no doubt whatever that a country in a state of bordering civil war was hardly in a fit condition to take charge of its own affairs." <sup>65</sup>

As leader of the government and the party, Nkrumah was in a difficult position, for stability and order was imperative to the transfer of power. His control over the more militant elements of the party seeking political retaliation on the streets of Ashanti was essential. Furthermore, Nkrumah continued: "In this transitional period we had not got full control of defence. If the police and the army

had been in the hands of my Government, the revolt, disobedience and disregard of law, order and justice in Ashanti would never have happened."66

Historical conjecture at what would have happened if the instruments of law and order had been in Nkrumah's control leads us to believe that Nkrumah may have been inclined to have resorted to force. R. J. Vile visited the Gold Coast in March 1955 and wrote in a memorandum, "After my first meeting with him the Prime Minister said that Ashanti only understood the use of force." However, his hands were tied by the dyarchic relationship. This seems to suggest that Nkrumah would not have demonstrated timidity in employing more draconian measures to deal with the Ashanti problem.

In his New Year message of 1956, and in an attempt to resolve the constitutional crisis, Nkrumah invited representatives of the NLM executive, Asanteman Council, and other opposition leaders to a roundtable conference to discuss issues raised by the Bourne Report.<sup>68</sup> The NLM and its allies met on January 8, 1956, to discuss Nkrumah's invitation and issued certain conditions. <sup>69</sup> Their intransigence continued despite Nkrumah's second invitation. The Achimota roundtable conference went ahead on February 16 while the opposition boycotted the meeting. By mid-March 1956, the secretary of state for the colonies, Mr. Lennox-Boyd, was convinced that another general election had to be held in the light of the bitter political disagreement over the nature of the constitution the country should adopt on independence. Nkrumah and the CPP implacably objected to the idea of a third general election in the Gold Coast on several grounds. Nkrumah expressed his position thus: "Constitutionally and politically I did not see any necessity for going to a general election before independence. I assumed that the British Government would grant us self-government at the date we had in mind without having to plunge the country into a general election, because I feared that an election campaign might be used in some quarters to cause riot and bloodshed and general confusion in the country."70

In addition, Nkrumah believed that a third general election "would lead to confusion and would tend to destroy the confidence that the people have in the Government; it would completely ignore the developments of the past few years and would set the clock back."71 As the country had only recently conducted a general election in June 1954, Nkrumah wrote, "I feel very strongly that to ignore the established and confirmed will of the people of the Gold Coast for self-government and to impose new conditions on them at this final stage would defeat the very essence of parliamentary democracy."<sup>72</sup> It appears Nkrumah did not consider the central issue to be a conflict over a federal constitutional framework versus a unitary state. He wrote, "In my opinion the essential issue between the British Government and ours at the present time is the fixing of a firm date for independence. Constitutionally I cannot accept another general election as a prerequisite to independence. By forcing it upon us the British Government will give the impression that they are condoning the anti-constitutional attitude of the NLM and will thereby undermine the fundamental principles of the British parliamentary system."73

74

On March 23, 1956, Nkrumah sent Kojo Botsio to London to present his case against the holding of a third general election to the British government. Botsio returned in late March to inform Nkrumah that he was certain of the sincerity of Lennox-Boyd; however, "a general election is the only answer." <sup>74</sup> Lennox-Boyd wrote to Nkrumah in early April, after having met Botsio, and candidly expressed that "as long as you and some of your Ministers seem unable to visit Ashanti I am bound to take notice of this and of its implication that there exists a determined opposition in at least one part of the Gold Coast which is not prepared to accept Gold Coast independence under your leadership."75 Hence, "without some further test of public opinion," Lennox-Boyd was of the view that a third general election in which the winning political party secured a "reasonable majority" was a prerequisite to independence.<sup>76</sup>

Nkrumah's response to Lennox-Boyd outlined three possible steps he could pursue. First, Nkrumah could "make a unilateral declaration of independence." Second, he could "let the present constitution run its course and wait for the next regular general election in 1958." Third, he could "hold a general election on the constitutional issue in the near future."77 Nkrumah also expressed concern "that such an election might give rise to more violence in Ashanti" and was subsequently assured by the governor "that if there should be violence during the election period, the British Government would not use this as an excuse to delay independence."78 With this assurance from the governor and secretary of state for the colonies that after the election the British government would be prepared to accept a motion calling for independence within the commonwealth, Nkrumah relented on his original objection to a third general election.<sup>79</sup>

On May 11, 1956, Lennox-Boyd announced to the British House of Commons that a general election would be held in the Gold Coast. Meanwhile, political tensions continued with a clash between 12 members of the CPP and the NLM at the village of Akaporiso. "Sticks, pick-axes and buckets were freely used. Ten people were understood to be injured, two seriously," reported the Ashanti Times on May 29, 1956. In June, "the political tension in Kumasi which appeared to be lessening had now taken a turn for the worse" with the death of NLM member Kwabena Boamah, who died of gunshot wounds as a result of a fight with CPP members at Asramanso Junction. 80 At a CPP rally on July 1, 1956, attended by Gbedemah at the Prince of Wales Park in Kumasi, three explosions occurred in the neighborhood. In short, it is in this prevailing unstable political environment that 16 days later, the Gold Coast's third general election was held.

## From Electoral Triumph to Independence

On the eve of the Gold Coast's third general election, the opposition were in a buoyant mood.<sup>81</sup> So confident was the NLM, the NLM sent a letter to the governor in mid-July 1956, informing him they expected to be called upon to form a new government let by Dr. K. A. Busia.82

The five political competitors in the general elections were the CPP, the NLM, NPP, TC, and the MAP. The NLM did not hesitate to participate in the general election as "the unquestioned vanguard of political party opposition to Nkrumah's CPP."83 They were convinced electoral victory would secure them 53 seats at most. 84 The NLM was also certain it would gain at least 16 of the 21 seats in Ashanti. 85 However, as the NLM's political constituency was largely based in the Ashanti region, it was imperative it extend its electoral appeal outside Ashanti and into the wider colony. It was clear that within the NLM the executive was unsure of dividing its energies to extend its appeal outside its regional boundaries. 86 The southerners on the NLM executive, namely Kurankyi Taylor, M. K. Apaloo, and John and Nancy Tsiboe, pushed this particular strategy and won. Austin observes that the strategy "proved doubly dangerous," as the establishment of branches in the south and recruitment of members required time, money, and effort. 87

The CPP's election strategy on the other hand, under Nkrumah's close guidance, ensured that the debacle over independent candidates standing, as they did in 1954, did not occur again.<sup>88</sup> The CPP also utilized clan disputes among the Brong and Dagomba chiefs and in the non-Ewe constituencies to secure loyalty among traditional rulers to its advantage.<sup>89</sup> Lastly, to emphasize its national character, the CPP nominated candidates in all the 99 constituencies.

During the run-up to the election, the main political contenders—the CPP and the NLM—spelled out the political issues very clearly to the electorate. 90 The NLM made "an eloquent well-mounted attack" against the CPP government. 91 It pointed to the increase in corruption; self-enrichment on the part of the CPP; inefficiency in the housing ministry; little benefit returned to cocoa farmers as a result of the cutting-out policy; and the encroaching dictatorship of the CPP. The NLM's party document presented 26 reasons why a vote for the movement would bring about an increase in living standards and an extension of social services under a federal form of government.

The election manifesto of the CPP, on the other hand, asked the voter to consider two questions: "(1) Do I want FREEDOM AND INDEPENDENCE NOW—THIS YEAR—so that I and my children can enjoy life in a free and independent sovereign state of Ghana thereafter? (2) Do I want to revert to the days of imperialism, colonialism and tribal feudalism?"

Each side also employed abusive language against its opponent.<sup>93</sup> However, to ensure that law and order was maintained throughout the country during the electoral campaigning, "a police presence kept a watchful eye at all party rallies."<sup>94</sup> Consequently, serious clashes between the two sides were avoided during polling day itself.

The CPP won 71 of the 104 seats in the Legislative Assembly. This translated into 398,141 votes against the non-CPP votes of 299,116. The CPP had secured the "reasonable majority" demanded by Lennox-Boyd. Austin contends that though the CPP had won, it is important to consider that Nkrumah and his party had demonstrated a "stubborn resistance" to the very notion of a third general election, for fear that they may have been defeated.<sup>95</sup>

The NPP had expected to win 20 of the 26 constituencies in the North but had secured only 15 seats, while the CPP had gained 11 seats. In Ashanti the

CPP had gained 8 seats, while the NLM and the MAP had secured 12 and 1, respectively. The NLM and its allies had miserably failed to gain any seats in the colony, while the CPP had acquired 44 seats.<sup>96</sup>

There are a number of reasons to explain the NLM's electoral failure in the south. Austin attributes the failure partly to the NLM's divided electoral strategy. The impact of the decision to both campaign in its political stronghold and attempt to gain a foothold in the south was disastrous. It only united the colony against the NLM (for there were fears and suspicions of an Ashanti invasion among southerners), but those within the NLM who were lukewarm toward the strategy now felt vindicated. Moreover, they felt that an undivided political focus would have secured them an increase in seats in Ashanti. Allman identifies the failure as lying in the indecisiveness of the NLM executive: the NLM could not be a vanguard political party and a movement simultaneously. Second, the movement had failed "to capitalise on working class disillusionment with the CPP."

On surface appearance and on the principle of majority voting in the "first-past-the post" system the CPP had achieved yet another electoral triumph. But "for every 4 voters who cast their vote for the CPP there were 3 who voted for alternative candidates." Fortunately for the CPP, the United Nation's May 1956 plebiscite in British-administered Togoland where the voters were asked to choose between continued trusteeship and integration into the soon-to-be independent Gold Coast brought 58 percent in favor of union with the Gold Coast. 100

However, immediately following the election, the NLM tried to argue that the electoral outcome justified their demand for federation. In the following month, on August 3, 1956, Nkrumah introduced a motion in the Legislative Assembly calling for independence. Despite the walkout by the opposition, the motion was passed. The opposition continued to thwart the government of Accra by sending a delegation to London to meet with the secretary of state on September 10, 1956. They argued for constitutional safeguards in the form of regional assemblies, a second chamber for the chiefs, a decentralized police, and the date for independence to be postponed until a royal commission could present a more acceptable constitutional framework for the country. The response of the Colonial Office was a blunt one: the opposition had to operate within the boundaries of the Legislative Assembly. Secretary of State Lennox-Boyd was of the firm view that secession was not in the interests of the country.

In November 1956, the NLM and the NPP sent a joint resolution to the secretary of state demanding separate independence for Ashanti and the northern territories. <sup>101</sup> Yet the voices calling for secession within the NLM were declining, as more moderate voices prevailed. Busia and the Asantehene now appeared to have climbed down from their earlier position on secession and sought to find a face-saving solution to the constitutional crisis.

The NLM demanded yet another meeting with the secretary of state, and Nkrumah decided to invite the minister rather than allow the opposition the upper hand. Therefore, in January 1957, Lennox-Boyd arrived in the country and a workable compromise was worked out under his supervision. *The Proposed Constitution of Ghana*, which later that month became a white paper, ensured

many of the NLM's concerns were addressed; namely, regional assemblies and an agreement that an alteration to the constitution to increase the numbers of regions would seek a referendum in the affected region. With a constitutional agreement that appeared to satisfy both sides, the CPP government speeded up its preparation for independence scheduled for March 6, 1957. Plans forged ahead for the building of state house. A monumental arch was built in the capital bearing the words "Freedom and Justice."

Barely two weeks before independence, Akoto informed the chief regional officer, A. C. Russell, that there would be no independence celebrations in Ashanti. <sup>102</sup> Akoto was incensed at the proposed amendments to the constitution that appeared in *The Gold Coast Gazette*, in which the Brong chiefs of Dormaa and Tekyiman could appeal to the governor in constitutional matters rather than to the Asanteman Council. Akoto's demand went unheeded by those elements inside the NLM, who had now agreed to abide by the constitution and consequently there was no violence in Ashanti during the independence celebrations. <sup>103</sup>

At midnight on March 6, the beginning of the celebrations occasioned the formal end of British rule, at a ceremony attended by an estimated crowd of a hundred thousand assembled on the Polo Ground in Accra. There were hundreds of dignitaries and officials present from many countries around the world to witness the birth of sub-Saharan Africa's first independent nation-state. Notable among the guests were a number of African American leaders from America and the Caribbean. Among the delegation were figures Dr. Ralph Bunche, A. Philip Randolph, and Norman Manley from Jamaica. Dr. W. E. B. DuBois could not attend, as his passport had been seized by the US State Department. This presence of Africans from the diaspora was significant, as many African Americans and West Indians considered Nkrumah a kindred soul, having studied in the United States, revered Marcus Garvey, and, as Walters maintains, "shared the pain of American racism." 104 Also, in his visit to the United States in 1951, Nkrumah had made a Pan-African call to African Americans to return to Africa to assist in the continent's development. Once again he extended an invitation to Africa's descendants to celebrate the birth of a new African nation.

The film footage of the historic occasion shows Nkrumah standing on a raised podium with his closest colleagues: Kojo Botsio, minister of trade and labor; K. A. Gbedemah, minister of finance; and Archie Casely-Hayford, minister of communications; Krobo Edusei; and N. A. Welbeck. All wore their "prison graduate" caps and the traditional northern *batakari*. The choice of dress was ideologically significant, for the *batakari* was considered the archetypal dress of the common man, particularly the northerner, as opposed to the privileged Kente attire of the Asantehene and his royal court. Nkrumah and his ministers were sending out an unambiguous symbolic image and message of their allegiance to the common man of the newly independent nation-state.

Nkrumah's address gave a glimpse of his vision for not only a new Ghana but a new Africa. He announced with great emotion, "From now on, today, we must change our attitudes, our minds! We must realise that from now on we are no more a colonial but a free and independent people! I am depending upon the

millions of the country, the chiefs and the people to help me to reshape the destiny of this country." 105 Nkrumah warned that respect from the world would be earned through hard work. He declared, "We can prove to the world that when the African is given a chance he can show the world that he is somebody." His continued reference to the "African" placed Ghana, a small country of six million people, at the very center of the African stage. It was significant, for it found resonance in the hearts and minds of colonial subjects across the African continent and in African descendants in the United States. In strident tone, Nkrumah stated, "Today, from now on, there is a new African in the world, and so that new African is ready to fight his own battles and show that after all the black man is capable of managing his own affairs. We are going to demonstrate to the world, to the other nations, young as we are, that we are prepared to lay our own foundation."106 The psychological confidence and self-esteem Nkrumah's words stirred in African people in remaining colonial-dependent territories in Africa and black people generally, particularly in the United States and the Caribbean, cannot be overestimated. Nkrumah's words at this particular historical juncture inspired Africans across the world; they gave hope to millions that what had been accomplished in a transformed Gold Coast, could be attained elsewhere.

The leader of a newly independent Ghana spoke of the need to "create our own African Personality and identity."107 Moreover, it was on this occasion that he made his most famous commitment to the liberation struggles of other dominated nations when he pronounced, "We have done the battle and we again re-dedicate ourselves not only in the struggle to emancipate other countries in Africa—our independence is meaningless unless it is linked up with the total liberation of the African continent."108 These words signified Nkrumah's intellectual commitment to liberation for the whole of Africa. He went on to thank those who had traveled from abroad. He also made reference to some of his political heroes: "Here I wish I could quote Marcus Garvey. Once upon a time, he said, that he looked through the whole world to see if he could find a government of a black people. He looked around, he did not find one, and he said he was going to create one. Marcus Garvey did not succeed. But here today the work of Rousseau, the work of Marcus Garvey, the work of Aggrey, the work of Casely-Hayford, the work of our illustrious men who have gone before us has come to reality at this present moment."109

With the independence celebrations over, Nkrumah's government confronted the huge challenge of welding together a nation-state. The euphoria of independence concealed a politically fragmented country. As Kraus contends, "The high level of distrust between the CPP and opposition as well as the forced compromise nature of the 1957 constitution meant that the parliamentary structure and its CPP occupants were, in fact, regarded with relatively little legitimacy, despite the aura which surrounded the celebrations of the first black African colony to receive its independence." 110

Three months after independence celebrations, there was violence in the predominantly Ewe-speaking Togoland area and discontent in Accra. The government responded swiftly and broke up the camps that had been set up by small groups of supporters of the Togoland congress that were fighting for a reunification with French Togoland. In Kpandu, three people were killed in disturbances. 111 As Austin argues, while the disturbances were suppressed, they had long-term ramifications, as they were used by the CPP as a justification for further austere measures to confront political dissent. In June 1957, the Ga people formed a new political party—the Ga Adangme Shifimo Kpee (the Ga Standfast Association). 112 Also, there were hints within the party of a controversy surrounding whether Nkrumah was to appoint a deputy prime minister, as suggested by a report in *West Africa*. 113 When Nkrumah was questioned by international journalists in his first press conference since independence on this issue, which was "well known to be the subject of party controversy recently: with a smile Dr. Nkrumah leant forward and asked: 'Do I look so old as to need a deputy?'" Nkrumah's charming response enabled him to sidestep a thorny political issue. He had avoided the question, which was never raised again.

With independence won, Nkrumah now confronted his electoral pledges of turning the country into a modern industrialized nation. His promises were indeed reflected in Nkrumah's mantra: "Seek ye first the political kingdom and all things shall be added unto you." The political objectives of the triumphant Nkrumah-led government were to transform the inherited colonial economy and forge a united nation and continent. This is the focus of the following chapter.

# **CHAPTER 6**

# Nkrumah's Politics, 1958–1966

The objective of this chapter is to analyse Nkrumah's politics from independence to the demise of the Convention People's Party (CPP) government in early 1966. The question is, how did Nkrumah's political thought inform the institutions he established between 1958 and 1966? Significantly, during this period there was a marked change in emphasis in Nkrumah's public announcements. As he himself claims, "With the achievement of Independence, the main theme of my speeches changed. I began to concentrate on the long-term objectives; economic freedom for Ghana, and African emancipation and unity." It was also a period in which Nkrumah repeatedly spoke against what he saw as the absurdity of the nuclear arms race between the two great superpowers that threatened to engulf the world in thermonuclear war.

Hence for Nkrumah, the future of Ghana's economic reconstruction was inextricably linked to the creation of a peaceful world order. To this end, a number of his speeches and publications were committed to forging better understanding between nations and peoples regardless of creed, tribe, race, or color. In the year 1958, he expressed his aspirations for Ghana thus: "My first objective is to abolish from Ghana poverty, ignorance and disease. We shall measure our progress by the improvement in the health of our people; by the number of children in school, and by the availability of water and electricity in our towns and villages, and by the happiness, which our people take in being able to manage their own affairs. The welfare of our people is our chief pride, and it is by this that my government will ask to be judged." Earlier in 1949 he had proclaimed, "If we get self-government we'll transform the Gold Coast into a paradise in ten years." By 1966 when Nkrumah was overthrown, he had failed to transform the country.

On a personal level, the year 1958 began with the news of Nkrumah's controversial marriage to an Egyptian woman. It was as much a political act as a private matter. In April of that same year a major conference brought together independent African states in Accra and enlarged Nkrumah's stature on the African stage. Another conference toward the end of the year brought African nationalists from

all over the continent once again to Accra, which soon became a mecca for radical Pan-Africanist politics. Other critical developments that affected Nkrumah personally during these years were two further assassination attempts on his life in 1962 and 1964, and his growing detachment from the people of Ghana as he sought to mobilize Ghanaians in a second revolution from 1961 onward. He also suffered the personal loss in the death of his political mentor, George Padmore, in 1959 and the murder of his ideological comrade, Congolese Prime Minister Patrice Lumumba, in January 1961.

## A Political Marriage

The new year of 1958 saw Nkrumah at 49 years of age married to a 26-year-old Egyptian woman by the name of Fathia Helen Rizk. In his *Autobiography*, there is a revealing disclosure of his feelings toward women. He wrote of an earlier "fear of women . . . beyond all understanding." His deep commitment to his political goals generated a "dread of being trapped" by the opposite sex and a belief that women, alongside "money and organised and obligatory religion . . . should play a very minor part in a man's life, for once one of them gets the upper hand, man becomes a slave and his personality is crushed." Perhaps there was more to Nkrumah's deep-seated fear of being trapped, for Kanu revealingly wrote that Nkrumah disclosed to her, "Love blinds all reasons and confuses one's judgement. There is too little time for it." With such an uncompromising view, it is surprising that Nkrumah married at all, for his married life was decisively subordinated to his political goals.

Speculation abounds as to why Nkrumah married an Egyptian woman. As far back as 1952 there had been rumors in the country that Nkrumah was to marry an English woman, his former landlady, Mrs. Florence Manley.<sup>7</sup> One interpretation is given by Douglas Rogers:

Nkrumah's marriage to Fathia was an arranged one and Nkrumah played no part in the arranging. There had been pressure on him to get married, but there was concern that if he married a Ghanaian woman it might cause tribal jealousies. It was decided by his close advisors that if he married an Egyptian this problem would be eliminated and at the same time the marriage would symbolise the unity of Arab Africa and sub-Saharan Africa. Ghana already had a constructive relationship and Nkrumah and Nasser shared a Pan-Africanist perspective. A small delegation visited Egypt and six possible wives were selected. When the delegation reported back to Accra with six photographs of the candidates Nkrumah told them that they should make the choice.<sup>8</sup>

There was considerable surprise and questions in various quarters after the marriage was announced to the public. Nkrumah's secretary, Erica Powell, wrote in her memoir, "On the evening of 30 December 1957, it was announced on the local radio that the Prime Minister had married an Egyptian girl, Fathia Rizk, at a quiet ceremony in Christianborg Castle. The news came as a bolt from the blue that shocked and astounded all but the half dozen or so people who were present.

I found it particularly hard, as the Prime Minister's private secretary, when I had to confess to diplomats and others who phoned to verify the fact and to seek more details that I was as ignorant as they were on the subject."9

The reaction of the Ghanaian public was, according to Colonel Lupton of the British High Commission, a feeling that "they had been done out of justifiable reason for a national holiday and a jolly good party." Powell remarks, "The Prime Minister did well to disappear on his wedding morn, for the people were decidedly upset. The market women marched angrily on the Castle in full sail, and less warlike women donned mourning and wept. The diplomatic corps rushed to convey the congratulations of their stunned governments." 11

Nkrumah did his best to explain to the market women that his wife was an African despite her fair skin. "But within 48 hours the party officials had done such a magnificent propaganda job, pointed out that among other things Fathia was an African like themselves in spite of her white skin, that the whole country had been solidly won over to the sincere belief that the marriage of their beloved Kwame Nkrumah was a very good thing," maintains Powell. <sup>12</sup> The flurry of gossip and rumor mongering within the British and American diplomatic service continued.

As Gamal Abdel Nasser had challenged British imperial interests in the Suez crisis of 1956, it seems British government officials and ministers suspected Nasser's motives. J. R. A. Bottomley wrote a letter to M. E. Allen that reveals the bizarre speculations that circulated among British officials. The letter stated, "Both the State Dept and CIA have been asking us what we think about it [i.e., Nkrumah's marriage]—they have got hold of a rumour that Dr. Nkrumah felt he was getting too tied up with Israel and as a balancing measure intended on Cairo for "one bride, sight unseen." British officials failed to see that Nkrumah had his own motivations for marrying an outsider. In Nkrumah's mind, the marriage was a political union between Arab North Africa and the rest of the African continent. It was a marriage undertaken to assist in the consolidation of Pan-Africanism. He had already contemplated and decided by the time he wrote his *Autobiography* in the mid-1950s to subordinate his private life—and particularly women—to his political ambitions for Ghana and Africa. Nkrumah needed a wife who would not challenge or interfere with his politics and vision.

# Domestic Political Developments, 1958–1961

After his marriage, Nkrumah swiftly returned to political life. Throughout 1958, the CPP continued to undermine the chiefs in order to make them "junior partners of the CPP" in the same way that the chiefs were subservient to the British colonial rulers under indirect rule.<sup>14</sup>

Among Nkrumah's measures to control the chiefs was de-recognition and appointment of chiefs. For example, on October 16, 1957, the CPP withdrew official recognition of the Okyenhene of Akyem Abuakwa while the Jackson commission of inquiry looked into the administration of the state's affairs. "There was no doubt whatever that this dramatic move was intended to be seen as exemplary," claims Rathbone.<sup>15</sup> Meanwhile, as the commission began

its work, the Joint Provincial Council met on November 25, 1957, and "fearful that they might be next in line for derecognition by the government" clamored to give their support to the CPP government. 16 The impact of this was not lost on the chiefs of Ashanti, for the minister of local government, Aaron Ofori Atta, announced on February 8, 1958, that Justice Sardokee Addo was to examine the affairs of the Kumase State Council. Alongside this action, the Nkrumah government proposed the recognition of eight new states in the western part of the Ashanti region.<sup>17</sup> In addition, the government gave recognition to a number of paramount chiefs of the Asanteman Council via publication in the Government Gazette on January 28, 1958. The CPP "government had, in effect, deconstructed the Ashanti Confederacy at the stroke of a pen," writes Rathbone. 18 Following the Kumase municipal elections in February, which gave the CPP 17 out of 24 council seats, the Asantehene capitulated. The Asanteman Council soon fell into line and announced they, too, supported the CPP government. The May 1958 report by the Jackson Commission concluded that there was indeed a lack of impartial conduct and fiscal probity on the part of the Akyem Abuakwa State Council.19

On August 8, Ofori Atta's destoolment was formally published in the *Government Gazette* and soon after the government introduced the Stools Land Act, which aggrieved the Ashanti chiefs. The act placed the Kumase stool lands under the direct control of central government. The Asantehene told the Kumase State Council on October 2, 1958, that with the introduction of this act, "a catastrophe has befallen the chiefs of the Ashanti."

The background against which these bitter struggles with the chiefs took place in the postindependence period "was one of a tottering system of local government which was seriously frustrating central government." Increasing government intervention in local chieftaincy disputes occurred at the same time as the government was forced to suspend many local councils due to irregularities committed by councils and lack of cooperation from local taxpayers, personality differences, and internal strife. This array of problems severely hampered the government's capacity to collect revenue and to promote rural development.

Another disputatious piece of legislation introduced by Nkrumah's government was the introduction of the Preventive Detention Act (PDA) on July 18, 1958. The climate that facilitated the introduction of the act had its origins in rumors and fears of an opposition plot to assassinate Nkrumah and several CPP ministers. Such rumors flourished in the latter months of 1957. Simultaneously, the CPP's introduction of the Avoidance of Discrimination Act in 1957, which banned regional and ethnic political groupings, gave rise to a realignment of the opposition. Its members included Dr. Busia, Dr. J. B. Danquah, R. R. Amponsah, and others. In the midst of allegations and counterallegations, Dr. Busia declared to a large crowd in Kumasi, after announcing the creation of the United Party (UP) in early October 1957, that "the opposition is not interested in assassination of CPP Ministers." Subsequently, amid the climate of charges of assassination, in November 1957 the Ewe leaders S. G. Antor and Kojo Ayeke were arrested and charged with complicity in the Alavanyo riots during the

independence celebrations. In December 1957, Krobo Edusei first proposed the Preventive Detention bill to the national assembly.<sup>23</sup>

Several months later, in June 1958, the government discovered a conspiracy of some members of the opposition, led by R. R. Amponsah, general secretary of the UP, to purchase military accourrements.<sup>24</sup> In addition to fears of an opposition plot, Austin observes "the readiness of the CPP leaders to introduce such measures [that is, the PDA] was almost certainly due to their growing impatience with the ordinary machinery of law."25 The route to the adoption of the Preventive Detention bill was part of the CPP's legalistic approach to its enemies. It sought to employ the law to neutralize its political opponents. Hence the PDA was a reactive measure against perceived political terror and the earlier bomb blast of 1955 directed against Nkrumah. It is in this context that the cabinet met on June 20, 1958, to discuss the Preventive Detention Bill. They were aware that the bill would be negatively received abroad and were concerned with the timing of its announcement.<sup>26</sup> They agreed to wait for the return of the prime minister from his tour of independent African states before its publication. Two weeks later, at the bill's second reading, Nkrumah appealed to parliament. A lengthy and fiery exchange over the efficacy of the bill took place between the government and the opposition.<sup>27</sup>

Toward the end of the year, the Nkrumah government used the PDA to arrest 43 members of the UP, among them were Ashie Nikoi, Dzenkle Dzewu, and others.<sup>28</sup> In December, Captain Ahwaitey, the commander of Giffard camp in Accra, made it known that on December 18, he had been approached by R. R. Amponsah and Modesto K. Apaloo to help depose the government.<sup>29</sup> A commission of inquiry was established, led by Justice Granville Sharp. The evidence against the three was slight. The government was forced to accept the commission's unanimous rejection of the opposition's involvement in the plot and similarly the case put forward by the opposition that the entire conspiracy was a "frame-up" by the government to justify Preventive Detention. According to the attorney general, Geoffrey Bing, the entire Ahwaitey case was "a world propaganda move" in an effort to explain why Ghana had adopted the PDA.<sup>30</sup> It sought to demonstrate that the act was being deployed according to Western judicial conventions. To this end, the lengthy tribunal proceedings were sent "to every jurist throughout the world who was known to have criticised the use of preventive detention in Ghana or to have expressed concern about Ghana's denial of civil liberties."31 However, despite this well-publicized case, Western opinion was not convinced of the necessity for the act or the legal conventions through which it was enacted. Bing maintains, "Preventive detention had been established in India before it was introduced in Ghana without resulting in any denunciation of the type, which its establishment and use in Ghana had aroused."32 Certainly, the affair marked the beginning of Nkrumah's growing frustration with Western press criticism.33 It also heralded the beginnings of the Nkrumah government's enforcement of the PDA and Deportation Act. 34 The Deportation Act had been introduced in May 1957 to replace the colonial Alien's Ordinance and the Immigrant British Subjects Ordinance. Deportations were to

be authorized against an "alien" individual who was considered to be a threat to public order. According to Rathbone,

In tandem with these trends and events, the future of the regional assemblies was being sealed. On 23 October [1958] the results of the regional elections, which were to return members to the regional assemblies, were announced. CPP candidates had won 213 out of the 221 seats. Almost without exception they had been returned without contest, as the UP had decided to boycott these elections as a protest. The constitution stipulated that any regional assembly could assent to the national government's proposals to amend the constitution, including measures dissolving itself, if such a motion commanded a two-thirds majority. The way was now open for the government to dispose of what they regarded as the constitutional encumbrance of even so limited a degree of regional devolution.<sup>35</sup>

The importance of such developments is that they laid the way for the introduction for a republic in 1960 and permitted the government to abrogate its 1957 commitment to regional bodies.

#### A New Ideological Direction: In Pursuit of the Second Revolution

In terms of the party, the CPP underwent an extensive restructuring following Nkrumah's address to the party on its tenth anniversary. As Austin observes, "the first signs of [a] shift away from the established leaders could be detected in the anniversary speech."36 Nkrumah commented thus: "Comrades, it seems to me that maybe from complacency or exhaustion, some of our older party members seem to have lost the early spirit of zeal and self-sacrifice, which once imbued our party. We, as an organised Party, need a central ideology to inspire our actions. And unless we are so armed and inspired we shall find ourselves rudderless. From the lowest member to the highest we must arm ourselves ideologically."37 Not only did Nkrumah officially steer the party in the new direction of socialism, but he also declared a formal restructuring of the CPP to achieve the goal of creating an economic revolution to modernize the country. Five years earlier, in May 1954, Padmore had warned him of the growth in careerism among party members and their dearth of political understanding. It appears Nkrumah now heeded the advice. Why he waited so long to do this is difficult to ascertain. We can only surmise that perhaps in 1954 Nkrumah was consumed with the political pressures of collaborating with the British and stamping his authority over undisciplined party elements in Kumasi.

On the occasion of the CPP's tenth anniversary, Nkrumah made several important announcements to the party faithful. First, Nkrumah informed them that the first revolution had been a political revolution of attaining the political kingdom. The corollary to this had yet to be embarked upon: a struggle to achieve the economic kingdom. Second, Nkrumah reinforced a commitment to the Leninist principle of democratic centralism. There would be internal discussion but thereafter decisions had to be respected and adhered to by all. Third, he declared that "the Party is the State, and the State is the party." Consequently,

from 1959 to his overthrow, the absorption of the state by the party took place. Simultaneously there was a consolidation of all the party's wings as integral to the CPP. Finally, this new direction manifested itself in the ideology of Nkrumaism in 1961.

The significance of the 1959 address was thus: "For the first time, however, socialism began to be insisted upon with a sense of urgency as both the ideology and the ultimate aim of the CPP, the ideology with which the party would carry out its new role and the end to which all its efforts would be directed."38 Fundamentally, the new ideology lacked detailed content. However, some general principles and tendencies could be discerned, such as all people would receive according to their needs, regardless of race, color, tribe, or creed; a mixed national economy would be established in which the public, private, and cooperative sectors would coexist; "African socialist principles" would be "adopted to suit Ghanaian conditions"; and ideological education would be introduced via party vanguardists to spread the message of the party's goals to all sectors of the party and the Ghanaian people.<sup>39</sup> For Folson, these principles constituted "confused thinking" and "apparent unclarity." 40 Moreover, he argues that they were beset with intellectual inconsistencies, for Nkrumah appeared to adhere to the view that socialism could coexist with private enterprise. Also, the 1959 constitution promised to introduce socialism applicable to Ghanaian conditions and simultaneously advocated "African Socialism." 41

To spearhead this new ideological direction, Nkrumah decided to restructure the party. The National Association of Socialist Students (NASSO), which had been formed in London after Nkrumah's departure, acted as a theoretical discussion group under the guidance of its "ideological counsellors," Padmore and Makonnen. NASSO was described by Nkrumah as "the ideological wing of the party" alongside the Party Vanguard Activists (PVAs). 42 The precise role of these two organizations is difficult to delineate and in reality their roles overlapped. 43 The PVAs constituted individuals who, after acquiring ideological training, were drafted into key positions in the party organization, as well as the party press and civil service to explain the aims and objectives of the party to those who did not understand them. 44

There was an increase in the numbers of "auxiliary and functional organisations," such as the creation of the Ghana Young Pioneer Movement (GYPM); the All African Trade Union Front (formed in May 1961), the National Council of Ghanaian Women (NCGW), and the Ghana Co-operative Movement. Nkrumah viewed these integral wings as the solution to the rise of localism in the constituency parties, but they were also considered the basis for reorganizing Ghanaian society along socialist lines. He had a long-standing belief that the youth of society constituted an asset in national reconstruction. To this endeavor, he inaugurated the Young Pioneers in June 1960. The movement was the only officially recognized youth group in the country and was headed by Zubeiro Baba Shardow, who had been trained in Europe and Israel in youth organization. It was modeled on the Soviet *komsomol* schools aimed at "training the mind,

body and soul of the youth of Ghana to be up to their civic responsibilities so as to fulfil their patriotic duties."45

Similarly, the NCGW that was set up on September 10, 1960, became the only recognized body under which all Ghanaian women were to be organized and encouraged to visit Eastern bloc countries to learn organizational skills. 46 Adamafio's account of the formation of the council illustrates how determined Nkrumah was to establish a centralized structure to co-opt the two existing women's organizations. They were the nonpolitical National Federation of Gold Coast Women and the politically orientated Ghana Women's League. In short, if Adamafio's account is to be believed, it was a top-down approach to organization. 47 Nkrumah even chose the name for the new organization and appointed loyal female secretary generals of the council. 48

The overall effects of Nkrumah's restructuring of the CPP were many and profound. Significantly was "the increase in internal factionalism, in competition for influence, and in inner party intrigue," which developed between 1957 and 1965. 49 In the opinion of Apter, the inner-party disputes caused Nkrumah to tighten his authority by establishing a republican government. This was achieved by the introduction of a plebiscite in April 1960. Nkrumah announced the idea on the third anniversary of the country's independence in a radio broadcast. Voters were asked if they accepted a republican constitution contained in a government white paper and to select their presidential candidate: Nkrumah or Danquah. Nkrumah was convinced that a republican form of government was the realization of Ghana's full sovereignty. The country was to achieve complete independence from Britain, with Nkrumah, rather than the queen, being the head of state. At the opening of a new party headquarters on April 2, 1960, Nkrumah announced to the CPP, "The Convention People's Party is a powerful force; more powerful, indeed, than anything that has yet appeared in the history of Ghana. It is the uniting force that guides and pilots the nation and is the nerve centre of the positive operations in the struggle for African irredentism. Its supremacy cannot be challenged. The Convention People's Party is Ghana, and Ghana is the Convention People's Party."50

The results of the April plebiscite for Accra gave Nkrumah 16,804 votes and Danquah, 9,035, while those in support of the republican constitution numbered 16,739 and those against 9, 207. The numbers of people who abstained were significant, as was the low turnout: less than half the electorate voted.<sup>51</sup> The poll showed 1,008, 740 in favor of the new constitution (88.5 percent) and 131, 425 against (11.5 percent). In the presidential contest, Nkrumah received 1,016,076 votes (89.1 percent).<sup>52</sup> Austin contends "that the CPP almost certainly manipulated the voting. Thus deceit was added to force where both were probably unnecessary."<sup>53</sup>

Nkrumah believed he had endorsement for the establishment of a new republic. In essence, "The 1960 constitution provided for a highly centralised system of government with the president, the head both of state and of government, as its linch-pin." The president had the power of appointment and dismissal over all civil service, armed forces, and judicial appointments. Furthermore, "the real

point of the new constitution was to rid Nkrumah of checks on his authority from within the government machine, so that he could administer to the country as he saw best."<sup>55</sup> The inauguration of the republican constitution on July 1, 1960, simply formalized the "highly personal manner" Nkrumah had exercised power since 1957.<sup>56</sup> The republican constitution had transferred ultimate responsibility for the armed forces from the queen's representative in Accra, the British governor general, to the president. Nkrumah was not only head of state but also commander in chief of the army. On October 1, 1960, he set up the President's Own Guard Regiment (POGR), comprising older soldiers unfit for field duty. Their role was to guard Flagstaff House. Its commander was a northerner, Colonel David Zanlerigu.<sup>57</sup> The perception of the POGR among the regular armed forces was to give rise to increasing friction and ultimately the 1966 coup.

## Nkrumah's Turning Point, 1961

In 1961, several actions taken by Nkrumah led to increasing political and economic disquiet in the country. First was Nkrumah's "Dawn Broadcast" of April 8, 1961, followed by his introduction of an austerity budget in July that became the catalyst to the Sekondi-Takoradi railway workers' strike in September. The year was also momentous in that it saw the rise in the formulation of the ideology of Nkrumaism and concomitantly the entrenchment of an "Nkrumaist cult" that lasted until Nkrumah's overthrow. Finally, there was Nkrumah's ideological move toward the Eastern bloc and Soviet Union. The coalescence of all these developments, therefore makes 1961 pivotal in the record of Nkrumah's government. Acts of terrorism and several conspiracies against the CPP government subsequently increased and impacted decisively on Nkrumah's political conduct.

It was incontrovertibly "the year in which Nkrumah broke definitely with the policies inherited from the British" and forged a new direction in order to attain the economic paradise he had promised the people of Ghana and to consolidate his political power and that of the CPP. Significantly, between February and June 1961 Nkrumah made several speeches setting out his commitment to socialism, before departing for his extended tour of the Communist world in July. Consequently, it is essential to understand the thinking underpinning the positions and actions undertaken by Nkrumah that brought about this new direction and the incongruity between his ideals and the reality.

Up until 1960, the party had an external opponent to confront, in the form of the National Liberation Movement-Northern People's Party (NLM-NPP) alliance and the UP after 1957. In 1960, with the opposition politically impotent as a result of Dr. J. B. Danquah's defeat in the presidential contest, it appears the CPP turned in on itself.<sup>60</sup> By the time of this famous broadcast, corruption within the CPP had heightened considerably. The rise in the levels of corruption lies partly in the nature of the party. From its birth, it attracted diverse elements into its ranks in order to maintain its self-characterization as a "mass party." For many in the party, the party was a vehicle for material self-enrichment, and even more so now that the political kingdom had been attained. Some elements of

the Ghanaian middle classes, such as lawyers, lecturers, and businessmen, joined the party after independence.<sup>61</sup> Significantly, "Few CPP members took the party's 'socialism' seriously; they were 'all socialist' so long as it merely served the party as a slogan," argues Legum.<sup>62</sup> Furthermore, "it was only after Nkrumah embarked on his policy of 'ideological education' that the gulf deepened between him and the middle class and the groupings within the CPP came into open confrontation."<sup>63</sup>

While Nkrumah was in London attending the Commonwealth Prime Ministers Conference in March 1961, the crisis between the old guard—the older and more conservative elements of the party—and the "Ideo Boys" came to the fore.<sup>64</sup> On returning from London, Nkrumah delivered his broadcast<sup>65</sup> in which he stated that the causes of the disputes between different factions of the party lay in parliamentarians who had become "a new ruling class of self-seekers and careerists." 66 They were reminded that their commitment was to their constituency and that constituencies were not the private property of parliamentarians. He admonished party ministers, secretaries, and members of parliament (MPs) who used their party membership as a means of amassing wealth, to extract bribes from others, or as a means of elevating family members to positions of influence and status.<sup>67</sup> Nkrumah announced a new directive that would limit the material acquisitions party members could legitimately possess.<sup>68</sup> The "young Turks" considered the Dawn Broadcast as a license to attack figures such as Gbedemah, Botsio, Aveh-Kumi, Krobo Edusei, and others as "party capitalists." They considered Gbedemah their strongest opponent and archconservative. To remind the party faithful of the party's original ideal of "one man, one vote," they abused the right wing with taunts of "one man, one house," and "one man, one car." The conservatives hit back by attacking Marxist socialism as an ideology foreign to Ghana.69

In Legum's assessment, "The Dawn Broadcast made a tremendous impact on the public; people were keyed up, expecting drastic action against party leaders, or at least a thorough purge of the government. But little actually happened."70 In short, the Dawn Broadcast was not translated into the immediate purge that many expected.<sup>71</sup> Instead, Nkrumah as life chairman of the party, took over as general secretary of the party on May 1, relieving Adamafio of this position. The move was in no way a criticism of Adamafio's ability or performance. It simply consolidated Nkrumah's power within the party as a basis for further action. In addition, Gbedemah was demoted from minister of finance to health.<sup>72</sup> This particular action was, as Austin observes, "the first open split between Nkrumah and the ablest of his lieutenants."73 The reasons for Nkrumah's failure to act more decisively are for Legum attributable to the fact that "the crisis in the party had come sooner than Nkrumah expected and he was not ready to undertake major changes. Moreover, the crisis in the party left Nkrumah unsure of his own strength in the Party and the country."74 He, therefore, acted cautiously while maintaining the appearance of dealing with the crisis at hand.

## Redefining the Political Kingdom, 1962-1966

From 1962 to 1966, Nkrumah reshaped Ghanaian politics by continuing the detention of his political opponents and establishing a one-party state. The one-party state was to become a trend in most of Africa from the mid-1960s. In Ghana, political life during the 1962–66 period was punctuated by two further assassination attempts on Nkrumah and increasing terrorist activity in the country. In addition, increasing corruption, the failure to democratize the political structures of Ghana, and economic decline profoundly affected Ghanaian society. The overall effects of these developments produced political cynicism, sycophancy, and Nkrumah's alienation from the electorate. During this period, Young's observation that "the most cynical whisper that Nkrumahism was merely the highest stage of opportunism" is a valid one, for several party officials espoused the party line in order to conceal the siphoning of state resources into their own pockets.<sup>75</sup>

Contributing to the increasing apathy among ordinary Ghanaians in 1962 was the storm of protest in the country when the wife of Krobo Edusei purchased a gold-embossed bed from a London furniture store for three thousand pounds sterling. To Critics considered the purchase an intolerable affront to Ghana's austerity program. The purchase highlighted the escalating corruption that existed among government officials and CPP ministers. In these circumstances, Nkrumah was forced to act and shortly after this affair introduced new bills to tackle corruption. Any individual, including ministers, found guilty of corrupt practices would be liable to a minimum of five years and maximum of ten years imprisonment with hard labor. Despite these intentions, it appears these laws were never rigorously enforced.

Several months after this scandal, Nkrumah met President Yameogo of Upper Volta on August 1 at Tenkudugu, a small town on the Ghana–Upper Volta border, to conclude a trade agreement.<sup>79</sup> On his return to Accra, he passed through the village of Kulungugu, where he was attracted to a party of schoolchildren waving the Ghanaian flag. Nkrumah had not expected to stop anywhere en route. However, on seeing the children, whom he had special affection for, he made his driver stop. As Nkrumah walked toward the crowd of children, there was a huge blast as a grenade landed yards from him. Nkrumah escaped this assassination attempt, but an innocent schoolboy and four others were killed in this incident.<sup>80</sup>

The ramifications of the Kulungugu incident were profound. Nkrumah was hospitalized for two weeks in the northern town of Bawku in order to remove shrapnel embedded in his back. He refused the anesthetic when doctors operated to remove the shrapnel. His refusal was based on the fact that "he thought under sedation he might be killed."<sup>81</sup>

According to the memoirs of Hyman M. Basner, the immediate political effects on Accra were "two weeks of hysterical headlines which in turn, blamed every exiled opposition leader and Western power for the bomb outrage" as well as wild rumors. <sup>82</sup> Among the speculation in the country was the belief that the perpetrators were members of Nkrumah's entourage: Adamafio, Coffie-Crabbe,

and Ako Adjei who were said to have instigated the assassination. Moreover, it seems "[Nkrumah] was pleased with the opportunity to dismember the Ministry of Information in order to construct a duplicate ministry at Flagstaff House by enlarging his Publicity Secretariat so that it could handle all press and radio communications." Alongside this dismemberment, Nkrumah also abandoned an effective cabinet system of administration and began to rely on numerous secretariats of which he maintained personal control. With the loss of Adamafio, Nkrumah began arranging his own appointments and interviews. It appears one of the several consequences of Kulungugu was Nkrumah's realization that "he must create certain political machinery if he was ever to get things done, [he] began to withdraw from public life."

By the end of August, the security police and intelligence service had cast suspicions on Tawia Adamafio; Ako Adjei, minister of foreign affairs; and H. H. Coffie-Crabbe, executive secretary of the CPP, as the perpetrators. The basis of these suspicions was highly speculative and insubstantial.<sup>87</sup> In parliament a private members motion was put forward by W. A. Amoro congratulating Nkrumah on his escape from the attack and urging "all citizens to be alive to their responsibilities for the security of the State."88 The phenomenon of the deification of Nkrumah, begun since his founding of the party, continued. B. A. Konu, MP for South Tongu, characterized the president as a "Saviour who has delivered us from the bonds of imperialism and colonialism."89 The effects on Nkrumah's demeanor were observable. Six weeks after the event, Basner visited Nkrumah and wrote, "The physical shock of the bomb, the psychological shock of dead and wounded children around him, the political shock in the police reports that Adamafio headed the plot, had to pass before he could be himself again. On this day he was drawn, subdued and somewhat heavy in his movements and thoughts, whereas a sparkle in his physical presence and mind is the most obvious feature in his personality."90

In the opinion of Basner, a second bomb thrown shortly after Kulungugu near the center of Accra was to "to demoralise the people of Ghana so that they would keep away from meetings and demonstrations of the CPP." In all, between September 1962 and January 1963, five bombings took place in the capital, leading to a tightening of security measures throughout the country. A state of emergency was declared in Accra and Tema on September 23, 1962, following a huge bomb explosion and emergency powers were granted to the army. Another development following the assassination attempt was the expansion of the President's Own Guard Regiment (POGR). Overall, the political significance of Kulungugu was a dangerous one.

Kulungugu did not deter Nkrumah from the ideological trajectory he had embarked on. To give publicity to the political principles and ideas of the party, which were to guide the state of Ghana and the struggle for African unity, Nkrumah founded *The Spark* in December 1962. Since the founding of the *Evening News* in 1948, Nkrumah needed a new propaganda tool to disseminate his social and political ideology, as he considered the written word an essential component of political struggle. The coeditors of this new outfit were Kofi Batsa and

S. G. Ikoku,<sup>94</sup> who considered Nkrumah the real "Editor in Chief."<sup>95</sup> Members of the editorial board comprised Ikoku Habib Nyiang and Prof. Kojo Abraham, who Batsa described as "a silent worker in the group."<sup>96</sup> Others who contributed to the publication included the British writers Joan and Ron Bellamy and Denis Ogden.<sup>97</sup> *The Spark* had a domestic and internationalist orientation. Nkrumah considered the purpose of the journal as a platform to propound a new socialist ideology for the whole of Africa in what he considered as the new phase of the African revolution.

On January 8, 1963, the capital was rocked by another bomb explosion at the Accra Sports Stadium. Four people died and 85 others were wounded. Nkrumah had been at the stadium earlier to address a mass rally of 25,000 members of the CPP, and it is most likely he was the intended target. The government alleged that Obetsebi Lamptey had been involved in this attack and he was, therefore, imprisoned at Nsawam security jail. 100

On February 1, 1963, the Aliens Act was brought into operation by legislative instrument. It consolidated the old Deportation Act (1957) and the Immigration Act with their amendments into one piece of legislation. It imposed upon employers and employees the responsibility of notifying the minister of interior within seven days when an "alien" employee commenced or finished work. The minister of interior, Kwaku Boateng, said, "In this way the immigration authorities can keep tag of all aliens who enter the country to take up work and ensure that they engage in only those activities for which their residence permits were granted. The was noticeable that during the second reading of the Aliens bill in December 1963, there were no voices of parliamentary opposition to this bill, as there had been in 1957 when the opposition voiced disquiet over both the Avoidance of Discrimination Act of 1957 and the PDA of 1958.

It appears a climate of paranoia, suspicion, and Nkrumah's growing concern for his personal safety caused by the recent bombings and assassination attempt motivated the introduction of this bill, as well as the introduction of the National Security Service Act of 1963. The act brought several intelligence and special military services under Nkrumah's direct control. 104 The three main services established were the military intelligence (MI) set up in 1961 and led by M. M. Hassan, an Nzima who was loathed by the army; the special intelligence unit, established in early 1963 and directed by another Nzima, Ambrose Yankey; and the Presidential Detail Department (PDD), which was principally responsible for the personal safety of Nkrumah and headed by a civilian, Eric Otoo. 105 The formation of these three services was totally independent of the regular armed forces and police, which gave rise to a growing chasm between Nkrumah and the Ghana armed forces.

Alongside these bills were lengthy discussions on the timeliness of a one-party system of government, which had begun in parliament on September 11, 1962. CPP parliamentarians presented various justifications. Among them was the belief that the Western concept of parliamentary government had been imposed upon Ghana by the imperial power; it was a duty to unite Ghana first before achieving

total unity of Africa; that it would automatically "bring an end to the evil of social inequality in Ghana"; and miraculously "separatism will disappear." <sup>106</sup>

The ideological antecedents of Nkrumah's own rationalization for a one-party system are implied in his infamous words, expressed in the preface of his 1957 *Autobiography*: "But even a system based on social justice and a democratic constitution may need backing up, during the period following independence, by emergency measures of a totalitarian kind." A year after this statement—on December 26, 1958—in a speech to the Indian Council on World Affairs, Nkrumah declared, "We in Africa will evolve forms of government rather different from the traditional Western pattern but no less democratic in their protection of the individual and his inalienable rights." In Nkrumah's thinking there was no paradox in this, for the CPP was the ultimate expression of the will of the people and protector of their interests.

For Nkrumah, modern socialism required a centralized government to direct the operation and development of the economy, which was tantamount to a *de facto* one-party system. In his view, multipartyism represented irreconcilable interests. He believed, "A people's parliamentary democracy with a one-party system is better able to express and satisfy the common aspirations of a nation as a whole, than a multi-party parliamentary system, which is in fact only a ruse for perpetuating, and covers up, the inherent struggle between the haves and the have-nots." 110

Meanwhile, as heated parliamentary discussions on the desirability of a one-party government continued, the trial before a special court of those arrested after the Kulungugu attack took place. On December 9, 1963, a new crisis confronted Nkrumah when the court consisting of Chief Justice Sir Arku Korsah, W. B. Van Lare, and Akufu-Addo, judges of the supreme court, acquitted all three men for insufficient evidence. Two days later, Nkrumah dismissed Korsah. The *Ghanaian Times* on December 12, 1963, declared that the reason for the sacking was that the chief justice has "failed in his duty, let his leader down and betrayed his country" by failing to inform Nkrumah in advance the outcome of the verdict. The consequences of his action caused profound astonishment in various quarters. C. L. R. James wrote to Nkrumah expressing he was "concerned about the impact that Ghana and you are making on the world and on Africa." Nkrumah, who was normally an enthusiastic correspondent, did not reply to James's letter.

On January 2, 1964, as Nkrumah walked through the gardens of Flagstaff House toward his residence, he was the target of a third assassination attempt by police constable Seth Ametewee. Two bullets killed Nkrumah's bodyguard, Salifu Dagarti. Nkrumah and members of the security team overpowered the assassin, leaving Nkrumah with a "huge wound in his cheek." <sup>113</sup> The effect of this third assassination attempt led to Nkrumah's increasing suspicion and mistrust toward those around him. <sup>114</sup> Two days after the attack, E. R. T. Madjitey, the police commissioner, was arrested and detained with nine other senior members of the police force who were suspected of masterminding the assassination. Evidence

was produced that Ametewee was in the pay of senior police officers, and in 1965 he was hanged for the murder of Dagarti. 115

Another repercussion of the 1964 assassination attempt was that it "convinced Nkrumah of the need for an even more powerful security force." Therefore, toward the end of the year an additional POGR battalion was created leading to a "simmering hostility between the PDD (especially the POGR) and the regular forces" on account of the better quality equipment heaped on the POGR in comparison to the shortages endured by the army. Significantly, J. W. K. Harlley replaced Madjitey, and T. Deku also became deputy police commissioner in a major reorganization of the police force.

With the arrests of Adamafio, Adjei, and Coffie-Crabbe, there arose speculation as to whether Nkrumah would allow the three to be executed or use his presidential prerogative to commute the sentences to life imprisonment. Basner observes, "I didn't have the slightest doubt what Nkrumah's decision would be. Nkrumah has a horror of shedding blood which is neither sentimental nor moral, but belongs to his mystical reference for the human personality, contradicting his rationalistic philosophy and political theories." At a retrial before Chief Justice Julius Sarkodee Addo, the three were convicted and sentenced to death. However, Nkrumah commuted their sentence to long-term imprisonment. Shaping Nkrumah's strong abhorrence of capital punishment was his earlier incarceration in James Fort prison, where he observed monthly executions. Perhaps it is this formative experience that underpinned his decision to commute the sentences.

Toward the end of 1963, Nkrumah passed a bill empowering him to quash any decisions of Ghana's special courts if he considered the matter "in the interest of the security of the State." Following elections on the proposal for a one-party state in January 1964, the "Yes vote" totaled 2,773,970 and the "No vote" 2,452 out of a registered 2,877,464. Considerable political power was now concentrated in Nkrumah's hands.

Yet without question, "by 1965 Kwame Nkrumah often looked and acted like a tired man. The worries and pressures of office were taking their toll and much of the distinctive vigour had gone out of some of his actions," claims Batsa. <sup>123</sup> Economically, the country's budget deficit had grievously expanded as the price of cocoa on the world market continued to decline. Dr. Jonathan Frimpong-Ansah <sup>124</sup> depicted the gravity of the economic situation:

By 1965 it had become very desperate and I remember we decided to write a memorandum to Nkrumah to tell him the true state of affairs of the economy. I had written that the reserves were only 500,000 pounds. He looked at me and said "Ah! You didn't check your typing! You've left a few zeros!" I said "No Sir, there are no zeros left". This is 500,000 pounds—all we have in the banks overseas. And he sat back. And what he did then was that he went round the table and went to everyone who was seated there at the meeting and asked them: "Frimpong says we've 500,000 pounds. Is he right? Do you agree with him?" And everyone said: "Yes". That was the first time the whole cabinet acknowledged to the President that Ghana was bankrupt. When Nkrumah heard this he actually shed tears. He left us in the office in the Cabinet room for half an hour. He broke down completely when he knew that Ghana was in fact poor. 125

Nkrumah had been engaged in self-delusion as to the economic realities of Ghana. Contributing to his considerable distress and sadness was the death earlier in the year of his arch ideological opponent, Dr. J. B. Danquah, who had died at 69 years of age in Nsawam maximum-security prison on February 4, 1965. He had been arrested and detained in October 1961 for suspected involvement in the Sekondi-Takoradi strike and was released in June 1962, only to be arrested and detained again in early January 1964 on suspicion of being the mastermind behind the attempt on Nkrumah's life. He suffered from chronic bronchial asthma and hypertension in unhygienic conditions in a cell of six by nine feet. His many petitions to the ministry of the interior for improved treatment and release were ignored.

Four months into his detention, Danquah wrote a long personal letter to Nkrumah pleading for his release and challenging the grounds of this detention. He subtly lambasted the accusation that "his detention was necessary in order to prevent him from acting in a manner prejudicial to the security of the state." <sup>126</sup> According to Timothy, "When the news of Dr. Danquah's death was conveyed to Nkrumah, he was very sad and remorseful. His conscience troubled him greatly and he travelled to his hometown Nkroful where he stayed for a fortnight reflecting on his life, actions and political philosophy." <sup>127</sup> Whether Nkrumah had read Danquah's letter is unknown, but he had experienced prison life during 1949 to 1950 so he was aware of the conditions in Ghana's prisons. Perhaps, it is on account of this knowledge that the death of a former colleague and political enemy may have left him with a deep sense of guilt.

It appears that during this time, Nkrumah also trusted the regular armed forces less and less, particularly after he established the National Security Services in 1963. On July 28, 1965, he summarily dismissed his chief of defense staff, Major-General Stephen Otu and his deputy, Major-General Joseph Ankrah. This action was not only hasty but also totally unforeseen. 128 It was alleged both men were, as a result of detection by the military intelligence, to have been involved in a conspiracy in April to overthrow the government. 129 Another plan was hatched in June 1965 while Nkrumah was attending a Prime Ministers' Commonwealth Conference in London. As a result of the exposure of this conspiracy, Nkrumah decided to sack his generals and replace them with officers he considered loyal. Brigadier Aferi who had been commander of the second brigade since its creation in October 1962 was promoted to major general and chief of defense staff. Lieutenant-Colonel Barwah, a northerner, was appointed his deputy; Lieutenant-Colonel A. K. Ocran was put in charge of the first Brigade in Accra; and Lieutenant-Colonel E. K. Kotoka, an Ewe, who had been appointed director-general of operations and plans in April 1965, was appointed to the less prestigious Second Brigade in Kumasi. 130

Nkrumah's motivation for the reorganization of the military was based on suspicions that the army and police were complicit in attacks on his life. He, therefore, consciously sought to install a loyal security and military apparatus independent of the army and police via the MI, Special Intelligence Unit, and

PDD, all of which were personally controlled from the presidential office in Flagstaff House.

Meanwhile, Nkrumah's growing authoritarianism and his attempts to mobilize the CPP produced apathy, distrust, and cynicism among Ghanaians. Ironically, the changes imposed from above—such as the creation of the PVAs, the GYPM, the Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute (KNII), the Builders' and Workers' Brigade, and the All African Trade Union Front—barely involved the majority of the population. Nkrumah's alienation was demonstrated in how he responded to the rise in the price of bread in late 1965. Bread had risen from half a crown to five shillings while the standard wage for workers in Accra was six shillings a day. The South African journalist Hyman M. Basner maintained a keen eye on the standard of living and its impact on Ghanaian workers. 131 Basner claims there was "an orgy of profiteering in bread and other food products" and for lower-wage earners this was devastating. 132 He later visited Nkrumah in October 1965 with a parcel of two loaves of bread. His parcel received a "startled and amused" reaction from Nkrumah, his staff, and his security guards. When told that the loaves cost five shillings and were full of air, Nkrumah told Basner, "Your letter came as a shock." Nkrumah swiftly called a cabinet meeting that same evening on the issue and asked Basner to print his letter to *The Ghanaian Times*. <sup>133</sup>

The explanation for the increase in the price of bread was attributable to corrupt forces in the Ghana National Trading Corporation (GNTC), who were selling flour to smaller bakeries at 14 pounds sterling instead of the normal price of 6 pounds per bag. The state bakery, which supplied about 20 percent of the bread in Accra, could not get flour except by paying black-market prices. <sup>134</sup> In short, the bread racket was symptomatic of the scale of corruption, deeper hostilities, and problems in trade with the Soviet Union. The switch from Canadian to Russian supplies of flour had been exploited by certain elements, as the Russians could only make their delivery every four months. As Basner claims, "This was the great difficulty with the communist countries, as their timetable for deliveries were rigid, having to comply with their state planning system." <sup>135</sup>

There was opposition in the GNTC, as well as in the big foreign trading companies, to dealing with goods coming from the communist countries. "The conspiracy was wide, taking in the highest ranking personnel in the Department of Finance, Trade and Commerce, Food and Nutrition, and Foreign Affairs, who knew about the decision to change over to Russian flour; as well as the managers of the GNTC and the big bakers, who were tipped off," writes Basner. Nkrumah was "furious" and ordered for investigations into the scandal, yet the price of bread remained the same. We ministers, officials, and party men had vested interests in the continuation of the racket. This example illustrates the extent of government and state corruption; the political dishonesty of key ministers around Nkrumah; and, ultimately, his inability to effectively arrest the decline in corruption. By mid-1965 there was a food crisis in the country. Life had been made "unbearable for the wage and salary earner." 137

By the end of the year, Nkrumah's attempts to revolutionize Ghana and transform the African continent into a showcase of economic and political strength

were slipping considerably. His attempts to reinvigorate the CPP via the PVAs, the Ideological School, and integration of the trade unions, women's groups, and the youth, were unsuccessful. Increasing corruption, inflation, apathy, cynicism, and hostility to Nkrumah's authoritarianism existed. A gulf between Nkrumah's political ideas and their implementation had emerged, for the CPP and Nkrumah failed to consult with Ghanaians as to whether socialism was desirable and, if so, how it could be achieved. This was largely because Nkrumah's politics were founded on a distinct conception of centralized power and personal control. Subsequently, this conception of power informed the type of top-down institutions he established that excluded the majority of ordinary Ghanaians.

Genuine democratic participation was inconceivable to such a view because, for Nkrumah, the CPP and the state were one and the same. They embodied the common aspirations of the nation as a whole. If this was accepted, Nkrumah could not envisage the CPP acting against the interests of the people. Such a paternalistic notion of power and the people was inherent in his concept of the CPP as the commoner's party. Such a notion denied the right of the commoner to exercise freedom to disagree with the party.

## CHAPTER 7

# Economic, Social, and Cultural Policies, 1958–1966

It is evident that on the eve of Ghana's independence Nkrumah had a grand vision for the new country. He had secured the "political kingdom" of self-rule. He now had to provide an "economic paradise," which he had promised Ghanaians prior to independence. We shall examine Nkrumah's economic, social, and cultural objectives and aspirations for Ghana during the period 1958 to 1966. The central question to be addressed is, how did Nkrumah's socioeconomic and cultural thought inform the institutions and policies he established between 1958 and 1966 to effect economic, social, and cultural change in Ghana? How he attempted to realize this vision, the problems he encountered, and the institutions he established will be our central focus.

### In Search of the Economic Kingdom, 1958-1966

Nkrumah's trip to the United States and Canada in July and August 1958 was used to solicit economic aid for the newly independent Ghana. It was initiated as a result of a racial snub minister of finance, Komla Gbedemah, had experienced in the American state of Delaware in November 1957. Attired in traditional Kente cloth, Gbedemah had been refused a glass of orange juice at a roadside Howard Johnson chain of restaurants. The incident caught world headline news, especially as the American President Eisenhower proffered a personal apology and Gbedemah was invited to breakfast at the White House. The significance of this incidence is that it revived the Volta River Project (VRP). During breakfast, Gbedemah informed Eisenhower and his officials of Ghana's plans to build the Volta dam in order to modernize Africa. Out of this exchange, Eisenhower extended an invitation to Nkrumah. Nkrumah accepted Eisenhower's invitation to America and initial discussions with American private contractors began.<sup>1</sup>

While in America, Nkrumah took the opportunity to explain the economic policies of his government. He "wanted to make clear at the outset" that he and

his delegation had not come to beg for financial assistance.<sup>2</sup> He repeated to his various audiences, "What we want is for you to co-operate in the economic and profitable development of our resources. This means that if either your government or your investors put money into our country we want it to go into sound projects and schemes, which will ultimately lead to the repayment of the initial investment."3 Nkrumah made use of every opportunity to explain to his American audience how important it was for the Ghanaian economy to diversify and reduce its overdependence on a single cash crop—cocoa. For this to occur, he spelled out the importance of Ghana being able to obtain cheap electric power from the Volta River Project (VRP) as the basis for the expansion of industrial development in the country. Before Nkrumah left Washington for the next stage of his trip, a joint statement was made with President Eisenhower. The statement encouraged private interests to participate in the project and promised an update of the 1955 engineering reports. On his tour of America, Nkrumah's lack of bitterness and humor was demonstrated when he was taken to the Hershey Chocolate Corporation in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Red-carpet treatment and a reception committee brought a smile to his face. He told the American reception committee, "The last time I visited your factory I was looking for a job! Not only was there no red carpet then to smooth my way: there was no job either!"4

It is evident "Nkrumah had come to office without any clear idea of the economic strategy he might pursue in preference to that laid down in the ten year plan. He therefore had no real alternative to going along with the policy of the government as already laid down." Yet the balance sheet for the Convention People's Party (CPP) at the end of its first year of independence was "a bewildering mixture of very positive achievements and heavy-handed policy. The second half of 1958 witnessed the laying of the foundations of Ghana's first and only deepwater port at Tema, a massive, ambitious and imaginative project. At much the same time the government gave notice to the world that even a small country like Ghana was to be a significant international player by the establishment of firstly a national airline, Ghana Airways, and then a national shipping fleet, the Black Star Line. Ghana's new shipping line, the "Black Star Line," paid homage to Marcus Garvey's shipping enterprise as a symbol of both national and Pan-African pride.

With these economic achievements behind him, Nkrumah presented to parliament on March 4, 1959, the CPP's Second Five Year Development Plan. While the plan was ambitious, it was by no means a departure from the laissez-faire economic policies of Professor Arthur Lewis nor was it what scholars have described as "the shopping list" approach of former colonial development plans. The essential thrust of earlier economic plans between 1951 and 1957 had been on heavy public investment, modernizing infrastructure, and building new roads and clinics. The thrust of the Second Five Year plan was to improve the standard of living of Ghanaians, "abolish disease, poverty and illiteracy"; expand the provision of education; "ensure the continued expansion and diversification of agriculture"; and create an industrial base.

Before parliament in 1959, Nkrumah spelled out that the execution of "the great Plan" was dependent on capital from two sources: internal domestic savings and foreign investment. He reiterated his invitation to foreign entrepreneurs to invest in Ghana. That Nkrumah had not departed from liberal market economics or a sense of pragmatism was reflected in his following statement: "We want industry in Ghana, and we are always ready to make reasonable arrangements with any Government, institution or individual who can bring a sound proposition to us. In short, we intend, as in the past, to follow a common-sense and practical approach to industrial development." Nkrumah did not expand on the details of the plan but gave a lengthy overview of examples of industrial projects the government intended to embark upon in fulfillment of the plan's objectives. In short, the plan shared the "welfare state orientation" of the earlier colonial plans. According to Fitch and Oppenheimer, it gave the appearance of breaking with the colonial past when in reality it had not.

The role of education was central to the success of Nkrumah's economic policies. Not only did he consider it key to educating a competent and technically skilled workforce, but also Nkrumah believed that Ghanaian citizens had to understand and share the ideology of the CPP in order to effect a second economic and social revolution in the country. Consequently, Nkrumah's vision of education was thoroughly ideological. Education had an instrumentalist function and motivational purpose. Its aims were not only to produce skilled workers but also to forge a nationalist and socialist consciousness among all Ghanaians. As a result, there was the introduction of fee-free elementary education, teacher training, and university education; free textbooks; the expansion of university facilities—all were evidence of the high premium Nkrumah placed on education. In this endeavor, Nkrumah also focused on the education of women, whom he considered the architects of the nation. Like his mentor Aggrey, he believed that educating women meant the education of a whole nation. The Accelerated Development Plan for Education, which had been introduced in 1952, reflected this ambition. From 1952 to 1961, there was a tremendous increase in the numbers of public primary and secondary schools. It was the 1961 Education Act that made education compulsory for school-age children, and also girls, which consequently increased enrollment figures. The access of girls from poorer socioeconomic backgrounds was increased under Nkrumah's government. Around 1965-66, girls constituted 44 percent of total primary school enrolment, 35 percent in middle school, and 25 percent at secondary school.<sup>14</sup> More young women also entered higher institutions of learning and were sent abroad with men to train in the professions.

Furthermore, Nkrumah believed that the future of every nation depended on the education and training of its youth. Ghana had a plethora of youth groups extending to the preindependence era.<sup>15</sup> He, therefore, set up two important institutions to educate the youth and all Ghanaians into the nationalist and socialist ideology of the party: the Young Pioneer Movement (YPM) and the Ideological Institute.

The YPM was established in June 1960, and it is said to have been modeled on the lines of Israel's Gadna youth training program. <sup>16</sup> Its role was to mobilize the youth into a disciplined, well-educated, and civil body to defend the country from internal and external enemies. It comprised four groups of pupils and students from 4 to 25 years of age. <sup>17</sup>

The aims of the YPM were to give the young generation a political education according to CPP ideology and in order to eradicate the colonial mentality of teachers and parents. To this end, the pupils had to pledge at the beginning and end of the school: "To live by the ideals of Osagyefo Kwame Nkrumah, Founder of the state of Ghana, initiator of the African personality; to safeguard by all means possible the independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity of the state of Ghana from internal and external aggression, and to be in the first ranks of men fighting for the total liberation and unity of Africa, for these are the noble aims guiding the Ghana Pioneers." As stated in one of the documents of the movement, "Ghana, in her endeavour to build a first class socialist state cannot overlook the role of her youth."

Scholarships were awarded to Young Pioneers to visit Israel, the German Democratic Republic, and the Soviet Union to pursue studies in economics, linguistics, agriculture, and medicine.<sup>20</sup> Pobee contends, "In April 1962, there were 5,000 branches all over the country, with a total membership of 500,000 of which 190,000 were females."<sup>21</sup> By June 30, 1963, the movement claimed to have over one million members.<sup>22</sup>

Criticisms of the movement came initially from the church. For example, the Anglican Bishop of Accra, Rev. Reginald Richard Roseveare, condemned Nkrumah and the YPM for blasphemy and godlessness.<sup>23</sup> Christian groups were concerned that Nkrumah was impersonating God and teaching the youth that there was no God.

The Ideological Institute at Winneba was established in February 1961 to train the cadre and the leadership of the CPP and ancillary wings of the party. Soon members of the Ghana armed forces were forced to take regular courses of study in the ideology, organization, and objectives of the CPP. The director of the school was Kodjo Addison, who "was no socialist." According to Agyeman, "The Ideological Institute had less success than the YPM because the Institute was handicapped by the lack of the qualified instructors—both Africans and non-African" who fully understood Nkrumah's political and social philosophy. Not only did the institute provide internal courses of six weeks duration for CPP members and its integral wings, but it also provided external courses for students from African nationalist organizations. In addition, lecturers of the institute provided papers on topics such as the "Supremacy of the Party," "Why a One Party State," "Nkrumah's Political Ideology," and "African Unity." Other additional major handicaps were the appointment to posts of individuals with little experience, poor organization, and infighting.

After the establishment of the Ideological Institute, Nkrumah convened a CPP study group on the theme "Building a Socialist State." Representatives from all sections of the party leadership and ancillary organizations were instructed

to attend on April 22, 1961, at Flagstaff House. Nkrumah declared that the goals of full employment, housing, and industrial growth were "the tremendous task not of the CPP alone, but of the whole nation: civil servants, all types of workers, teachers, farmers, peasants—indeed, all able-bodied Ghanaians standing together as one man under the leadership of the CPP."<sup>29</sup>

Furthermore, Nkrumah asked, "How are we to achieve this goal within the shortest possible time?" He stated, "Socialism is the only pattern that can within the shortest possible time bring the good life to the people."<sup>30</sup> Yet Nkrumah was of the opinion that "at this juncture, Ghana is not a socialist state." <sup>31</sup> In order to create socialism, he maintained that an industrial foundation had to be laid and mechanization of agricultural production was necessary. In addition, "without energy without coal, oil or hydro-electricity—it is idle to talk of industrialisation. Without energy we cannot lay the foundations of industrialisation. Industrialisation presupposes electricification . . . Hence my preoccupation with the Volta River Project and other schemes that will provide water power both for electricity and irrigation of regions that are starved of water at certain periods of the year."32 Nkrumah remarked, "Our planning hitherto has been largely piecemeal and unpurposeful. It has not been linked in an organised manner."33 This had given rise not only to "an uncoordinated" national economy but also to "much wastage of precious funds and limited managerial and technical staff."34 He declared that there would be planning at all levels—national, regional, and local.

Ironically the decline of cocoa on the world market meant that from 1960 onward Nkrumah had less revenue from this golden goose for further reinvestment, and consequently he had to increase personal taxes. He also announced that there was to be a change in the relationship between the party and the civil service. In the future, "the party must be the pivot of our economic planning." Integral to this would be "consultation and participation of the people," which would "be the truest kind of democracy that has ever functioned." How this was to operate, he did not illuminate.

Nkrumah was conscious that his administration had inherited a colonial apparatus. He said, "To attain this laudable end of socialist control we have from time to time to make a review of the administrative apparatus at our disposal, remembering that it was originally bequeathed to us by a colonial regime dedicated to a very different purpose."37 As a result, Nkrumah said that this "uncharted path the country had embarked upon, required proceeding from trial and error. Changes which are made today may themselves call for further change tomorrow."38 His lack of rigidity and commitment to dogma revealed the essential pragmatist in Nkrumah. While he had no economic blueprint to follow, Nkrumah sought to tread prudently. Adopting a flexible approach that encompassed "trial and error" enabled Nkrumah to conceal his own uncertainty as to what strategies would have been effective in achieving his economic objectives. Lastly, Nkrumah issued the directive that on account of the lack of socialist understanding and orientation of many ministers, party officials, ministerial secretaries, heads of boards and corporations, members of parliament, and journalists, all would be required to obtain ideological education at the new party school at Winneba.

Another significant development in the tumultuous year of 1961 was Nkrumah's visit to the Soviet Union, China, Yugoslavia, Romania, Bulgaria, and Albania between July and September. The trip provided further pressure and impetus to Nkrumah's search for a new economic direction, away from a laissez-faire mixed economy, and to confronting Ghana's economic dependency on Western trading partners. However, Nkrumah had already decided to restructure the Ghanaian economy well before his visit to the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, China, and Eastern Europe.

Nkrumah was intellectually committed to economic independence as one of his many policy objectives. Yet at the beginning of the 1960s, Ghana's industry and commerce still remained largely in foreign control; the economy was dependent on imported consumer goods and Britain accounted for about one-third of Ghana's cocoa exports.<sup>39</sup> Hence, the trip to the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, and China galvanized Nkrumah's decision to broaden Ghana's trading partners. In addition, "civil servants and foreign service officers felt that Ghana's non-alignment was not in balance until Nkrumah had been to the East," maintains Thompson.<sup>40</sup> Dei-Anang also maintains, "Ghana's decision to draw closer to Eastern Europe was a means of expressing in concrete terms her freedom of action. Ghana found herself standing between two world giants, compelled to draw on both for technical and material aid." The idea to visit the communist countries also came from the radical elements inside the CPP led by Tawia Adamafio.<sup>42</sup>

It was Leonid Brezhnev's visit to Accra in February 1961 that marked "the important symbolic turning point in this year of change," remarks Thompson. <sup>43</sup> It galvanized the radicals within the CPP; it brought to the fore the internal divisions within the party and subsequently led to Nkrumah's visit to the Soviet Union in the summer of that year. For the pro-Soviet radicals who surrounded Nkrumah, the trip was disappointing, for they had hoped to obtain a £100 million pound loan from the Russians, which was not forthcoming. <sup>44</sup> Apart from the Russian promise to import sixty thousand tons of Ghana's cocoa, the trip yielded little in terms of economic ties. Another impact of the trip was Nkrumah's impetuous decision to establish embassies in every Eastern bloc state. While "economically indefensible," it gave Nkrumah's Ghana a wider world platform on which to project Nkrumah's image and that of the country. Moreover, it stimulated Nkrumah, who had an avid interest in the systematic collection of fact and figures, to further his research on global current affairs. <sup>45</sup>

In China, Nkrumah signed a symbolic treaty of economic and cultural ties with the Chinese. Ghana was granted an interest-free loan of £7 million. <sup>46</sup> Overall, Nkrumah had been impressed and encouraged by the immense industrial and technological achievements of China, the Soviet Union, and in Eastern Europe. Promises of assistance and formally signed agreements buoyed his hopes and led to greater economic and technical assistance. <sup>47</sup>

Killick considers that Nkrumah made considerable success in widening Ghana's trading partners. He argues that there was a shift in trade relations, as Ghana's import and export trade with Eastern European countries rose from 6 percent in 1960 to 24 percent in 1965. 48 However, Ghana's economic links with the Eastern

bloc countries proved to be disastrous. The giant silos built by a Romanian contractor in the early 1960s were a waste of money, as it was discovered after they were built that the combustion of cocoa kept in such silos in the tropical heat would have burst them open.<sup>49</sup>

In addition to such attempts to widen economic cooperation with the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe, another country that maintained strong economic ties with Ghana was Israel. A special relationship between Ghana and Israel lasted for three years, from 1957 to 1960. However, economic ties between the two countries went back to the preindependence period. In 1953 the value of Israel's exports to the Gold Coast was around \$6,000, and in 1957 it rose to \$135,000.50 In 1958, the value of Israel's imports from Ghana amounted to \$611,000, almost twice the total of the previous year, and the value of exports to Ghana was \$517,000.51 During the honeymoon period, Israel provided economic assistance to Ghana in a number of areas. For example, in 1957 the Israeli water-planning authority surveyed rural water development in Ghana; Soleh Boneh, the Israeli construction company, jointly worked with the Ghana government to set up the Ghana National Construction Company; by 1962 there were 80 Israeli technical specialists in Ghana; and in December 1958 the Israelis assisted in the training of the Ghana Merchant Marine Academy. Also in 1958, Israel loaned the Nkrumah government \$20 million over a four-and-a-half-year period.<sup>52</sup> By 1964 Israeli imports from Ghana totaled \$1 million.<sup>53</sup> Yet three years earlier, Nkrumah's signing of the Casablanca Accords on January 7, 1961, brought about a setback to relations between the two countries. This resolution unanimously condemned "Israel as an instrument in the service of imperialism and neo-colonialism, not only in the Middle East but also in Africa and Asia."54 Consequently, Nkrumah began to loosen Ghana's ties with Israel but trade with Israel was far from being severed. As Levey points out, in the following year of 1962, "Israeli exports to Ghana dropped to \$1.4 million, but they rose to \$3.3 million in 1964 and \$5.3 million in 1965, tapering off only moderately (\$4.9) in 1966."55 It is evident that Nkrumah's economic pragmatism remained alongside his commitment to the Casablanca Accords. In summary, while Israel was economically important to Ghana's economy, Nkrumah had to reconcile this with the Casablanca position that Israel was deemed to be an imperialist country.

The industrial unrest of September 1961 led by the railway workers prompted the United Party (UP) to vociferously criticize the 1961 budget and the Second Development Plan. These attacks were made by Danquah and issued in a statement on September 15.<sup>56</sup> Danquah maintained that the strike was an indication of loss of confidence in the government and a contempt, which was reflected in Adamafio's depiction of the strikers as "despicable rats." More importantly, Danquah said that the past ten years of the government's economic plans "have been done without aid and advice of a Development Commission" and "clearer thinking." On December 11, 1961, the Nkrumah government abandoned the Second Development Plan in the belief that it duplicated the errors of the First Development Plan in its piecemeal "shopping list" approach. Being an amalgam of projects that concentrated on the provision of economic and social

infrastructure rather than the growth of the productive sector, it seriously lacked a macroeconomic framework. In addition, the private sector had been relegated to a very marginal role.<sup>59</sup> It seems Nkrumah took a leaf out of the opposition's book and established a planning commission in 1962.

Nkrumah's belief in the historical necessity of socialism was expressed as far back as 1957, in the preface of his *Autobiography*. He maintained,

The ideology of my Party may be formulated as follows: no race, no people, no nation can exist freely and be respected at home and abroad without political freedom. Once this freedom is gained, a greater task comes into view. All dependent territories are backward in education, in agriculture and industry. The economic independence that should follow and maintain political independence demands every effort from the people, a total mobilisation of brain and manpower resources. What other countries have taken three hundred years or more to achieve, a once dependent territory must try to accomplish in a generation if it is to survive. Unless it is, as it were, "jet-propelled", it will lag behind and thus risk everything for which it has fought. *Capitalism is too complicated a system for a newly independent nation.* Hence the need for a socialistic society. (emphasis added)<sup>60</sup>

Intellectually, Nkrumah rejected capitalism on several grounds. First, as he expressed in *Towards Colonial Freedom*, the problems confronting ex-colonial territories had been engendered by capitalism and, therefore, could not be solved by this system. Second, Nkrumah had argued that capitalism was built on the exploitation of the majority by the minority and, therefore, it did not redistribute wealth fairly in society. Lastly, he argued that capitalist economic growth created an imbalanced economy, as it was dictated by high profit returns rather than by the logic of a fair redistribution of growth in a society that was the objective of a socialist economy.<sup>61</sup>

There are Marxist scholars, such as Mohan and Fitch and Oppenheimer, who dismiss Nkrumah's economic developments in the post-1960 period as having little to do with socialism. They characterize the Nkrumah period as the epitome of neocolonial engagement, whereas Genoud, a non-Marxist, characterizes Ghana under Nkrumah as a case study of "anti-colonial nationalism despite the fact that its leaders have made profuse use of the socialist terminology." Killick's position is one that takes Nkrumah's socialist aspirations seriously. He contends, "To deny any serious content to Nkrumah's socialism and to attribute all he did to nationalism is to overstate the case. Economic development, modernisation and socialism were so closely connected in his mind that there is little to be gained from trying to differentiate between them."

Integral to Nkrumah's political outlook was his theorization of socialism, which contained several inherent tensions. First, in his 1959 address on the party's tenth anniversary, Nkrumah asserted that socialism in Ghana would not be an imitation of any other country. 64 As a result, the revised 1959 CPP constitution stated that a socialist pattern of society would be adapted to "suit Ghanaian conditions." 65 In the same breath, it was also claimed that the party advocated "African Socialism." Contributing to this ideological obfuscation were CPP

loyalists, such as Kofi Baako, who wrote a series of articles in 1961 and 1963 in which he made reference to "African Socialism" and socialism being adapted to Ghanaian conditions. Subsequently, the 1962 party constitution called on the membership to adhere to "African Socialism." According to Folson, "It is safe to say that by the end of 1962 the outlines of the socialist ideology of the CPP could be dimly perceived."

For Nkrumah, modern socialism required a centralized form of government to direct the operation and development of the economy. Therefore, the central agency of the state in promoting economic reconstruction was a major pillar in his ideological orientation. The state would be fundamental in Ghana's "big push" for industrialization, economic growth, and the creation of socialism. He envisaged a vigorous public and cooperative sector coexisting with a private sector. The paradox is that Nkrumah believed socialism in Ghana, and Africa for that matter, could be built with foreign investment. On February 22, 1962, Nkrumah announced to businessmen, "Our ideas of socialism can co-exist with private enterprise. To attract foreign investors, a Capital Investment Act was introduced in 1963, which offered favorable concessions to overseas companies but with certain conditions.

Nkrumah insisted there was no discrepancy between socialism and private enterprise; yet, from 1965 onward, he also considered foreign aid a tool of dependency and exploitation.<sup>70</sup> Nkrumah's ambivalence toward foreign private investment from the mid-1960s onward compares starkly with his strident appeals for Western financial assistance for the construction of his most treasured economic project, the Volta River Project (VRP). This aside, his position on local private enterprise was more transparent. Killick observes that by 1958, Nkrumah had become disappointed with "the prospect of fostering an indigenous entrepreneurial class capable of industrialising the country at the speed he wanted."71 In 1960, Nkrumah announced that the government would encourage the development of Ghanaian cooperatives rather than Ghanaian business ventures. This idea had been strongly encouraged by his mentor, Padmore, in the mid-1950s and was now being vigorously implemented. On March 11, 1964, Nkrumah declared before the National Assembly, "We would be hampering our advance to socialism if we were to encourage the growth of Ghanaian private capitalism in our midst." However, "there is evidence that he also feared the threat that a wealthy class of Ghanaian businessmen might pose to his own political power."72

The second contradiction in Nkrumah's economic philosophy centered on small traders and businessmen and women who had been in the forefront of supporting the CPP in its early days and continued to do so. Nkrumah could not afford to alienate them.<sup>73</sup> In order to reconcile the contradiction between his advocacy of socialism and private enterprise, he envisaged there would be five sectors of the Ghanaian economy: state enterprises, foreign private investors, jointly owned state and foreign private companies, cooperatives, and small-scale Ghanaian private enterprises. This "ingenious solution" enabled Nkrumah to ingest large and small Ghanaian private businessmen into his economic plans for a modernized and socialist Ghana.<sup>74</sup> More importantly, Nkrumah had

neutralized the political threat of the indigenous Ghanaian bourgeoisie. However, his attitude to small-scale farming was not exceptional. Cooper postulates, "Like Nkrumah, many rulers of the 1960s feared that such farmers would be the nucleus of conservative opposition to their populist, state centred vision of the future, and they wondered if small scale agriculture would really get the country over the economic hump that decades of colonialism had created."<sup>75</sup> Other West African leaders such as Houphouet-Boigny of the Ivory Coast and Leopold Senghor of Senegal, in contrast, prioritized small- and large-scale peasant farming.

The Israeli minister of agriculture, Moshe Dayan, who visited the country in October 1960, made an interesting report on Ghana's agricultural development. On meeting Nkrumah, the minister observed a portrait of Lenin on Nkrumah's office wall and that "the smiling president wore a blue suit, Mao Tse-tung style." Nkrumah asked the minister what he thought of the farms and was told in frank terms "that the economic objectives had not been achieved, since costs were higher than expected, yields lower and marketing difficult." He was of the strong view that if Ghanaian agriculture was to develop, emphasis needed to be given to peasant holdings. Furthermore, he wrote, "Dr. Nkrumah was charming. He agreed with everything I said. But I am not sure that the problem of Ghana's peasants and Israel's part in improving their lot is of uppermost concern to him. The conversation took about a quarter of an hour, and as I was leaving I asked whether we could expect to see him in Israel some day. His smile disappeared as he said: 'Yes, I am thinking about it, but it is so difficult . . . too many friends.'"

Dayan's observations reveal not only the charm of Nkrumah but also the complexity of Nkrumah's character. It demonstrated the inseparability between politics and economics. The political tightrope Nkrumah trod in his politics and economic policies meant he had to remain friendly with Egypt for his ambitions for continental Africa and yet he needed Israeli economic and technical expertise to help him achieve his economic objectives. Moreover, as the Israeli minister observed, emphasizing peasant holdings was not a priority for Nkrumah, who sought to avoid furthering the creation of an indigenous Ghanaian bourgeoisie.

The third contradiction inherent in Nkrumah's ideological position lies in his denial of class conflict in his vision of a socialist Ghana. In the early 1960s, he appeared to subscribe to the belief that the values of collectivism pervaded traditional African society and there were no Marxian type classes. Up until 1966, Nkrumah recognized the myriad social groups such as women, youth, semiskilled workers, chiefs, small businessmen, teachers, clerks, and professionals who constituted Ghanaian society. Nkrumah's thinking on a classless African past was common belief even among less radical African leaders such as Jomo Kenyatta. Before the founding of the CPP, he had consistently appealed to these various social groups for national unity within Ghana for the purpose of attaining political independence. Intellectually, he conceived of an absence of antagonistic cleavages between these groups, for in his view they were committed to the goal of building a modernized nation-state of Ghana.

On account of this ideological premise, Nkrumah's adoption of the *Programme* for Work and Happiness appealed to the support of all Ghanaians. According to

Genoud, the program saw socialism as having external enemies: imperialism and neocolonialism but no internal enemies. He claims the CPP did not consider class enemies sabotaging their socialist objectives. In summary, "although the ultimate objectives as stated in the Programme were to build a socialist society and to achieve the total liberation of the country's economy from external domination, Ghana's economy was to remain for an unspecified transitional period, but probably a long one, a mixed economy."<sup>78</sup>

Underpinning the Seven Year Development Plan (1964–70) was the *Programme for Work and Happiness* and a huge emphasis on education. There was also the stark reality of huge budgetary deficits that appeared in 1959–60. "By 1963 the deficit was running at an annual rate of about 50 million pound sterling, equivalent to about one-third of total central government expenditure. The early deficits were financed largely out of external reserves of the Government and Marketing Board," argues Rimmer.<sup>79</sup>

The principal authors of the Seven Year Plan were J. H. Mensah, executive secretary of the planning commission, and E. N. Omaboe. In addition, world-class economists such as Dudley Seers, Arthur Lewis, Nicholas Kaldor, Albert Hirshmann, Josef Bognar, and Tony Killick contributed before its official launch in 1964. Hence, this input also served to increase Nkrumah's confidence in his ambitious plans to industrialize the Ghanaian economy. While party leaders had drawn up the *Programme for Work and Happiness*, the civil service drafted the Seven Year Plan. The plan favored productive investments such as the establishment of a smelter project at Tema, the setting up of a chemical factory, and the mechanization of agriculture. This was a change of emphasis from previous plans that had focused on infrastructural developments.

Overall, the flaws in the plan were considerable. Little focus was given to specific sector projects. There were also unrealistic aspects of the plan, such as the manpower and educational projections; ambitious target setting; insufficient attention paid to agricultural production, particularly the mainstay of the economy—cocoa.<sup>80</sup> "In practice . . . these defects were of little consequence, for while the plan remained officially in operation it was never actually implemented," maintains Killick.<sup>81</sup>

Among many of the problems in implementation was the failure to adhere to annual budgets in accordance with the plan as well as the drastic fall in the country's reserves during the 1964–65 period. The task of the Planning Commission was to ensure the economic viability of all economic projects and contracts, but "from the outset the Commission found it impossible to hold other ministries to these procedures." An example was the failure to adhere to the cost of building the 1965 Organisation of African Unity (OAU) conference hall. In short, Nkrumah himself refused to adhere to the discipline of planning and, as a result, there was an apparent divergence between the plan and reality.

Another instance of such discrepancies was the targets for industrial development as laid out in the plan. The aim was to phase in industrial development, beginning with the production of simple manufactured goods such as building materials, followed by metals and chemicals, and lastly the setting up of heavy industries

such as electronic goods.<sup>83</sup> Nkrumah was the nominal chairman of the Planning Commission and it was evident he did not agree with this sequence in principle or in practice. He favored concentration on the building of heavy industries, for he feared being economically dependent on outside sources. When Nkrumah was told that one of his decisions was contrary to the plan, he is said to have retorted, "Who decides, Mensah or me?" In short, Nkrumah lacked the discipline to adhere consistently to his own plans. His planners acquiesced to his view "so that whereas the industrialisation described in the Seven Year Plan was phased over twenty years, the Annual Plan for 1965 shifted dramatically into higher gear."

Furthermore, exacerbating the problems surrounding the implementation of the plan was the rift between J. H. Mensah, who headed the commission, and the radical elements of the party. In addition, a struggle ensued between the commission and the minister of finance, Amoaka-Atta, over which department should control the capital budget. Amoaka-Atta announced in his 1965 budget speech that the capital budget would be transferred to his ministry. Increasing the marginalization of ministers was the fact that they were excluded from membership of the Planning Commission, which was predominantly made up of civil servants. The problems are problems as a surrounding transferred to his ministry.

Berg considers the fundamental flaws of Nkrumah's economic policies to lie in his adoption of a "structural transformation" approach, which shaped specific economic options. He compares the economic performance of Ghana under Nkrumah with the leadership of Houphouet-Boigny in the Ivory Coast. He concludes that Nkrumah's "structural transformation" approach was flawed. This approach adhered to the belief that the Ghanaian economy could be transformed through an emphasis on industry as a modernizing force. The state would play the major role in mobilizing industry and in establishing large-scale mechanized state farms; facilitate import-substitution; and reduce dependence on foreign capital. Berg argues that this economic strategy failed for many reasons but largely due to the lack of coordination of decision-making that overloaded the state far beyond its administrative capacity. Whereas in the Ivory Coast, "gradualist policies prevailed, involving a limited role for the state in directly productive activities, export orientation, continued reliance on foreign capital and skill," Berg contends that this was not the approach in Ghana.<sup>88</sup> For Berg, the Ivorian model of gradualism provided "genuine economic transformation." 89 Similarly, for Tignor, underlying the principal differences in economic approaches between Nkrumah and his economic adviser, Arthur Lewis, who left Ghana after a mere 14 months (he took up post in October 1957) and resigned before the Second Five Year Plan was published, was that Lewis was opposed to what he saw as a massive overinflation of the plan, by not only Nkrumah's ministers but Nkrumah himself.90 Fundamentally, Lewis's view was that only economists could determine what a country could achieve economically and the appropriate methods for realizing these economic and fiscal objectives. Nkrumah, on the other hand, believed that political leadership was responsible for determining the economic agenda and the role of economists was to fulfill such an agenda. Tignor writes, "Lewis was also aware that the pressures on Nkrumah and the CPP leadership to use

these funds to solidify their political support to build patron-client relationships were substantial."<sup>91</sup> When Lewis wrote to Nkrumah and offered to stay on under certain conditions (i.e., he would be permitted to attend cabinet meetings on economic matters) and the government limit only £25 million of its own funds to the Volta River Project, such an ultimatum was intolerable to Nkrumah. He wrote to Lewis and expressed the following: "The advice you have given me, sound though it may be, is essentially from the economic point of view, and I have told you, on many occasions, that I cannot always follow this advice as I am a politician and must gamble on the future."<sup>92</sup>

The economic future of Ghana for Nkrumah depended on reorientating the economy from the weaknesses of past economic plans. Yet the new "Seven Year Plan was a piece of paper, with an operational impact close to zero." The explanation for this lies not only in its deficiencies, but in its failure of implementation. Staff who were needed to monitor the implementation were nonexistent. There were also factors beyond Nkrumah's control: the falling price of cocoa on the international market in the mid-1960s. The anticipated price of 400 cedi per ton in reality amounted to 356 cedi in 1964 and dropped to 276 cedi in 1965. For Green, "Ghana's deteriorating performance and, to significant extent the 'unsoundness' of her 1961–65 international economic and financial policy stemmed directly from world market conditions."

The economic reality was that the government departed radically from the strategy announced in the Seven Year Plan and the result was massive indebtedness, despite Ghana possessing approximately £200 million in sterling reserves in 1957. As Tignor argues, Lewis was highly critical of the British Crown Agents's lack of prudent investment advice. They had, in fact, mismanaged this large surplus by failing to invest in long-term securities. Such an oversight undoubtedly hampered Ghana's future economic prospects and potential. In terms of historical conjecture, it is uncertain and unknown if Nkrumah's economic policies would have had a more positive successful impact on the lives of Ghanaians if the British had managed the long-term securities more effectively in order to have increased the country's sterling reserves. It is also the case that this mishandling on the part of the departing colonial masters is often overlooked in the historiography and in the evaluation of Nkrumah's economic record.

Ghana's economic problems are believed to be partly attributable to Nkrumah's demotion in 1960 of Gbedemah, who was too economically conservative for Nkrumah. Hence, there was no longer any restraining leverage on Nkrumah. Significantly, before handing the portfolio of minister of finance to Amoaka-Atta, Nkrumah himself took over the preparation of the budget. From 1961 to 1965, it is noticeable that Ghana incurred large budget deficits. Nkrumah was aware of the escalating balance of payments crisis and in 1964 announced that a disinflationary budget was required for 1965. Another escalating problem was growing unemployment. The Workers' Brigade had by 1964 become increasingly converted into a paramilitary organization under the direction of the ministry of defense. Section 1965 in the paramilitary organization under the direction of the ministry of defense.

Finally, the inauguration of the Akosombo dam on January 23, 1966, was the culmination of almost ten years of protracted negotiations between Nkrumah's

government, the British, Canadians, World Bank, and Americans. As finance was the stumbling block, it was half financed by Nkrumah's government and loans from the United States and World Bank under the rubric of a company called the Volta Aluminum Company (VALCO). The dam was considered by Nkrumah to be a prerequisite for his economic plans for Ghana, and it became a source of discord between him and Lewis. 99 While Tignor dismissively characterizes Nkrumah's desire for the dam as one of "political showmanship and personal ambition"100 and a case of "politics trumping economics,"101 Nwaubani and Milne are more sympathetic to understanding Nkrumah's political and economic motivations for the project. 102 In essence, the VRP was Nkrumah's attempt to diversify and modernize Ghana's economy, to reorient it away from overreliance on the mono-crop of cocoa. Nkrumah was convinced that a structural transformation of the Ghanaian economy depended on hydroelectric power. The Akosombo dam, as Nkrumah envisaged, would be the springboard not only for Ghana's economic and industrial development but for that of the West African region. Therefore, the dam was also integral to his Pan-Africanist ambitions for the continent of Africa.

The dam was constructed despite the fact that "VALCO played its strength to the fullest and extracted onerous terms from Ghana."103 In addition, "the project comes in handy as a classic case study of the development of underdevelopment and neo-colonialism in Africa by the West," as Nwaubani cogently expounds. 104 Bing concurs and writes, "In so far as any of his development projects were of a neo-colonialist nature, the Volta Hydra-Electric Scheme best fitted this pattern."105 In short, the terms of the agreement were highly favorable to the US aluminum industry and VALCO who secured exemption from taxation on its income for approximately five years and beneficial tax rates thereafter. The agreement that the Ghana government sell power to VALCO at 2.625 mills per kilowatt for thirty years was the lowest price in the world. Hart curiously argues that the VRP did not benefit Ghana in terms of stimulating further economic growth, nor did it benefit the eighty thousand people who were resettled to create the world's largest manmade lake in the construction of the dam. Moreover, he considers that "in terms of the empirical evidence raised," there is little to support the argument that the VRP was exemplary of "neo-colonialism and dependency economics," despite the fact that while Nkrumah received his dam, he did not receive his integrated aluminum smelta, as the Americans imported bauxite from Jamaica. 106 In addition, the agreement that the Ghana government sell power to VALCO at 2.625 mills per kilowatt for thirty years was the lowest price in the world. With the hindsight of history and the example of Nasser's Aswan dam built within the context of the Cold War, perhaps the nervousness of the Americans could have allowed Nkrumah to have driven a harder bargain in his negotiations with the Americans over the VRP.107

#### Culture and the Media in the Service of Nkrumah's Objectives

Nkrumah's views on African culture and the media were reflected as far back as his student days. He had helped found the journal the *African Interpreter* as the organ of the African Students Association of America and Canada in 1942 and the *Accra Evening News* in September 1948. As a student in America, he wrote an article titled "The Relationship Between Negro Art and African Art" and his doctoral dissertation titled *Mind and Thought in Primitive Society a Study in Ethno-Philosophy;* both enunciated his views on African culture. In the former article, Nkrumah argued not only that was art a reflection of social conditions and norms of a people but that African American art descended from Africa; that African people while not recording their histories in written form, recorded it in songs, dances, folk tales, music, and sculpture; that African American art had two functions—first, to reflect its surrounding environment and, second, to interpret the African American to "himself." 108

Botwe-Asamoah makes the important point that "the quest for cultural liberation predated Nkrumah's cultural policies." Kobina Sekyi, J. E. Casely-Hayford, Ephraim Amu, and Kwegyir Aggrey were Ghanaians who enthusiastically promoted Ghanaian culture and were forerunners to Nkrumah's project of a cultural renaissance in Ghanaian society. "Kwame Nkrumah's thoughts on colonialism lay the foundation of his political and cultural philosophy," writes Botwe-Asamoah. 109 Nkrumah considered the psychologically pernicious aspect of colonialism and imperialism to be its dehumanization of African culture and the personality of the African alongside its economic exploitation of African people. Nkrumah's antidote was the promotion and restoration of African humanity and indigenous forms of cultural expression in his ambition to create a new Africa and new African. Nkrumah pursued his promotion of culture via both "non-statutory cultural policies" and "statutory policies." <sup>110</sup> Material culture was, for Nkrumah, reflected in African dress. On a personal level, he wore both the northern style dress, the traditional Kente cloth of the Ashanti chiefs, and the "political suit" to reflect African culture and his political values. In his personal notebook dated 1950, Nkrumah wrote, "It is time to plan a daily dress or clothing for Ghana that will not require a maximum sweat and labour in washing and ironing."111 Nkrumah took pride and care in his own personal dress sense and was acutely aware of its symbolic and ideological importance.

In Hagan's assessment of Nkrumah's leadership style, he argues that Nkrumah was adept at employing cultural symbols for political ends. The adoption of the colors of the CPP flag, the use of the white handkerchief, horsetail, walking stick, and use of the Dawn Broadcast—several of which were all practices associated with traditional Akan kings and queens—are just a few examples of Nkrumah's employment of nonstatutory cultural practices that had an enormous impact on popularizing the CPP and its leader.<sup>112</sup>

In terms of Nkrumah's statutory policies, there were several. They complemented his nonstatutory cultural policies and, more importantly, his political, economic, and social objectives of a transformation of Ghanaian society. The

114

elevation of women in the country via his expansion of the educational provision for girls and the introduction of a women's column edited by Akua Asabea Ayisi on the front page of the *Evening News* were radical forms of action. Ayisi later became one of the few female judges in the country. Another important female journalist in her own right was the former wife of Dr. J. B. Danquah, Mabel Dove Danquah, who worked on the *Evening News*.<sup>113</sup>

Nkrumah's centralizing concept of power extended to the role of the mass media in society. As Ansah claims, "There is no doubt that Nkrumah's media philosophy was informed by a large dose of authoritarianism, but perhaps it might be more accurate to see it as a mixture of authoritarianism, paternalism, revolutionary theory, developmental media theory and other varieties which are as all as far away as possible from the classical libertarian theory of the press."114 Having established a number of publications and newspapers as a student activist and political organizer, Nkrumah considered the press, television, and radio as critical instruments for political education and mobilization. The Ghana News Agency (GNA) was set up in 1957 to collect and disseminate information and project Ghana's image abroad. Nkrumah was aware of the prevalence of illiteracy among Ghanaians and considered radio the best tool to reach Ghanaians who could neither read nor write. Ghana's radio service also broadcast programs in several languages, including Hausa, Ewe, Twi, and Fante. 115 Ample funds were allocated to the GNA to expand its External Service, which was opened in 1961. Its purpose was to challenge the negative image of Africa and assist in the total liberation of the continent. Of deep concern to Nkrumah was the negative images and false foreign reports on Africa. As Ansah claims, "For all practical purposes, the Ghana Broadcasting Corporation External Services became an instrument of foreign policy."116 In 1963, he proposed setting up the Pan-African News Agency to disseminate news on the progress of liberation struggles in the African continent. As an inter-African news agency, it would also promote a more positive image of Africa to counter that posited by the Western press.

In 1959, the Ghana Institute of Journalism was set up in Accra to train not only Ghanaian journalists but also other Africans. At the Second Conference of African journalists in Accra in 1963, Nkrumah said, "To the true African journalist, his newspaper is a collective organiser, a collective instrument of mobilization and a collective educator—a weapon, first and foremost, to overthrow colonialism and imperialism and to assist total African independence and unity." This address made clear Nkrumah's views of the press and the role of the journalist. In Nkrumah's opinion, journalists had to be fully committed to the principles of the CPP.

For Nkrumah, the press, radio, and television were not simply arenas of public discourse on national issues "but a closely guarded and tightly controlled propaganda machine for achieving the major objective of political education, the promotion of socialist ideals, national unity at home, the projection of Ghana's image and foreign policy and for the liberation and unification of Africa." When he inaugurated Ghana's television service in July 1965, he made it clear that it was to be used as an ideological tool to assist in the socialist transformation of Ghana.

It was to be a noncommercial public service station, for Nkrumah believed that advertisers could seek undesirable influence on the content and direction of the service. For Nkrumah, the role of the Ghana Television Service was to provide education, information, and build the new nation he envisaged. As Ansah contends, "Nkrumah's theory of the media was characterised by a certain eclecticism, containing elements of the authoritarian, paternal, communist, developmental and revolutionary theories of the press." He consequently gained monopolistic control over the mass media in Ghana. By the time of his overthrow, the ten privately owned newspapers in the country that had existed at independence were nonexistent. A state-controlled media remained unchallenged. After continued press censorship, the only surviving opposition newspaper, the *Ashanti Pioneer*, was closed down in October 1962.

Nkrumah's monopolistic control emerged on account of Nkrumah's concept of the press and ultimately his concept of power. He considered the press a subservient apparatus of the government whose objective was to endorse national objectives as defined by the party leadership. Nkrumah did not subscribe to the Weberian model of the press as a "market-place of ideas" or the objectivity of the press. The press did not exist as an impartial or profit-making enterprise for the entertainment of readers or shareholders. Their role, first and foremost, was to professionally assist in the political emancipation of Ghanaians and African people.

On an institutional level, the arts did not have a dissimilar role to that of the mass media. The Arts Council was established in June 1955, along the lines of the Arts Council of Britain, to promote the arts among the Ghanaian public. An interim committee was established and charged with organizing the drumming and dancing performances of the independence celebrations. Thereafter, the council set up eight regional committees to support existing traditional artistic associations throughout the country. The committees gave rise to a number of voluntary dance, music, and drama groups across the country at all school levels, in teacher training colleges and in the various Young Christian Men's and Women's associations. However, the Arts Council did not live up to Nkrumah's expectations. He believed, "It has failed to give people any vision of the rich store of art and music which we possess."121 Among its flaws was the fact that the role of the arts had not been reflected in the government's socioeconomic strategy. Second, the body had failed to link up with the ministry of education to reflect the arts in the school curriculum. Nkrumah therefore reassessed the institutional framework through which to promote a cultural renaissance in Ghana and in early 1962 proposed the council be replaced by an Institute of Arts and Culture to widen the scope of the council's work and to give it clearer direction. Its director was Nana Kwabena Nketia. The activities of the institute were exhibitions of arts and craft work; provision of drama, literature, drumming, dancing, and singing. The institute also gave an important platform to a number of Pan-African scholars who came to work in Ghana. 122

Nkrumah's cultural policies sought to Africanize the content and focus of Ghana's educational institutions. In short, what Nkrumah had embarked upon was an intellectual decolonization of Ghanaians. However, he confronted fierce

resistance and opposition from the University of Ghana and Kumasi College of Technology. In his tenth anniversary address to the CPP in 1959, Nkrumah expressed dissatisfaction in the lack of productivity of these two institutions. Moreover, he considered them as "a breeding ground for unpatriotic and antigovernment elements." He held the staff responsible for this state of affairs. <sup>123</sup> The CPP and Nkrumah considered the University of Ghana as an outpost of colonial subversion and an appendage of the Ghanaian middle class. Along with the Ghanaian Bar Council and legal chambers, the university was "the most important strategic centre of the establishment in its fight against socialism, non-alignment and the one-party state." Nkrumah's speech was deeply resented by the university academic elite. Yet Nkrumah was the chancellor of the university and in 1962 he appointed as vice chancellor a prestigious Irishman, Dr. Conor O'Brien, a writer and scholar who had spoken out against the debacle in the Congo.

Basner wrote that it was evident in the early 1960s what kind of university Nkrumah envisioned: "He wanted a socialist order in Ghana heading the African revolution to drive every vestige of imperialism out of Africa and to liberate the colonially occupied portions of Africa. He wanted Legon to become the intellectual centre of the African revolution, of socialist Ghana, and of international study of Africa's past and future as one of the world's great continents and not as an appendage of western history, culture, economy and civilisation." <sup>125</sup>

According to Basner, O'Brien was fully aware of Nkrumah's ambition but "it isn't easy to guess, in view of subsequent developments, what O'Brien expressed or hoped to accomplish in his new post." <sup>126</sup> Botwe-Asamoah contends Nkrumah's attempts to sever the university from "its ecclesiastical tradition of medieval Europe" were considered as interference in academic freedom. <sup>127</sup> For Basner, beneath the veneer of academic freedom was the profound contempt the Ghanaian middle class and particularly Ghanaian academics held toward Nkrumah and his CPP government. "To have cleared them all out would have needed no less than a revolution in the whole educational system, but Nkrumah hoped to reform it, with time and patience," claims Basner. <sup>128</sup> Meanwhile, Nkrumah compromised by setting up the Institute of African Studies, which was inaugurated on October 25, 1963. The role of the institute was "to speed up the emergence of that Marxist socialist elite for his party and administration which could not come from Legon," maintains Basner. <sup>129</sup>

Nkrumah's showdown with the University of Ghana and Dr. O'Brien came in early 1964, soon after the third assassination attempt on his life, following the attacks in 1955 and 1962. Nkrumah had received intelligence reports that six members of the academic staff of the university had been "indulging in subversive activities prejudicial to the security of the state." Among the six was a prominent American, Prof. William Burnett Harvey, dean of the faculty of law, who was accused of being a CIA agent. He was also close friends with O'Brien. The Ghanaian press quickly denounced the six men and deportation orders were served on all of them. Dr. O'Brien was asked by the security services to dismiss

the six men or accept their resignations. Dr. O'Brien refused to do so when the security services failed to produce any concrete evidence to substantiate the allegations that the six were carrying out subversive activities. <sup>131</sup> The six individuals were subsequently deported.

The incident had several damaging repercussions. First, it came in the wake of anti-American demonstrations in Accra in early February 1964. The US ambassador, William Mahoney, complained to the foreign minister, Kojo Botsio. Washington displayed its displeasure by recalling its ambassador. Following this incident, on the day of the deportations of the six academics, a crowd of two thousand people marched through the campus of the University of Ghana with placards bearing slogans such as "CIA students" and "saboteur intellectuals." Nathaniel Welbeck, executive secretary of the CPP, led the crowd. Hence, it was evident that the Ghana government had instigated and inspired the demonstration.

Second, the deportation of the six academics demonstrated the unreliability of the security police and the arbitrary manner in which foreigners were deported from Ghana without recourse to proper legal proceedings to establish their guilt or innocence. It was an example of "administrative ruffianism." <sup>133</sup>

Lastly, the incident led to a stalemate between Nkrumah and O'Brien. The latter was not sufficiently outraged to resign and Nkrumah as chancellor was equally not sufficiently outraged to dismiss him. According to Basner, "There was no point in sacking O'Brien and creating an international academic scandal if the establishment remained untouched."134 Basner argued that the real problem of the university was not the conservative and middle-class background of the students but "academic inertia and mediocrity." 135 Nkrumah's policy of Africanizing the staff at the university and seeking to create an intellectual center that shared his ideological vision was far more complex. It was affected by the fact that the caliber and type of scholars Nkrumah wanted would not appear overnight, nor did it guarantee they would share his ideological ambitions. Meanwhile, the university was compelled to maintain high academic standards and the notion of academic freedom. Also, terms and conditions for aid and grants were generally imposed from London. According to Basner, this situation created "a further problem, that progressive expatriates would have to be delegated powers to dismiss or discipline inefficient, corrupt or rebellious members of the Ghanaian establishment, who could make powerful racial and nationalistic public appeals. The elimination of the 'colonial students' raised fearsome political and social problems."136 Nkrumah's impatience in creating an intellectual center to decolonize and transform Africa met concrete obstacles.

Nkrumah was fully aware of what he considered to be the colonial mentality of the University of Ghana and this recognition had led him to set up the Institute of African Studies a year before. Its able director, Thomas Hodgkin, a British scholar, remained committed to Nkrumah's goal of an intellectual decolonization of African studies. Yet the institute was almost an enclave within the University of Ghana. Consequently, the institute battled with hostility it faced from the

Ghanaian middle class. It sought to offer a model and practice of disengagement from colonialist practice and thought patterns. 137

That Nkrumah's economic and cultural policies may be perceived as having failed to achieve their desired ends does not negate the fact that motivating Nkrumah's policies was a genuine desire to develop Ghana economically, socially, and culturally. We shall now turn our attention to a deeper exploration of the evolution of Nkrumah's intellectual thinking during this period.

## **CHAPTER 8**

## Nkrumah's Political Writings, 1958–1966

The focus of this chapter is to critically analyze the evolution of Nkrumah's ideas during the period from 1958 to 1966. He had robust and bold views on a number of subjects, including history, the "African Personality," culture, peace, imperialism, colonialism, socialism, neocolonialism, and African unity. Together these composed his overall ideological outlook. Therefore, Nkrumah's political, social, economic, and cultural views cannot be examined discretely for they are part of a holistic nationalist and Pan-African perspective based on fundamental principles. Before examining his political writings, it is important to emphasize that a great deal of the misinterpretations of Nkrumah's ideological orientation have been inadvertently perpetrated by some of his close associates, such as Kofi Baako, Kofi Batsa, and Rev. Stephen Dzirasa. The former were editors of *The Spark* and helped propagate the term "Nkrumaism," and the latter became a personal friend to Nkrumah and called Nkrumah's ideology "the African Personality." 1

There is an ideological consistency to Nkrumah's political and social thoughts in his written work. It is surprising that, as head of state, Nkrumah had time to read regularly and publish several books. However, Nkrumah required a minimum of four hours sleep in order to engage in a grueling work ethic. Dei-Anang maintains, "He was a most avid reader." Nkrumah continued to read widely on a number of subjects, including politics, history, philosophy, science, and economics and made extensive notes on what he read. He would often encourage his ministers to read by passing on the book he had completed. His voracious appetite for reading was observed by his five-year-old son, Gamal, who once complained to his father, "You are not talking to me but the book" when Nkrumah absentmindedly responded to his son's query with a book in his hand.

Between 1958 and 1966, Nkrumah published three major works: *Africa Must Unite* (1963), *Consciencism* (1964), and *Neo-colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism* (1965). These form the focus of this chapter, along with some of Nkrumah's speeches and personal viewpoints.<sup>5</sup>

#### Nkrumah, the African Personality, and History

On assuming political office, Nkrumah confronted four paramount objectives: to consolidate political independence; to eradicate European racialism and colonialism on the African continent; to develop a national economy; and to forge a foreign policy that would promote Ghana's national and Pan-African interests. In addition, Nkrumah also consciously sought to project a new African on the world stage. In his independence speech, he had declared "there is a new African in the world today." During the Conference of Independent African States (CIAS), held in Accra in April 1958, Nkrumah proclaimed, "For too long in our history, Africa has spoken through the voices of others. Now, what I have called an African Personality in international affairs will have a chance of making its proper impact and will let the world know it through the voices of Africa's own sons."6 The term "African Personality" was coined by Edward Wilmot Blyden in one of his famous lectures on "Race and Study" given in May 1893 to members of the Young Men's Literary Association of Sierra Leone. Blyden was a staunch believer in building African institutions and in cultivating racial pride and unity among West Africans. Like James Africanus Horton, J. E. Casely Hayford, S. R. B. Attoh Ahuma, John Mensah Sarbah, who were among the first early nationalists to celebrate African national identity, Blyden contributed to an existing rich intellectual heritage.8 Nkrumah adopted this Blydenesque notion of an African genius and similarly asserted dignity and celebration of African clothing, languages, ceremony, custom, and history.

Yet Nkrumah's concept of the African Personality was distinct from Senghor's philosophical thesis of "Negritude." In his opening address at the founding of the Institute of African Studies on October 25, 1963, Nkrumah claimed,

When I speak of the African genius, I mean something different from negritude, something not apologetical, but dynamic. Negritude consists in a more literary affectation and style which piles up word upon word and image upon image with occasional reference to Africa and things Africans. I do not mean a vague brotherhood based on a criterion of colour, or on the idea that Africans have no reasoning but only sensitivity. By the African genius I mean something positive, our socialist conception of society, the efficiency and validity of our traditional statecraft, our highly developed code of morals, our hospitality and our purposeful energy.<sup>9</sup>

Nkrumah's concept of the African Personality challenged the emotional emphasis of Negritude that dichotomized reason as innately Western and sensitivity and emotion as inherently African attributes. His definition envisaged a society of cooperation and equality. It was built on the morality and cordiality integral to African cultures. Later, in his book *Consciencism*, Nkrumah argued "the African Personality is defined by the cluster of humanist principles which underlie the traditional African society." More importantly, his concept of the African Personality became part of the ideological lens through which his domestic and foreign policies were conceived. For Nkrumah, Ghana and Africa were indivisible. The task of all Africans was to reconstruct a socialist society based on the

principles of equality, freedom, cooperation, and a fairer distribution of wealth for all human beings. He believed historical knowledge had a critical role to play in building this new society.

Nkrumah was committed to disseminating African history. As a student at Lincoln University, he had contributed articles on African history to The Lincolnian. He supported the creation of the Encyclopaedia Africana to document the rich cultural heritage of Africa. W. E. B. Dubois and Alphaeus Hunton, two African Americans, set up this intellectual project. Nkrumah believed that the documentation of African history was imperative to ascertain Africa's contribution to world knowledge and to explain and guide the African political, social, and economic experience. To this end, Nkrumah established the Institute of African Studies and gave an inaugural address on October 23, 1963. His speech is important for many reasons. First, it gives an insight into Nkrumah's vision of the type of institute he wanted for Ghana and he therefore enunciated several "guiding principles" for this new establishment. Among them was an abandonment of a Eurocentric paradigm that had historically pervaded the centers of learning in the West and in Africa. This called "for a reinterpretation and a new assessment" of African history in which "new African centered ways" would predominate which were free from "the propositions and pre-suppositions of the colonial epoch."11 For Nkrumah, history must inspire a better future and African studies had to access new source material in the tradition of Ghanaian contributors such as Attoh Ahuma, John Mensah Sarbah, and the British ethnographer Captain Rattray.

Second, Nkrumah's vision of the African Personality was intrinsically linked to his socialist conception of African society and he considered that both the institute and the University of Ghana had a role in inspiring Ghanaians to look positively to the future. Third, it reflected Nkrumah's opinion on education. He considered it not only as "a means to personal economic security and social privilege," but in his view "a man's education must also be measured in terms of the soundness of his judgement of people and things, and in his power to understand and appreciate the needs of his fellow men, and to be of service to them. The educated man should be so sensitive to the conditions around him that he makes it his chief endeavour to improve those conditions for the good of all." For Nkrumah there was also a moral purpose to education and a sense of responsibility for the good of the whole society. Individuals thrived on the basis of the flourishing of the collective.

Fourth, Nkrumah laid out the specific role of the university and did not compromise his words. He stated that it was "to responsibly use public funds and set an example in loyalty to the government and the people, in good citizenship, public morality and behaviour," as well as "to be in touch with students, the citizens." In what could be considered an adept sound bite, he stated, "The time has come for the gown to come to town." He insisted that the institute and the university had to be "outward looking." Moreover, both were inextricably "committed to the construction of a socialist society" and therefore the institute was obliged "to work closely with the people" in developing the arts. <sup>13</sup> Fifth, explicit

in Nkrumah's address was a thoroughly Pan-African concept of African studies. The goal of African unity pervades Nkrumah's vision of the role and function of the Institute of African Studies. He urged scholars of the university and institute to make Ghana their starting point of historical inquiry, yet their research should not confine itself to Ghana alone. Nkrumah thought in Pan-African continental terms and cited the case of ancient Ghana's trading links extending to North Africa in providing gold for North African merchants and medieval Europe, as an example of outward global connections with Pan-African dimensions. He considered the African past, the study of African languages, and the arts as in the service of the African revolution. Furthermore, he considered the unfolding national liberation struggles in Guinea-Bissau and southern Africa as "aspects of a single revolution."

A year later, on September 24, at the first annual meeting of the Editorial Board of the Africana Encylopaedia Africana Project (EAP), Nkrumah gave his inaugural address and reiterated several themes he had pronounced during his opening of the Institute of African Studies. Nkrumah emphasized that the encyclopedia would not only "expose to the world the bases of [Africa's] rich culture and civilisation" but it would challenge the pernicious and negative images of Africa as the "Dark Continent." Therefore, he was of the view that "it is of course only logical that an encyclopaedia work on Africa should be produced in Africa, under the directions and editorship of African, and with the maximum participation of African scholars in all countries."16 Nkrumah insisted that "the Africana Project must be frankly Afro-centric in its interpretation of African history and of the social and cultural institutions of the African and people of African descent everywhere."17 It is interesting that he adopted the term "Afrocentric" long before it became a paradigm in North America and Europe for interpreting African history and realities from the 1980s onward. Unlike certain elements within the current Afrocentric school of thought, Nkrumah called for "a virile and salutary new trend in the writing of African history" that would "not romanticize or idealize the African past . . . [or] gloss over African failings, weaknesses and foibles, or endeavour to demonstrate that Africans are endowed with either greater virtues or lesser vices than the rest of mankind."18 Overall, in no uncertain terms Nkrumah made clear that "the work of this African Project (i.e., the Encyclopaedia Africana) will take us one further step towards the great objective to which we are dedicated—a Continental Union Government of Africa." 19 He assured the editorial board, among whom were two African Americans, W. E. B. DuBois and Alphaeus Hunton, who were instrumental in the establishment of this intellectual project, that his government and the chancellor of Legon would cooperate fully with the board in the fulfillment of its objectives.

#### **African Union Government**

Nkrumah's understanding of the African past was fused with his desires and ambitions for continental Africa. Central to that ambition was his belief in African Union Government for Africa. Long before the publication of *Africa Must Unite*,

extending to his student activism in the United States and London, Nkrumah had been a staunch champion of African unity. In the opening of the Conference of Women of Africa in July 1960, Nkrumah addressed the role of African women and women of African descent in the struggle for African emancipation. The gathering was organized by the Ghana Women's Movement, which was later inaugurated that same year.

Nkrumah began his speech by posing, "What is woman's part in the great struggle for African liberation?"20 He condemned the Sharpeville massacre of March 21, 1960, in which women and children were victims of the state violence by the apartheid regime. The main thrust of Nkrumah's speech was to encourage women to participate in preserving the "hard-won independence and sovereignty" of Africa. He stated, "We have the choice of three things: to unite, to stand separately and disintegrate, or to sell ourselves to foreign powers." He argued that the emerging political conflict in the Congo "is a grim reminder of what could so easily be repeated in any African territory, whether independent or not." Nkrumah asked, "If the United States of America and the Soviet Union, China and India can achieve political and economic union why can't we?" He spoke directly and in a personal manner to the women. He said, "Your role in this direction is of great importance. Not only can you carry back this message to the men of your respective countries, but, if you are convinced that unity is the right answer, you can also bring your feminine influence to bear in persuading your brothers, husbands and friends of the importance of African unity as the only salvation for Africa."21 He urged them to "hoist high the nationalist banner of redemption" and project the African Personality throughout the world. Three years after this conference, Nkrumah wrote his major treatise on African unity.

The writing and publication of *Africa Must Unite* in 1963 needs to be understood within the context of the formation of the Organization of African Unity (OAU) in Addis Ababa on May 23, 1963, by the then 31 independent states of Africa. Shortly before the Addis meeting, Nkrumah sent his ambassadors to African capitals to distribute this book and gain support for his proposal of a political union of African states. They were to lobby African heads of state and foreign ministers for a common foreign policy, continental planning for economic and industrial growth, and a common currency and defense system. The book, therefore, is an impassioned call for Union Government for Africa. It is dedicated to George Padmore "and to the African Nation that must be."

Nkrumah believed a political union would secure economic and technical transformation of the African continent, which was necessary to support Africa's increasing population to acquire standards of living comparable with those in the most advanced countries. He also considered Pan-Africanism or African Union Government as a bulwark against neocolonial domination. In his own words, "We need the strength of our combined numbers and resources to protect ourselves from the very positive dangers of returning colonialism in disguised forms. We need it to combat the entrenched forces dividing our continent and still holding back millions of our brothers. We need it to secure total African liberation."<sup>22</sup> He warned

that "at present most of the independent states are moving in directions which expose us to the dangers of imperialism and neo-colonialism." <sup>23</sup>

For Nkrumah, continental economic planning would maximize Africa's industrial and economic power in a coordinated manner. It would counteract what he considered "the dubious advantages of association with the so-called European Common market."24 Similarly, the establishment of a unified military and defense strategy would render unnecessary "separate efforts to build or maintain vast military forces for self-defence which would be ineffective in any major attack upon our separate states."25 Nkrumah considered the consequences of failure to combine military resources for common defense as likely to give rise to insecurity and the opportunities for entering into defense pacts with foreign powers, which would endanger the security of all African states. Lastly, a common foreign policy would enable Africa "to speak with one voice in the councils of the world" such as the United Nations and other international bodies.<sup>26</sup> He envisaged a continental parliament composed of a lower house to discuss problems facing Africa and an upper house to ensure equality of the associated states, regardless of size and population. He urged that the process toward continental government should begin with a nucleus of a few states committed to the objectives of political and economic unity and "leave the door open for the attachment of others as they desire to join or reach the freedom which would allow them to do so."27 For Nkrumah, the United States, Soviet Union, Europe, and Canada were models of the positive benefits of union. However, he was prudent in emphasizing that any supranational structure for Africa did not mean an abrogation of national sovereignty. He emphasized that African states "would continue to exercise independent authority except in the fields defined and reserved for common action in the interests of the security and orderly development of the whole continent."28 He expressed confidence that a continental structure could be devised to enable these objectives to be achieved and yet preserve to some extent the sovereignty of each state within a supranational framework of African unity.

Overall, Nkrumah upheld "that the continental union of Africa is an inescapable desideratum if we are determined to move forward to a realisation of our hopes and plans for creating a modern society." He ended the book with a sense of the historical opportunities African unity presented the leaders and people of Africa. He appealed to African leaders thus: "Here is a challenge which destiny has thrown out to the leaders of Africa. It is for us to grasp what is a golden opportunity to prove that the genius of the African people can surmount the separatist tendencies in sovereign nationhood by coming together speedily, for the sake of Africa's greater glory and infinite well-being, into a Union of African states." Whether his contemporaries read Africa Must Unite is uncertain, but it prepared the political ground for him to further enunciate his Pan-African ambitions at the founding of the OAU. He had appealed to history, the necessity for African states to harness their human, technical, and economic resources in order to secure greater material and technological progress. While Nkrumah made many speeches addressing African unity, this publication remains his foremost

documented intellectual appeal for continental unification. Without question, underlying Nkrumah's demand for African unity was a conception of African society and the need for Africa to evolve its own ideology and philosophy, which he characterized as "Consciencism."

#### Consciencism as an African Ideology

Consciencism was published in 1964. While the book is "the most intellectual of all Nkrumah's works," there is considerable speculation that Nkrumah was not the writer of this book and rather Prof. William Abrahams was instead the author. Nkrumah dedicated the book "to members of my Philosophy Club without whose encouragement and assistance the book would not have been written," and therefore it is plausible that other individuals wrote the book. Basner claims that both Consciencism and the book Neo-colonialism: The Highest Stage of Imperialism were written by Nkrumah and he has "no reason to doubt that the intellection is entirely his own." In his opinion, Nkrumah "would never be satisfied with someone else's phrases and cadence." In addition to this, he claims Nkrumah discussed the contents of both books with him long before they were published. 33

As Nkrumah updated *Consciencism* in 1970, it is reasonable to believe that he did indeed subscribe to the thoughts contained in the book, even if the original work was a collaborative endeavor. The two editions contain different emphases and thereby reveal a change in Nkrumah's political thinking.

For Mazrui, *Consciencism* is "the least Africa-orientated of all Nkrumah's books."<sup>34</sup> It is an analytical sketch of Western philosophical thought. However, the central thesis is that Africa needs to evolve its own ideology and philosophy to solve "the crisis of the African conscience" afflicting African society.<sup>35</sup> For Africa's loss of identity had emerged due to the fact that it was afflicted with three rival cultural currents: traditional Africa, the Euro-Christian, and the Islamic. The crisis would be resolved with the synthesis of these three cultural patterns into a new philosophy of consciousness that Nkrumah terms "Consciencism." He considered the synthesis of these three legacies as a major challenge for Africans in the postcolonial period.

Prior to Nkrumah, Blyden had articulated similar thoughts on Africa's cultural strains in his work *Christianity, Islam and the Negro Race*. Blyden advocated that African civilization had its own validity and was a universal part of civilization. It was characterized by communal African life, a community characterized by cooperation and mutual aid, and a communion with nature and God.<sup>36</sup>

The 1964 edition of *Consciencism* reinforced Blyden's views on African culture. It also upheld many of the ideological assumptions hitherto held by Nkrumah, particularly his denial of class conflict in precolonial Africa. The book emphasized that traditional African society was an egalitarian and communal society in which the means of production, including land, were held in common and individual ownership did not exist. Nkrumah termed the ideology of such a society "communalism." In the 1964 edition, Nkrumah claims, "From the ancestral line of communalism,

the passage to socialism lies in reform, because the underlying principles are the same. But when this passage carries one through colonialism the reform is revolutionary since the passage from colonialism to genuine independence is an act of revolution. But because of the continuity of communalism with socialism, in communalistic society, socialism is not a revolutionary creed, but a restatement in contemporary idiom of the principles underlying communalism."<sup>37</sup>

Hountondji comments that "this thesis enables him to argue that in Africa the transition to socialism can be effected without revolution and in perfect continuity with traditional African ideology." In the 1970 edition, Nkrumah appears to have altered his position on what Basner terms the "political marriage between revolution and reform" or, to put it differently, that reform was a vehicle through which socialism could be achieved. However, Nkrumah continued to maintain the view that communalism is characteristic of African society. He referred to "the spirit of communalism" pervading African society. In his own words,

Socialism, therefore, can be and is the defence of the principles of communalism in a modern setting. Socialism is a form of social organisation, which, guided by the principles underlying communism, adopts procedures and measures made necessary by demographic and technological developments. These considerations throw light on the bearing of revolution and reform on socialism. The passage from the ancestral line of slavery via feudalism and capitalism to socialism can only lie through revolution: it cannot lie through reform. For in reform, fundamental principles are held constant and the details of their expression modified. In the words of Marx, it leaves the pillars of the building intact. Indeed, sometimes, reform itself may be initiated by the necessities of preserving identical fundamental principles.<sup>40</sup>

In 1970—four years after the coup d'état that overthrew his government—Nkrumah no longer believed that socialism could be achieved via reform but through revolution. Yet his conception of socialism was more flexible than the rigid Soviet conception that was averse to a mixed economy and a role for the private sector, which Nkrumah espoused as part of his domestic economic policies.

It appears a "conceptual revolution" <sup>41</sup> had taken place in Nkrumah's thought in 1965, as "from that year on, and more precisely after the appearance of the work titled *Neo-colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism* Nkrumah explicitly rejected his earlier view that there was no real class struggle in Africa." <sup>42</sup>

In comparing the two editions, "despite the important changes made in the 1970 edition, it remains largely dependent upon many pre-1965 ideological assumptions." Second, according to Hountondji, "the subtitle of the book is even more obscure than the title." He full title of the 1964 edition is Consciencism: Philosophy and Ideology for Decolonisation and Development with Particular Reference to the African Revolution. Nkrumah did not clearly differentiate between philosophy and ideology, nor did he enunciate the relationship between the two terms. The beginning of chapter three makes an attempt to draw a connection between the two. Nkrumah referred to the Italian patriot Mazzini, who linked the necessity for a revolution with an ideology to imbue and guide society. Nkrumah obscurely

argued, "Philosophy admits of being an instrument of ideology," yet he did not develop the argument.<sup>46</sup>

Other inherent weaknesses of the work lie in the homogenous presentation of precolonial African society. It is a society that appears to subscribe to a single ideology, thereby dismissing the plurality of African cultures. Nkrumah also oversimplified Western and Arab-Muslim culture and argued that while *Consciencism* was a form of materialism, it did not exclude the existence of God. The work seeks to fuse historical materialism with the spirituality of African communities.

The 1964 edition represents Nkrumah at a particular juncture of ideological development. 47 Consciencism was "a philosophy in the image of the CPP [Convention People's Party]," whereby for Nkrumah the CPP belonged to all: farmers, laborers, civil servants, traders, verandah boys, chiefs, and Ghanaian businessmen.<sup>48</sup> In Consciencism, he recognized the role the European colonial administration made of African clerks. They carried out essential economic and political roles. Yet Nkrumah was not concerned with these functions but rather with proving that this social group operated as vehicles of European culture. According to Hountondji, "Thus Nkrumah conjured away the practical problem of class struggle and ducked the theoretical problem of the internal composition of colonial and postcolonial African societies."49 Moreover, "the three competing ideologies . . . are in fact cultural substitutes for economic class conflict [and] are seen by Nkrumah as easily reconcilable. The aim of Consciencism is precisely to effect such a reconciliation, to fuse the three hitherto juxtaposed systems into a single system."50 In essence, the ideology of Consciencism was mirrored in the monolithic mass organization of the CPP and the various wings of the party; that is, the Ghana Trades Union Congress (TUC), the National Cooperative Council, the United Farmers' Council, the National Council of Ghana Women, and the Ghana Young Pioneers Movement—all were amalgamated into Nkrumah's nation-building strategy. A synthesizing ideology was required to harmonize class interests in a party inclined toward fragmentation in the postindependence phase. But in reality such a perspective was premised on idealism and a negation of class conflicts. In other words, the restructuring of the CPP and the ideology of Consciencism were founded on the premise that there had to be a monolithic party and ideology toward achieving a unified nation-state and a unified African continent.

In *Towards Colonial Freedom*, Nkrumah was sensitive to the linkage of modern capitalism with imperialism. In *Consciencism*, he emphasized this alliance and considered socialism as an ally of African nationalism and unity. Mazrui maintains that such a paradigm of intellectual and ideological convergence has been found attractive in many African leaders such as Sékou Touré in Guinea, Habib Bourguiba in Tunisia, Julius Nyerere in Tanzania, and Modibo Keita in Mali. 51 According to Nkrumah,

The evil of capitalism consists in its alienation of the fruits of labour from those who with the toil of their body and sweat of their brow produce this fruit. This aspect of capitalism makes it irreconcilable with those basic principles, which animate the traditional African society. Capitalism is unjust; in our newly independent

countries it is not only too complicated to be workable, it is alien. Under socialism, however the study and mastery of nature has a humanist impulse, and is directed not towards a profiteering accomplishment, but the affording of ever-increasing satisfaction for the material and spiritual needs of the greatest numbers.<sup>52</sup>

Nkrumah considered that the communalism of traditional African society was reconcilable with socialism. "In sum," he wrote "the restitution of Africa's humanist and egalitarian principles of society requires socialism."<sup>53</sup>

Another major theoretical stand that underwent revision was his view on class struggle. An article titled "African Socialism Re-visited," which was published in 1966, recognized the existence of class struggle in Africa. This is, therefore, an important shift of emphasis made by Nkrumah. He felt the need to revise the original 1964 text of *Consciencism* and in the "Author's Note" dated 1969 (yet reprinted in 1970) he explained that "since the publication of the first edition of *Consciencism*, the African revolution has decisively entered a new phase, the phase of armed struggle" and that "the succession of military coups which have in recent years taken place in Africa, have exposed the close links between the interests of neo-colonialism and the indigenous bourgeoisie." 55

The article "African Socialism Revisited" explicitly demonstrates that Nkrumah had outgrown African socialism, which had been an ideology that had been popularized at the 1962 Dakar Colloquium. Nevertheless, it appears several writers continued to erroneously subscribe Nkrumah's ideology to the categorization of "African Socialism." <sup>56</sup> In this critical and almost forgotten article, Nkrumah had clearly abandoned African socialism and had adopted "scientific socialism." He questioned, "What real meaning does the term [African Socialism] retain in the context of contemporary African politics?"57 He distinguished himself from African leaders whom he considered employed the term socialism "in a charismatic effort to rally support for the policies that do not really promote economic and social development."58 Nkrumah was referring to leaders such as Leopold Senghor, who had been a staunch advocate of African socialism and had given a lecture at Oxford University in late 1961 on the theme of African socialism.<sup>59</sup> For Nkrumah, the term "tends to obscure our fundamental socialist commitment." It was also a concept that "makes a fetish of communal African society." It served to resurrect "an African Golden Age or paradise" prior to European colonialism. Yet for Nkrumah "a return to the pre-colonial African society is evidently not worthy of the ingenuity and efforts of our people."60

Furthermore, Nkrumah contends that precolonial African society "was neither classless nor devoid of a social hierarchy," for feudalist social relations existed in some parts of precolonial Africa. Despite this, Nkrumah believed that precolonial African society "manifested a certain communalism and that the philosophy and humanist purpose behind that organisation are worthy of recapture." However, Nkrumah was far from advocating a restoration of a village mode of production as his contemporary Julius Nyerere espoused in his concept of *ujamaa*. Nkrumah stressed, "What socialist thought in Africa must recapture is not the structure of the 'traditional African society' but its spirit, for the spirit of

communalism is crystallised in its humanism and its reconciliation of individual advancement with group welfare."63

Metz points out that while there were similarities in the socialist premises of both Nkrumah and Nyerere, based on their interpretation of the African traditional and communal past, there were fundamental divergences based on their "differing foci" of emphases. <sup>64</sup> Nkrumah came under Marxist orthodoxy to a greater extent than Nyerere. A fundamental difference, among many, lay in their opposing assessments of how economic production was to be achieved in a society. For Nyerere, economic production was to be centered on the village unit, and for Nkrumah production had to be based on industrialization and large-scale mechanization of agriculture. Second, Nyerere considered "socialism—like democracy—is essentially an attitude of mind." <sup>65</sup> For Nkrumah, socialism was more than an attitudinal predisposition. He utilized the Marxist dialectical method of social development and believed that conflict between social groups in society produced progress. For example, to cite Nkrumah at some length:

When one society meets another, the observed historical trend is that acculturation results in a balance of forward movement, a movement in which each society assimilates certain useful attributes of the other. Social evolution is a dialectical process; it has ups and downs, but, on balance, it always represents an upward trend. The way out is certainly not to regurgitate all Islamic or Euro-colonial influences in a futile attempt to recreate a past that cannot be resurrected. The way out is only forward, forward to a higher and reconciled form of society, in which the quintessence of the human purposes of traditional African society reasserts itself in a modern context—forward in short, to socialism, through policies that are scientifically devised and correctly applied.<sup>66</sup>

Hence, Nkrumah saw African society in tension as a result of a combination of social and educational backwardness and a host of contemporary problems with roots in colonial exploitation, but he believed these problems had to be solved through the methods of rationality, technology, industrialization, and modern agricultural techniques of "scientific socialism." For Nkrumah, "Socialism, therefore, can be, and it is, the defence of the principles of communalism in a modern setting; it is a form of social organisation that, guided by the principles underlying communalism, adopts procedures and measures made necessary by demographic and technological developments."<sup>67</sup>

As Nkrumah continued with his political writing, there were some beliefs he did not compromise or alter. For example, in November 1964 he refused a television team to film him and his family in the gardens of Flagstaff House. An excerpt from the letter to the television team merits extensive reproduction here because it conveys his inflexible convictions on deeply private matters:

People in general seem to be insatiably curious about the family and private lives of those who are in the public eye. It has always been my strong convictions that my domestic affairs—my home and my family—are purely private matters, which

should not be "mixed up" with my official and public life. I see no reason why I should exhibit my wife and children in order to satisfy public curiosity.

My view—and this may jolt you a little!—is that marriage does not exist in nature and does not warrant the importance that has come to be attached to it. It is a bourgeois imposition, a mere contrivance set up as a matter of human convenience for the protection of inheritance rights, capitalist and property-owners.

To sum up—I am most anxious that no one should tamper with my own liberty within my family. Irrespective of my present position, I still look upon my family as a private concern and not as an instrument of projecting a personality of myself as an individual or as Head of State.<sup>68</sup>

Nkrumah's response is forthright and revealed his irritation at public scrutiny on his private life. His attack on marriage as a bourgeois institution is typically Marxian and averse to Akan norms, which consider marriage to be of cultural importance in a society based on notions of strong family bonds.

As Nkrumah concentrated personal control of the security intelligence and Presidential Detail Department (PDD) into his own hands during this period, he became further isolated. In August 1965 he wrote a candid and rather melodramatic letter to his secretary who was in England at the time:

Have you noticed over the years I have known you that I am a very lonely man? Can you say that this and that person is a friend to me? I am friendless and companionless. [...] I suffer from intense loneliness, which makes me sometimes burst into tears. I am an isolated man—isolated from life itself. You only know and understand that, Erica—few people know this. They see me in public smiling and laughing, not knowing the burden of loneliness and isolation that I carry. Marriage did not solve it—it has rather intensified and complicated it. [...] You know I didn't want to get married. You know my views on the subject. Did I ever tell you that I married *not* for myself but for the presidency?<sup>69</sup>

In this rare glimpse of emotion, it appears Nkrumah endured loneliness and regretted his marriage. However, it is likely "he would have regretted marriage with any woman." This insightful comment from Powell demonstrates that it was Nkrumah's personality and perspective on the institution of marriage as ensnaring that was problematic. Clearly, he had married for political reasons rather than any romantic sentiments or emotional attachment.

#### Nkrumah and Neocolonialism

Long before the publication of his contentious book *Neo-colonialism The Last Stage of Imperialism* in 1965, Nkrumah had made reference to the term neocolonialism in his public address at the opening session of the Conference of Independent States on April 15, 1958. He spoke of "the new forms of Colonialism [that] are now appearing in the world with their potential threat to our precious independence."<sup>71</sup> He warned that imperialism would seek to achieve its ends "not merely by military means, but by economic penetration, cultural assimilation, ideological domination, psychological infiltration, and subversive activities."<sup>72</sup>

Nkrumah repeated this belief in *Neo-colonialism: The Highest Stage of Imperialism* that neocolonialism did not confine itself to the economic sphere but deployed religious, educational, and cultural methods to achieve its interests.

In Africa Must Unite, Nkrumah devoted a whole chapter to the operation and nature of these new forms of domination on the African continent. He wrote, "The greatest danger at present facing Africa is neo-colonialism and its major instrument, balkanisation."73 For Nkrumah, neocolonialism in Africa had similar features to the definition given by Lenin: "It acts covertly, manoeuvring men and governments, free of the stigma attached to political rule. It creates client states, independent in name but in point of fact pawns of the very colonial power which is supposed to have given them independence." They are formally independent "but in reality enmeshed in the net of financial and diplomatic dependence."74 Nkrumah critiqued the economic relationship of overseas aid and investment from the former metropolitan country as one that drained Africa of its wealth. For Nkrumah, the political and cultural influences of the former colonial powers remained strong despite formal independence. The appearance of nationhood existed but token aid was donated by the metropolitan countries to create the illusion of development and progress. "The creation of several weak and unstable states of this kind in Africa, it is hoped, will ensure the continued dependence on the former colonial powers for economic aid, and impede African unity. This policy of balkanisation is the new imperialism, the new danger to Africa," he wrote.<sup>75</sup> Nkrumah ended the chapter with the contention that only African unity could circumvent the neocolonialist and imperialist agenda of outside powers. He believed a union of African states would not only raise the dignity of Africa and strengthen its impact on world affairs, but "it will make possible the full expression of the African personality."76

In his 1965 publication, Nkrumah continued to articulate these political thoughts. The book engaged in a systematic theoretical expansion and clarification of the concept with an attempt to provide empirical evidence of the operations of neocolonialism in its African context and within a global perspective. Nkrumah defined the term thus: "The essence of neo-colonialism is that the State which is subject to it is, in theory, independent and has all the outward trappings of international sovereignty. In reality its economic system and thus its political policy is directed from outside." The basis of such a system rests on "the principle of breaking up former larger united colonial territories into a number of small non-viable States which are incapable of independent development and must rely upon the former imperial power for defence and even internal security. Their economic and financial systems are linked, as in colonial days, with those of the former colonial ruler."

For Nkrumah, even "aid" is a neocolonial tool that fails to benefit the recipient state by raising the standards of living of Africans. Rather, it served to depress the economies of developing countries and keep them subjugated by imposing trade agreements with the donor country. Nkrumah believed no individual African nation-state competing on the world economic stage stood a chance of being in command of its agricultural exports as long as "balkanisation" continued. "A

continent like Africa, however much it increases its agricultural output, will not benefit unless it is sufficiently politically and economically united to force the developed world to pay it a fair price for its cash crops," he wrote. Furthermore, so long as Africa remains divided it will therefore be the wealthy consumer countries who will dictate the price of African cash crops. 80

He was of the opinion that time was against the African continent to industrialize in "the haphazard, *laissez-faire* manner of Europe"<sup>81</sup> and as a result "the challenge cannot be met on any piece-meal scale, but only by the total mobilisation of the continent's resources within the framework of comprehensive socialist planning and deployment."<sup>82</sup> Such a strategy would not dispense with national planning bodies, but such bodies would coordinate economic planning on a continental level.

For Nkrumah, independent Africa confronted a global economic environment that was dominated by "the empire of finance capital . . . a vast sprawling network of inter-continental activity on a highly diversified scale that controls the lives of millions of people in the most widely separated parts of the world, manipulating whole industries and exploiting the labour and riches of nations for the greedy satisfaction of a few." The ramifications of this neocolonial system were that it operated on a Pan-African scale and, for Nkrumah, "they can only be challenged on a pan-African basis. Only a united Africa through an All African Union Government can defeat them."<sup>83</sup>

Nkrumah argued that the benefits of continental economic and political integration would be of enormous advantage to each state by raising the living standards of each African and ending the domination of Africa's economy by foreign multinational companies. 84 But for Nkrumah the prerequisites for these advantages were the development of large-scale industry, power, and transport networks; the removal of barriers to inter-African trade; the creation of a central bank; the formation of a unified policy on all aspects of export control, tariff, and quota arrangements on the premise that Africa was to be conceived as a viable, single, economic, and political unit.

Following his theoretical exposition, much of the book provides detailed evidence and illustrations of the operations of Western finance capital (Canadian, American, British, Belgian, and French) in the exploitation of Africa's mineral resources such as diamonds, tin, nickel, gold, and the debilitating role of Union Miniere in the establishment of Congolese independence.<sup>85</sup>

*Neo-colonialism* is a polemical and trenchant critique of Western imperialism in the Marxist tradition. It appears Nkrumah's Marxism is not treated with seriousness, for Assensoh refers to Nkrumah's Marxism as an "ideological flirtation" and Rahman writes that "Nkrumah made early pretensions at Marxism." Yet it is evident that Nkrumah was committed to a Marxist paradigm in understanding and critiquing the world and his engagement with perspective was far from being an intellectual philandering.

The publication unquestionably furthered the existing strain in Ghana-US relations. 88 Overall, while the book emphasized the importance of external factors in African affairs, its major weakness is precisely "its tendency to explain Africa's

lack of development almost exclusively in terms of external factors." Nkrumah appears to have given insufficient focus to the critical role of indigenous African classes in this neocolonial system. It is only after the coup d'état of February 1966 that he developed a more trenchant critical analysis of class conflict in Africa. For Nkrumah, neocolonialism was the principal enemy of Africa's development. It was a major and consistent theme in his theoretical and ideological vision of Africa in a global context.

However, the book did not deter the large contingent from Kaiser Industries Corporation, nor did it deter Edgar Kaiser from attending the inauguration of the Akosombo dam in early January 1966. In the light of the publication of the book, Nkrumah's speech at the opening of the dam was peculiar. He said, "Like Britain in the heyday of her imperial power, the US, is, and rightly so, adopting a conception of a dual mandate in its relations with the developing world." Nkrumah went on to say, "This could enable the US to increase its own prosperity and at the same time assist in the increasing prosperity of the developing world." One observer remarked, "It was a speech designed to better relations between Ghana and the US, which have been strained in recent months, without in any way apologising for the much criticised book." As an astute politician, Nkrumah appeared in his address to appeal to African and US mutual economic interests. Moreover, after four years of construction, the dam was the fulfillment of Nkrumah's long-cherished aspiration. Nkrumah considered the financial terms of the agreement with Kaiser Industries worth the realization of this ambition.

In many ways Nkrumah's views enshrined in *Neo-Colonialism* were a fore-runner of the 1970s dependency school of thought. They closely parallel the dependency paradigm, which critiqued new forms of Western initiatives that only served to perpetuate the vulnerability of developing nations in relation to the metropole and the periphery. Nkrumah considered new forms of dependency on the West via aid, loan conditions, and military bases a perpetuation of economic, cultural, and political domination that could only be challenged through the implementation and practice of African unity.

In *Consciencism*, Nkrumah wrote, "Practice without thought is blind; thought without practice is empty." For Nkrumah, theory and practice were inextricably linked. He thought in terms of not only Ghana but the entire African continent within the context of world development, for he considered Africa was part of a global humanity. However, the implementation of Nkrumah's domestic policies was subject to errors and a divorce between theory and reality. We now turn to the execution of his foreign policies and the uneasy dynamic that existed between Nkrumah's ideas and the reality in the field of foreign policy.

# **CHAPTER 9**

# Nkrumah's Foreign Policy, 1958–1966

Nkrumah earnestly believed in a central role for Ghana in international affairs. Undoubtedly, "From the beginning his personality dominated Ghana's external relations, because he felt that he had a specific mission for Africa which could be fully realised only under his control at the helm." Nkrumah's vision of African unity, together with the strategies he employed to fulfill his foreign policy objectives, caused conflict and bitterness among some of his contemporaries, such as Felix Houphouet-Boigny of Ivory Coast, Sylvanus Olympio of Togo, and Julius Nyerere of Tanzania. The motivations underpinning his foreign policy, their impact, perceptions, and the machinery through which his political decisions were enacted is the focus of this chapter.

In his independence speech, Nkrumah gave a glimpse of the future of Ghana's foreign policy with his famous words that "the independence of Ghana is meaningless unless it is linked up with the total liberation of the African continent." He immediately assumed the portfolio of minister of defense and external affairs in the newly established ministry of foreign affairs.<sup>2</sup> While Nkrumah was driven by the ideological vision of Pan-Africanism, he was aware of heightening Cold War tensions. He advocated "nonalignment" and "positive neutralism" during a time when newly independent states of Africa and Asia sought to distance themselves from Cold War entanglements of the West and the East by seeking to define their own interests independent of any political bloc. These principles were enunciated at the 1955 African Asian conference in Bandung.<sup>3</sup> Nkrumah vigorously campaigned for world peace, encouraged the dismantling of powerful nuclear weapons, and was particularly opposed to French nuclear tests in the Sahara in February 1960.<sup>4</sup> He also sought to end the war between America and Vietnam.

But on the whole, as Nkrumah himself acknowledged, "It is in Africa that Ghana's foreign policy really lies." However, domestic turmoil in the emergence of the Ga Shifimo Kpee movement in the summer of 1957 gave Nkrumah little opportunity to pursue foreign policy initiatives that year. <sup>6</sup> Earlier in the year,

he had decided to withdraw from the West African Air Transport Authority and subsequently other West African boards such as the Royal West African Frontier Force, the Currency Board, and the Cocoa Research Institute. His justification was that Ghana needed to be in direct control of her independence, as these agencies were considered as serving the old colonial administration.<sup>7</sup> In the national assembly, S. D. Dombo of the parliamentary opposition criticized the government's decision.<sup>8</sup>

With his "master planner," George Padmore, as his official adviser on African affairs, Nkrumah commenced preparations for the first Conference of Independent African States (CIAS) in April 1958.<sup>9</sup>

### Implementing Pan-Africanism, 1958-1960

The methodical preparation for the CIAS laid the basis for its huge success. 10 It was a continuation of the radical tradition of Pan-African congresses, particularly the 1945 Pan-African Congress in Manchester. 11 On account of his "deep uneasiness about the Foreign Service's competence to organise the First Conference of Independent African States because of his belief that the Service was not tuned in to the African movement," Nkrumah allocated the preparations to his trusted mentor. 12 The small preparatory team led by Padmore's office drafted a memorandum on the aims of the conference, which "was the most clearly articulated foreign policy statement ever produced in Ghana."13 It called for the coordination of foreign policies in Africa; that Africa should view the international situation in the light of her own interests; and the setting up of a permanent institutional framework for cooperation among African states. 14 Even before the conference, differences and suspicions emerged between Liberia and Ghana. President Tubman demanded that the draft proposal condemn subversive ideologies and coups designed to overthrow legitimate governments. The small team visited all seven participating states to reassure them that Ghana "was not seeking leadership of Africa." 15

Representatives from Libya, Ethiopia, Liberia, Morocco, Tunisia, Sudan, and the United Arab Republic (UAR), which was the short-lived union between Egypt and Syria, attended the Accra conference. It opened on April 15. "It is," Nkrumah declared, "the first time in history that representatives of independent sovereign States in Africa are meeting together with the aim of forging closer links of friendship, brotherhood, co-operation and solidarity between them." He referred to the problems of "Colonialism and Racialism" prevailing on the African continent and it was on this platform that he spoke publicly for the first time of "new forms of Colonialism which are now appearing in the world, with their potential threat to our precious independence." The resolutions of the conference were a reaffirmation of the 1945 Pan-African conference objectives; namely, to accelerate the struggles in dependent territories and to combat racialism on the African continent through economic and social development as well as cooperation.

The impact of the conference was immense. First, "Pan-Africanism moved from the realm of idealism and romanticism to that of practical politics." 18

Ghana's independence and role as "a base in Africa from which propaganda and ideas could be disseminated" put an end to Pan-Africanism as a purely intellectual movement. Second, immediately after the conference Nkrumah embarked on a tour of the seven participating states in order to sustain the momentum of the conference resolutions and to exchange views on the international situation with various heads of states. Accompanying him were George Padmore, Tachie Menson, and Krobo Edusei. Third, the conference influenced the participating states to pledge assistance to anticolonial struggles. In the conference in the participating states to pledge assistance to anticolonial struggles.

On the African stage the year 1958 saw the formation of the Ghana-Guinea Union on November 23. An impetus to the founding of the union was the abrupt circumstances under which Guinea obtained its independence from the French in the same year. Sékou Touré's "No" vote to Charles de Gaulle's proposal of devolved power to Francophone countries within a broader framework of a French union led to the immediate departure of French aid, technicians, and bureaucrats from Conakry.<sup>22</sup> Hence, the motives behind the Ghana-Guinea Union were pragmatic. Guinea was on the verge of bankruptcy, as the country had been heavily subsidized by the French, but Nkrumah believed the embryo union would be the first step in the creation of a continental union.<sup>23</sup>

Nkrumah considered the accord part of the wider historical current toward union, which was taking place in the organization of the European Common Market in Western Europe. As part of the Ghana-Guinea agreement, resident ministers were exchanged and the coordination of defense, foreign, and economic policies were to be developed. As Guinea was facing economic collapse, Ghana also agreed to grant a loan of ten million British pounds sterling to the sister nation.<sup>24</sup>

Meanwhile, Nkrumah had delegated to Padmore the organization of the forth-coming All African People's Conference (AAPC). It was held between December 5 and 8, 1958. This gathering was different from the CIAS in that it brought together at nongovernmental-level political parties, movements, trade unions, cooperative associations, youth and women's organizations from dependent territories under colonial rule. Altogether almost 200 delegates sponsored by 36 nationalist organizations that were invited to Accra.<sup>25</sup> The agenda of the conference was "to formulate concrete plans and work out the Gandhian tactics and strategy of the African Non-violent Revolution to colonialism and imperialism; racialism; tribalism and the position of chiefs in a free and independent society."<sup>26</sup>

Some tensions that surfaced during the organization of the AAPC occurred between civil servants and the conference organizers. It "symbolised a conflict that was to persist throughout the Nkrumah period," argues Thompson.<sup>27</sup> Fundamentally, little coordination existed between the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the conference organizers. Another source of friction was the civil service questioning of the cabinet decision that the minister of finance need not check monthly accounts of the conference committee.<sup>28</sup> Despite these impediments, the conference went smoothly and was another major success.

Nkrumah dominated the event through his consultation, reconciliation, and advising of delegates. Interracial cooperation was one of many issues he spoke on.

He confidently proclaimed, "We are not racialists or chauvinists. We welcome into our midst peoples of all other races, other nations, other communities, who desire to live among us in peace and equality. But they must respect us and our rights, our right as the majority to rule. That, as our Western friends have taught us to understand it, is the essence of democracy." The impact of the conference was profound. Its significance lay in its ability to bring together numerous progressive forces from most of the African continent. After centuries of being nurtured in the diaspora, Pan-Africanism had returned home to Africa.

The following year, Nkrumah met President Tubman of Liberia and President Sékou Touré of Guinea in Sanniquellie, a small Liberian village to discuss the question of African liberation and unity. On July 19, 1959, the three leaders issued a Declaration of Principles in which "each state and Federation, which is a member of the Community, shall maintain its own national identity and constitutional structure." They agreed to form a Community of Independent African States. According to Legum, "the Sanniquellie Declaration marked a new phase in the argument between Pan-Africanists about the best way of developing African unity." Tubman considered a loose association of African states based on economic cooperation preferable to what he considered Nkrumah's inflexible political union. These two Pan-Africanist stances were to continue to divide the Pan-African movement.

A tragic event in 1959 was the sudden death of Nkrumah's mentor, Padmore, on September 25. It impacted severely and personally on Nkrumah. Mrs. Nkrumah observed that "Nkrumah was crying in the house."<sup>33</sup> Consumed by a relentless political commitment, Padmore had "forgot to attend a check-up" and "ignored the symptoms of his disease."<sup>34</sup> His "close comrade," as Nkrumah referred to Padmore, had died of cirrhosis of the liver following his admission to a London hospital on September 18.<sup>35</sup> He fell into a coma and did not recover. Nkrumah deeply mourned what he referred to as "a loyal comrade in arms."<sup>36</sup>

The impact of Padmore's death was considerable. Institutionally, his office was temporarily taken over by Kofi Baako<sup>37</sup> and on a permanent basis by Padmore's stenographer, the West Indian A. K. Barden.<sup>38</sup> Above all, Nkrumah did not allow the personal tragedy of his mentor's death to interrupt the work on African affairs.<sup>39</sup> A major and negative consequence was the fact that many of the board members of the Bureau of African Affairs (BAA) had other full-time responsibilities and, therefore, did not devote undivided energies and time to the affairs of the bureau as Padmore had done.<sup>40</sup> A final consequence was the deterioration in links between the Foreign Ministry and the BAA.<sup>41</sup>

The year 1960 opened with the Second AAPC held in Tunis on January 25. There was greater emphasis given to African unity at this conference than at the Accra gathering. 42 Efforts were made to set up an All African Trade Union Federation (AATUF) disaffiliated from other non-African trade union organizations. 43 Some African countries, such as Tunisia and Kenya, sought to retain their links with the Western International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU) while others, like Ghana, vociferously campaigned for complete disaffiliation in accordance with the principles of nonalignment. The proposal for establishing

a permanent secretariat was realized and Guinean resident minister in Ghana, Abdoullaye Diallo, was appointed the AATUF's first secretary-general.

As the year 1960 unfolded, Nkrumah made known his condemnation of what he termed "nuclear imperialism" carried out by the French government's second explosion of an atomic bomb in the Sahara in February. 44 At the Positive Action Conference for Peace and Security in Africa, held in Accra from April 7 to 10, 1960, Nkrumah condemned not only the "atomic arrogance" of the French but also the brutalities committed by the French in Algeria and the recent Sharpeville massacre in South Africa. 45 Nkrumah enunciated that "the cardinal principles upon which the peace and security of this continent depends, is the firm insistence that Africa is not an extension of Europe or any other continent. A corollary of this principle is the resolution that Africa is not going to become a cockpit of the Cold War, or a marshalling ground for attack on either West or East, nor is it going to be an arena for fighting out the East-West conflict."46 The views expressed at the conference by Nkrumah demonstrated his strong antinuclear position, his opposition toward Africa becoming manipulated in Cold War quarrels, as well as his concern for peace and security on the African continent. As a practical measure, his government seized French assets in the country and recalled the Ghanaian ambassador to France.

The second CIAS, which was held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, in June 1960, gave rise to an open conflict of views on Pan-Africanism and some hints of personal animosity toward Nkrumah. Ako Adjei, as minister of foreign affairs, represented Ghana. In attendance were 12 African countries.<sup>47</sup> Ako Adjei "was at great pains to spell out in detail the ideas which Dr. Nkrumah had been advocating with increasing urgency in the latter part of 1959 and early 1960."<sup>48</sup> After praising the Sanniquellie Declaration, he said, "Political union would provide the framework within which any plans for economic, social and cultural co-operation can, in fact, operate to the best advantage of all. To us in Ghana the concept of African unity is an article of faith. It is a cardinal objective in our policy."<sup>49</sup> Guinea was the only nation to support such a view.

Opposition to Ghana's approach to Pan-African unity came from the leader of the Nigerian delegation, Yusuf Maitima Sule. He remarked that while "no one in Africa doubts the need to promote Pan-Africanism," the idea of forming a union of African states was "premature" and "too radical—perhaps too ambitious—to be of lasting benefit." According to Sule, "Gradual development of ideas and thought is more lasting," for Pan-Africanism would not occur "if we start building from the top downward." He concurred with President Tubman's vague idea of an association of states as being "more acceptable." It was in his much publicized caution that he made a veiled rebuff toward Nkrumah. He said, "If anybody makes the mistake of feeling that he is a Messiah who has got a mission to lead Africa the whole purpose of Pan-Africanism will, I fear, be defeated." A collision of attitudes and approaches to Pan-African unity came to the fore at this meeting and soon hardened into antagonistic positions.

#### Nkrumah and the Congo, 1960-1961

Between August 7 and 8, 1960, Patrice Lumumba flew to Accra at the invitation of Nkrumah. A secret Ghana-Congo agreement for the establishment of a union of African states was concluded. They condemned the refusal of the Belgian government to withdraw troops from the mineral-rich Congo, contrary to the decision of the Security Council of the United Nations. They also enlisted the support of other nations prepared to assist in the withdrawal of Belgian troops from Katanga province, which had seceded. This action gravely undermined the territorial sovereignty of the republic.<sup>51</sup> The Congo debacle "was important because Nkrumah envisaged it as the first tangible result of his grand strategy for Africa which would bring about the continental unity he had long sought," writes Baynham.<sup>52</sup> It gave Nkrumah an occasion to display international statesmanship and to win attention on the African stage.<sup>53</sup> But fundamentally, "it was in the Congo that the contradictions of Ghana's prescription for internal security and Nkrumah's policy of non-alignment came into conflict."54 Nkrumah's single-mindedness in defending the government of Lumumba was to end in tragic disaster and disillusionment.

The crisis in the Congo was a complex one and is considered here only in the context of its meaning for Nkrumah and the dilemmas and contradictions it threw up for him in the framework of his plans for Pan-African unity. 55 Some context is necessary here in order to situate Nkrumah's decisions and thinking. Less than a week after the proclamation of independence from Belgium on June 30, 1960, the Force Publique, the colonial army, mutinied against its Belgian officers. Belgium intervened militarily on July 10 under the pretext of protecting its citizens. The following day, the province of Katanga seceded in a move supported by white settlers and Belgian mining interests. President Kasavubu and Prime Minister Patrice Lumumba appealed to the United Nations (UN) for assistance on July 12. They requested the dispatch of UN troops to protect the country from external aggression and to restore territorial integrity.

To consider Nkrumah's role in the crisis, it is essential to understand that his actions were shaped by his outlook toward Lumumba, the UN, and the involvement of what he considered to be imperialist Western powers. First, Nkrumah saw an affinity between the Convention People's Party (CPP) and the *Mouvement national congolais* (MNC) led by Lumumba. Both, he believed, were struggling against tribalism and were in support of a unitary, as opposed to a federal, system of government. In essence, he equated the secessionist Katanga with the National Liberation Movement (NLM) in Ashanti, and the myriad rival groups in the Congo such as the Association des Bakongo pour l'Unification l'Expansion et al Defense de la Kilonga ABAKO (initially a cultural group of the Bakongo peoples formed in 1950 and led by Joseph Kasavubu) and the Baluba Association of Katanga (founded in 1957 and led by Joseph Sendwe) with the plethora of tribalist and regional parties that had sought to threaten Ghana's independence between 1954 and 1957. Nkrumah wrote in *Challenge of the Congo*, "As

in Ghana, I was convinced that the Congo needed a strong unitary form of government."57

Second, he fervently believed that as an African nation, Ghana should aid another troubled African country and bring about the total collapse of colonial-ism. <sup>58</sup> Third, in his lengthy address to the Ghanaian national assembly on August 8, 1960, Nkrumah stated, "The greatest danger that Africa faces today is balkanisation" and that the crisis in the Congo represented a "turning point in the history of Africa." The principle of the indivisibility of Ghana and the Congo was sacrosanct and Nkrumah was prepared to defend it.

Lastly, based on the principle of nonalignment, Nkrumah was acutely aware of the inherent dangers of the great powers becoming entangled in the crisis and he did his utmost to restrain the increasingly desperate Lumumba from accepting Soviet military aid. In *Challenge of the Congo*, Nkrumah considered the entire episode a "conspiracy" against Lumumba and against the Congo as having been "carried on under the banner of anti-communism."

The first of several problems for Nkrumah was the dispatch of several high-level British officers, including General Alexander. According to Baynham, "The prominence of expatriates embarrassed him as it clashed with his projection of Ghana as a progressive independent state." In addition, it appears "Alexander made himself particularly obnoxious to Congolese politicians and soldiers alike, soon after the Ghanaians first arrived, by his unilateral decision to disarm the soldiers of the Force Publique," which was soon halted by the UN after vociferous appeals by Lumumba. The entire episode fed the suspicions of the Lumumba government that Alexander was acting on behalf of the Belgians.

Another factor fueling tensions in the country was the predominance of British officers in the higher echelons of the Ghanaian contingent in Leopoldville, who were pro-Belgian in their outlook, and the intermediate and lower ranks staffed by Ghanaians, who were sympathetic to the Congolese nationalists.<sup>64</sup> To restore Ghana's credibility, Nkrumah promoted his most senior Ghanaian officers on July 30, 1960, and sent them to the Congo.<sup>65</sup>

Nkrumah's strategy during the crisis created problems. Among them was the hostility between General Alexander and Ambassador Djin in Leopoldville, which made them compete for influence with Nkrumah. <sup>66</sup> Nkrumah had given Djin a brief to assist Lumumba in every possible way in order to maintain influence over the Congolese prime minister. The major source of conflict was the failure on the part of the Ghanaian ambassador to accept that Ghanaian troops were not under his personal control but were part of the United Nations Operation in the Congo (ONUC) troops and therefore under the UN command. <sup>67</sup> In essence, while Nkrumah was committed to a UN framework to resolve the conflict, not only did he on occasions flout the UN command but so did his men on the ground. <sup>68</sup>

In another instance, Nkrumah's commitment to the UN approach to resolve the crisis led him into what he considered an "embarrassing and invidious position." On September 6, 1960, Ghanaian troops, as part of the ONUC, prevented Lumumba from using the radio station at Leopoldville when his political

opponents were free to broadcast on Brazzaville radio.<sup>70</sup> Lumumba sent a message to Nkrumah expressing his "indignation regarding the aggressive and hostile attitude of Ghanaian soldiers."<sup>71</sup>

Nkrumah was caught in a fragile balancing act: he was committed to the UN, but Lumumba had lost all confidence in the international body. Moreover, the Ghana-Congo agreement signed on August 8 stated that "in conjunction with other Independent African states, that in the event of the UN failing to effect a total and unconditional withdrawal of Belgian troops from the Congo as a whole, [Ghana and the Congo] will establish a Combined High Command of military forces to bring about a speedy withdrawal of these foreign troops from the Congo."72 Meanwhile, on August 17, Nkrumah instructed Djin to encourage Lumumba and Kasavubu to cooperate with the UN in securing their objectives in the Congo. At the same time, in mid-August, Nkrumah and Nasser corresponded on plans for an African High Command.<sup>73</sup> Nkrumah intended to discuss the plan at the Leopoldville Conference between August 25 and 31. Lumumba, who had convened the meeting, was hopeful the conference would champion his cause. However, the achievements of the conference were negligible. 74 Since the conference was held at ministerial level, Nkrumah's plans were not even permitted on the agenda.<sup>75</sup>

When some African countries threatened to pull out their troops from the ONUC in early January 1961 as a result of Lumumba's capture by Mobutu's forces in December 1960, Nkrumah refused to join them, and his action caused a rift in the ranks of the militant Pan-Africanists. Nkrumah stood alone at the January 1961 Casablanca conference when his colleagues proposed they should unilaterally withdraw their troops from ONUC. He interceded with other radical states to refrain from such an endeavor. He believed such a course of action would simply give imperialists an opportunity for direct military intervention on the side of their protégés. For Nkrumah, the participation of Ghanaian troops within the ONUC gave him a position of influence in the councils of the ONUC and was an integral arm of his Congo strategy. To remove them would entail a loss of influence and also remove any prospect of aiding the Lumumbists in Stanleyville.

As developments unfolded in the Congo, Nkrumah's Congo policy came under enormous pressures. First, he had been forced to remove the white officers in the Ghanaian contingent. Second, not only did Ghanaian troops become unpopular in the Congo with their blocking of the radio station from Lumumba, but when Nathaniel Welbeck replaced A. Djin, he too was also declared *persona non grata* by the Kasavubu government on October 4, 1960. Finally, Nkrumah's Congo policy failed fundamentally because the "the crisis was deeper than he had envisaged." There were limits to Nkrumah's ability to influence developments in the Congo. However, he did not acknowledge or recognize these limitations. Moreover, he "entrusted his most delicate assignments to men unequal to their tasks," observes Thompson. For example, Djin did not speak French, which made communications with Lumumba and other Congolese politicians difficult. More crucially, Djin considered the Ghanaian troops present in the Congo as being under his jurisdiction. Hold while his successor, Nathaniel Welbeck, spoke

fluent French, Djin lacked diplomacy, which made him very unpopular with moderate Congolese politicians.<sup>82</sup>

With the murder of Lumumba on January 17, 1961, Nkrumah was personally devastated.<sup>83</sup> He presented to the UN Secretary General Hammarskjold, on February 18, a radical proposal on the Congo operation. In essence, the Ghana government proposed a UN trusteeship over the Congo, administered by independent African states with the assistance of Asian states unfettered by Western control. The proposals were ignored but were "of real significance as the ideological key to Ghana's African and international policies during the 1960s, right up to the Ghana coup in February 1966," for Nkrumah advocated a critical African role in solving African problems.<sup>84</sup>

The impact of the crisis on Nkrumah's foreign policy was an increasing revolutionary zeal, an active pursuit of the objective of African political union, implacable opposition to regional forms of African unity, as well as increasing assistance to radical nationalist forces in other African states. In addition, Nkrumah sought to establish permanent machinery that would realize his vision of African unity. This gave birth to the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) in May 1963.

#### Institutionalizing Pan-Africanism, 1961–1963

Following the murder of Lumumba, Nkrumah was galvanized in his ambitions for the establishment of a union government for Africa. Domestically and continentally, he contributed to the setting up of permanent machinery to achieve such an objective. Another significant development during this period was the ideological rift that emerged on the African continent between the moderate, so-called Brazzaville group (formed in December 1960), which later became the Monrovia group in May 1961, and the more radical Casablanca group formed in January 1961. Ghana was a vocal member of this radical camp.<sup>85</sup>

Meanwhile, Nkrumah's distrust of civil servants trained under the colonial administration influenced his attitude toward the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Relative to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. It led him to adopt an unorthodox style of diplomacy. In the course of 1960, Nkrumah felt the need for a "new style secretariat" to deal with Ghana's increasing responsibilities in Africa. Relative to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs Secretariat (AAS) as institutions parallel to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. They were considered appropriate agencies for fulfilling his foreign policy objectives. At the same time, there was a rapid expansion in the setting up of overseas diplomatic missions. The cost of such endeavors was criticized by Nkrumah's detractors and critics as a squandering of Ghana's wealth. Omari maintains that Ghana's prosperity was "sacrificed on the altar of Pan-Africanism." Dei-Anang, who was sympathetic to Nkrumah's foreign policy objectives, also considered "the scale of expenditure in the Foreign Service as excessive."

The ramification for the first CIAS was Nkrumah's subsequent support for African liberation movements across the African continent through the apparatus of the BAA, the AAC, and the AAS. The functions of the BAA were to

provide practical assistance to freedom fighters in colonial territories, to offer training, and to provide accommodation via the AAC.<sup>92</sup> The bureau acted as an information-gathering agency, collating information on all aspects of political, economic, and social development from all parts of Africa and also published a number of publications.<sup>93</sup>

The role of the AAS, headed by Michael Dei-Anang, was to deal with relations with independent African nation-states. It was formed from a small division in the Foreign Office, which had previously dealt with all matters relating to Africa. The secretariat became a separate unit under the control of Nkrumah.<sup>94</sup>

Within the field of diplomacy and foreign affairs, these myriad agencies often operated as "wheels within wheels." Inevitably, a number of problems emerged with grave consequences. The most serious was the friction that developed between the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the AAS staff in the ministry soon began to feel undermined. For example, the secretariat often recorded the minutes of meetings with African ambassadors rather than the ministry. Hence, the Minister of Foreign Affairs would often be one step behind the dispatch of official business; he and others felt excluded. Another instance of tension was the duplication of effort and resources, as both the ministry and the secretariat had separate accounts, personnel, and protocol sections. A more grievous source of friction was the perception among some neighboring countries that Ghana was instigating subversion against their governments. A stage was even reached where certain diplomatic representatives were selected by the President from the 'activists' operating within the Bureau, maintains Dei-Anang.

As mentioned earlier, the sudden death of George Padmore in September 1959 brought a change in the direction and focus of the BAA's work. The day-to-day running of the bureau was left to A. K. Barden. Nkrumah had considerable confidence in Barden, for he was "willing to respond at all times to the many demands that were made on his courage and loyalty in the liberation cause." Basner also maintains that Barden was keen to impress on his boss "that the Bureau was the dynamic centre of the African Revolution." However, Barden and the BAA did not cooperate with the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and, consequently, links between the two "were completely dissolved," observes Dei-Anang. In the ministry. Many diplomats within the ministry wanted the secretariat abolished and expressed this view at a conference of diplomats and the secretariat in January 1962. But in Nkrumah's mind, the ministry was "incapable of reacting effectively to his needs. Nkrumah was a man in a hurry, and, in his view, the business of Africa could not wait." 103

In regards to Nkrumah's African policy, some African countries resented and suspected Nkrumah's actions. At the beginning of 1962, the Nigerian Prime Minister Alhaji Sir Abubakar Tafewa Balewa convened a meeting in Lagos to bring two rival ideological groups, the Casablanca and Monrovia, together. The question of who was to be invited to the conference became a dispute, as Balewa had unilaterally decided not to invite a representative of the Algerian provisional government, as it was not a fully independent state. Yet Algeria had participated

fully in the last CIAS in June 1960. Another issue that gave rise to disgruntled feelings was the belief that the Casablanca states had not been consulted in the arrangements of the conference. It was at a meeting of foreign ministers of the Casablanca powers held in Accra on January 20 that the radical states decided not to attend the Lagos conference on the principle of the nonparticipation of Algeria. <sup>104</sup> Their decision caused deep resentment in the Monrovia group. <sup>105</sup>

The head of the AAS, Michael Dei-Anang, submitted a draft proposing the Lagos Conference be postponed until after the Tunis conference of foreign ministers. <sup>106</sup> However, the Nigerians and other African leaders considered the boycott an effort to sabotage the conference orchestrated by Nkrumah. <sup>107</sup>

The Lagos conference took place on January 25–30, 1962, and was attended by 20 African countries. Its most important achievement was that all participants were in agreement on the need for the establishment of a general secretariat to act as an administrative organ and a council of ministers to work out areas of cooperation between states. <sup>108</sup> After the Lagos conference, Nkrumah increased his efforts to create a unified outlook among his contemporaries and, more important, a continental institution for achieving African unity. His role in the formation of the OAU was reflected in his personal communication to all heads of state and "the clever use of propaganda" entailing detailed memorandum. <sup>109</sup> He was supported by Sékou Touré and Emperor Haile Selassie in mobilizing African heads of state for the first summit meeting of the OAU, held in May 1963.

At this historic first summit meeting, Nkrumah gave a lengthy address in which he reiterated his political convictions. <sup>110</sup> He stated, "African Unity is, above all, a political kingdom which can only be gained by political means. The social and economic development of Africa will come only within the political kingdom, not the other way round. The United States of America, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, were the political decisions of revolutionary peoples before they became mighty realities of social power and material wealth." <sup>111</sup> He attacked piecemeal and gradualist approaches to unity. Nkrumah pushed his contemporaries to pledge themselves to an All Africa Committee of Foreign Ministers to be set up to work out a machinery for union government and to work out a continent-wide plan for economic and industrial development for Africa together with a common foreign, monetary, and defense system. <sup>112</sup> In regards to a location for this new institution, Nkrumah proposed Bangui in the Central African Republic. <sup>113</sup>

Kofi Batsa's memoir gives us an interesting insight into the impact Nkrumah's address made on other African heads of state. He maintains,

I sat behind Nkrumah when he spoke to the OAU conference in Addis Ababa in 1963 and I watched the face of the leaders as he left his prepared script and pointing at each in turn, at Haile Selassie, at Tafewa Balewa, at Modibo Keita, at Maga; he said: "If we do not come together, if we do not unite, we shall all be thrown out, all of us one by one—and I also will go." He said "The OAU must face a choice now—we can either move forward to progress through our effective African Union or step backward into stagnation, instability and confusion—an easy prey for foreign intervention, interference and subversion." He got a standing ovation for that speech and although we felt he should have been calmer and that perhaps he had gone too far, his reaction was, "Let me tell them, let me tell them." 114

Nkrumah's zeal was inexorable and he did not agree with his ministers that he should have been more restrained in his address. His sense of optimism at the OAU conference was not borne out by later events. The founding of the OAU masked the desire for regional unity and ideological differences that continued to exist on the African continent. The OAU's charter, signed on May 25, 1963, by the then 31 independent African states, was a compromise between the aspirations of the Casablanca powers and the Monrovia group. In essence, the charter espoused support for the liberation struggles in the Portuguese territories and Southern Rhodesia and armed struggle as a means to achieve national independence. It upheld the principle of "non-interference in the domestic affairs of a member state."

The exclusion of Ghana from the OAU Committee for National Liberation with its headquarters in Dar-es-Salaam was an indication of tensions between Ghana and some of the national liberation movements. Ghana was excluded largely on account of the lobbying of freedom fighters organizations from different parts of Africa who did not want Barden to interfere in their affairs. <sup>116</sup> A committee of nine nations was responsible for creating and administering a "Fighting Fund" to help fund the liberation movements. <sup>117</sup>

However, despite its existence, the OAU was far from being the embodiment of African unity that Nkrumah had envisioned. Nkrumah was, in fact, ideologically isolated at the founding of the OAU. His radical appeal for greater unity was ignored and within Ghana, his appeals for African unity rang hollow in the ears of ordinary Ghanaians who faced declining living standards. Subsequently, his actions were to contribute to many of the impediments that prevented continental unity.

# Obstacles to Building African Unity, 1964–1965

The most significant obstacle to African unity during these years stemmed from Ghana's harboring of political refugees in the country. It became a disputatious matter that threatened to damage the convening of the 1965 OAU summit.<sup>118</sup>

There is a wealth of evidence documenting the conflict between Nkrumah and his neighbors over his harboring of political refugees from Ivory Coast, Niger, Nigeria, Upper Volta, and Togo, who were accommodated by the BAA. 119 Nkrumah's West African neighbors accused him of perpetrating subversion against them. At the 1963 OAU conference, informal discussions between President Houphouet Boigny and Nkrumah took place over the Sanwi dissidents. The Sanwi were an ethnic group straddling the Ghana–Ivory Coast border. Some sought political asylum in Ghana. They had formed a 14-member provisional government on May 14, 1959. Prior to a meeting between the government and the Sanwi on June 29, Padmore had written to Nkrumah expressing his view "that no support should be given to the Provisional Government of the Sanwi Kingdom," and he urged the Sanwi to "address a petition on that line to the UN General Secretary to bring the matter before the General Assembly." 120

Padmore's sudden death three months later meant that Nkrumah lacked a restraining voice of counsel on the Sanwi affair and he continued to allow the Sanwi to stay in Ghana. This caused considerable friction between President Houphouet Boigny and Nkrumah for "[the Sanwi] were not silent or politically discreet guests: they often embarrassed the government by making fantastic claims for their sovereignty in published documents to which they gave extensive publicity in and out of Ghana. Everything possible was done officially to restrain them but with indifferent success," maintains Dei-Anang. 121

The reasons Nkrumah allowed the Sanwi and other dissident groups and individuals to stay in Ghana were political. First, as he explained to Houphouet Boigny, "the existence of refugees in nearly all the Independent African States is a manifestation of the artificial barriers imposed by the imperialists and colonialists upon Africa, thereby creating disunity." <sup>122</sup> Second, "there is no doubt, however, that Nkrumah used the presence of political refugees for bargaining purposes with other African leaders." <sup>123</sup> In short, "It must be said however, that the presence of these political refugees in Ghana did much to destroy harmonious relations between Ghana and other African states," maintains Dei-Anang. <sup>124</sup>

Similarly, the case of the three Nigerian fugitives—Sam Ikoku, Ayo Adebanjo, and James Aluko, who had been in Ghana since 1960—gave rise to conflict between Ghana and Nigeria. Nigeria accused Ghana of subversive activities designed to undermine the government of Sir Tafewa Balewa. 125 Ghana's high commissioner to Nigeria, J. Owusu-Ansah, was considered to have flouted "the customary practice of ethics of diplomats accredited to a friendly country." 126 This incident arose when the high commissioner vociferously denied Ghana's involvement in the abortive attempt on the life of President Hamani Diori of Niger. His statements were widely reported in the Nigerian press and caused a stir in the country.

Nkrumah's troubled relations with Togo originated in the 1950s over the question of unification with the Gold Coast. In addition, Nkrumah's support for the pro-CPP Togolese opposition party, Juvento, which had split from the Togoland Congress Party led by Olympio, contributed to hostile relations. Exacerbating this state of affairs was the allegation that military equipment had been stored on the Togolese side of the border during the Ahwaitey scandal in 1958. From 1962 onward, Ghanaian political dissidents such as Busia sought refuge in the Togolese capital, where, according to Thompson, they received presidential treatment. This contributed to the friction between the two countries. 127 However, the real source of conflict was President Olympio's resistance to Nkrumah's overtures of political unification with Ghana during the postindependence period. Olympio preferred closer union with the French community and a West African federation with his neighbors Nigeria and Dahomey. Nkrumah and Olympio met on June 11, 1960, in Accra. However, nothing concrete came out of their meeting. Olympio was keen to secure a customs union. 128 According to Nugent, the Nkrumah government sought to put pressure on Olympio to accept a union of some kind by enforcing stricter currency controls.<sup>129</sup> The calculation was that Togo's dependence on Ghana for manufactured goods would force Togo to recognize the unviability of artificial borders. But "the reality was that the border was altogether too porous" for Ghana's attempted economic blockade.<sup>130</sup>

Apart from hostilities in bilateral relations exacerbating Nkrumah's relations with his West African neighbors, there was also the trust he placed in Barden. Delegation of power to individuals who were not entirely men of integrity produced enormous problems for Nkrumah. "Because [Barden] was an ignorant adventurer and not [a] politically-conscious Pan-Africanist, he filled the Bureau with venal and dangerous adventurers who constituted a menace to freedom fighters in particular and to Nkrumah's Pan-African policies in general," claims Basner. 131 He would often play off one political group against another as a result of the considerable patronage he wielded as director of the bureau. He was accountable to no one, except Nkrumah. In the view of Makonnen, Nkrumah was not only indifferent to Barden's adventurism but "there was a Machiavellian feel to government. Literally. The Prince would be there on Kwame's office table, and he was versed in it like the Bible. It meant that he played one group off against another."132 It seems Barden merely imitated his boss. Moreover, Barden's reputation was well known in CPP and diplomatic circles. He escaped criticism, for it was assumed that he acted with the authorization of the president and to criticize would be to undermine the prestige and question the judgment of the president. 133

Another source of conflict appeared during the July 1964 second summit conference of the OAU, hosted by Cairo. President Julius Nyerere of Tanzania entered into an ideological duel with Nkrumah over their opposing views on how African unity could be attained. The speeches of Nkrumah and Nyerere at the OAU summit reflected the polemical political discourse on Pan-Africanism over the most viable approach toward the attainment of African unity. While Nkrumah was clearly the leading advocate of African Union Government, Nyerere was "the most eloquent exponent of the gradualist approach." Mwalimu ("teacher"), as Nyerere was popularly referred to, believed that a United States of Africa could not be achieved in one step and could not happen overnight. He argued it was a process, for "it has not been given to us human mortals to simply will things into existence. Between our willing of an end and the achievement of that end there is a process. This process is sometimes long and sometimes short, and indeed the greater the objective the longer may be the process," he argued. 136

Nyerere made a stinging attack on Nkrumah when he accused him of employing the notion of union government for propaganda purposes. He declared, "I am becoming increasingly convinced that we are divided between those who genuinely want a continental Government and will patiently work for its realization, removing obstacles, one by one; and those who simply use the phrase 'Union Government' for the purpose of propaganda."<sup>137</sup> Moreover, he went on to question Nkrumah's repudiation of the East African Federation as contrary to African unity. <sup>138</sup> For Nyerere, "To rule out a step by step progress towards African Unity is to hope that the Almighty will one day say, 'Let there be unity in Africa,' and there shall be unity."<sup>139</sup> Furthermore, "to say that the step by step method was invented by the imperialists is to reach the limits of absurdity."<sup>140</sup> Nkrumah had met his intellectual equal at the OAU summit of 1964. "It was, in all," claims

Agyeman, "a spirited performance that left the objective of a Union Government bleeding to death on the floor of the Cairo conference hall, speared, as it were, by Nyerere's flashing verbalism." <sup>141</sup>

The root cause of the polemic derived from Nkrumah's and Nyerere's conflicting perspectives on national independence. When Nyerere founded the Tanganyika National Union (TANU) in 1954, he foresaw a fifty-year struggle for independence (which was attained in 1961), while Nkrumah's position on the nationalist struggle was radically antithetical. Nkrumah had advocated "Self-Government Now!" For Nyerere, each nation-state had to develop its own economy, institutions, and nationalism, while for Nkrumah there were limits to nationalism.

Nkrumah's address emphasized the urgent necessity for the acceptance, at least in principle, of the idea of setting up a union government for Africa. In his speech, he lamented "the economic subservience of many African countries." He insisted he did not "spurn foreign trade" but rather implored his contemporaries to "organise [the] African economy as a unit." 142 He reviewed the myriad problems Africa had experienced during the last year, such as clashes between Algeria and Morocco, between Somalia and Ethiopia, Somalia and Kenya, and military upheavals and mutinies in Tanganyika, Uganda, and Kenya, along with the tragedy in the Congo. He therefore cautioned, "The Balkan States of Europe are a lesson for us."143 In his customary passionate and uncompromising language, Nkrumah declared, "To say that a Union Government for Africa is premature is to sacrifice Africa on the altar of neo-colonialism." <sup>144</sup> Furthermore, he considered that a Union Government for Africa "does not mean the abrogation of any sovereignty" but common action in the fields of defense, foreign policy, and economic development that would empower Africa and African peoples as a whole. He upheld that "the appeal for a Union Government of Africa is therefore not being made merely to satisfy a political end. It is absolutely indispensable for our economic survival in this modern world of ours."145

The consensus of opinion at the meeting was that Nkrumah's proposal was not only premature but, in the opinion of Ahmed Ben Bella, was considered at the time to be "pie in the sky." There was also a consensus of opinion that favored economic cooperation as opposed to Nkrumah's political union. It was decided by the majority to refer Nkrumah's radical proposal for the establishment of Union Government for Africa to the OAU's specialized commission for study. But this decision was an obvious attempt at "tactical side-stepping." <sup>147</sup>

It was members of the Ghanaian delegation who proposed the next summit be hosted in Accra, without considering whether Ghana possessed the necessary facilities to convene such a meeting. However, to resolve this problem, Nkrumah approved the building of a brand-new OAU complex with accommodation and conference facilities, known as "Job 600." The entire project cost the country £8 million and was completed at breakneck speed. It was yet another example of Nkrumah's financial indiscipline and extravagance, particularly when "there was no foreign exchange to import flour or sugar, when internal inflation had robbed workers and wage earners of most of their earnings." According to Basner, it was a sign that "Nkrumah was losing his grip on political realities."

Furthermore, it was evidence of the immense tension between Nkrumah's neglect of domestic issues and his grand foreign policy objectives for Africa.

Nkrumah "had been gravely disturbed by the failure of the conference to endorse his union government proposals for Africa. He was glad of the opportunity for yet another attempt at the Conference in Accra." Yet the issue of political refugees in Ghana became an obstacle to Ghana's convening of the 1965 OAU summit. A number of African leaders, from the 13-member Organisation Commune Africaine et Malgache (OCAM) states, threatened to boycott the Accra summit unless Nkrumah deported the political refugees residing in Ghana.

As the success of the OAU summit was paramount, Nkrumah did not wish for his treasured agenda of Union Government for Africa to be jeopardized. Nkrumah, therefore, agreed on the eve of the OAU summit to deport the refugees in a joint communiqué on October 13, 1965.<sup>153</sup> Nkrumah, Presidents Houphouet-Boigny, Yameogo, and Diori signed the communiqué under the chairmanship of President Modibo Keita of Mali. The document settled the differences between them in the spirit of the principles affirmed by the OAU charter, in particular those relating to noninterference in the internal affairs of other states and friendship among states. Independent OAU observers confirmed the deportations.<sup>154</sup> Nevertheless, the OCAM group remained dissatisfied and decided to absent themselves. However, the summit went ahead and opened on October 21.<sup>155</sup>

The underlying thrust of Nkrumah's opening speech at the 1965 OAU conference was predictable. Despite the unfolding problems on the African continent, specifically the escalating rebellion in Southern Rhodesia and the issue of political refugees in Africa, both were simply a confirmation for Nkrumah of the necessity for a Union Government for Africa. 156 Nkrumah spoke in his usual emphatic tone and stated it was "necessary to strengthen the Charter of the OAU by providing an effective machinery" in the form of an executive council of the OAU to act as an arm of the Assembly of the Heads of State and Government. The council's responsibilities would be to implement the decisions of the Assembly of Heads of State and Government. 157 He ended on the note that "a United Africa is destined to be a great force in world affairs." 158 Unfortunately for Nkrumah, the two-third vote needed to establish a council was never obtained.<sup>159</sup> Overall, the Ghanaian press reported the summit as a success. However, in hindsight "it was a failure," claims Julius Nyerere. 160 For Nyerere, the failure lay in the inability to "even discuss a mechanism for pursuing the objective of a politically united Africa."161

On a more positive note, the summit discussed the crisis in Rhodesia and called on the British government to suspend the 1961 constitution and take over the administration of the country. The deteriorating situation in Southern Rhodesia seriously damaged Ghana's relations with Britain. It is to these relations we now turn.

#### Nkrumah, the Western World, and the Soviet Union

Nkrumah's policy of nonalignment and positive neutrality in the context of superpower rivalry and conflict was a delicate balancing act to maintain. Armah writes in his memoir that "there was considerable difficulty in making non-alignment work." Since the Bandung conference of 1955, Nkrumah had committed himself to nonalignment and what he termed "positive neutrality." Nonalignment presupposed the existence of opposing power blocs, separated by antagonistic ideological perspectives and interests. The nonaligned position advocated participation in world affairs with a view to influencing the two power blocs in the Cold War to modify their outlooks. It also upheld nonpartisanship in conflicts between the superpowers. Positive neutrality upheld the principle that small and weaker nation-states could contract out of world affairs to safeguard their own national interests and existence.

Ghana's nonaligned stance enabled Nkrumah to express freedom of action in its foreign policy and to draw on both world powers for technical and material aid. 163 Nkrumah believed he could maintain relations with both the Western powers and the Soviet Union and keep the ideological conflicts of the Great Powers out of Ghana and Africa. Prior to the escalation in the Southern Rhodesia crisis between 1963 and 1965, Nkrumah's relations with Britain were amicable. "Nkrumah shared great interest in Commonwealth meetings, which he usually attended in person," maintains Dei-Anang. 164 Nkrumah initially thought highly of the Commonwealth as "an association of free and independent sovereign states, equal in all respects and bound together by a common drive to work together for the good and well being of its members."165 He also considered it a valuable and important platform in which to advance African interests and project an African perspective on global issues such as peace and security. His interventions at Commonwealth meetings "were always vigorous, and calculated to elicit support for the African cause within the Commonwealth," claims Dei-Anang. 166 He also had a highly competent ambassador at the UN, Alex Quaison-Sackey, who contributed significantly to the increase in Ghana's prestige and influence at the UN, particularly when Quaison-Sackey was elected president of the General Assembly.

S. E. Quarm, one of Nkrumah's diplomats, observes, "In spite of his anti-colonialist diatribe even Nkrumah did not find it possible to rid himself of sentimental attachment to Britain the archetype of colonialism, for how else could one explain the avidity and alacrity with which he accepted to be Privy Councillor to the Queen?" 167 Such was the ambiguity of Nkrumah's character.

It was after his trip to the Eastern bloc that a number of embassies were opened in Eastern European capitals. <sup>168</sup> Sensitive to East-West tensions and Ghana's national interests, it appears Nkrumah never visited East Germany during his 1961 tour. This was because "the Western German representative in Ghana had made it clear that this would be regarded by his government as a hostile act." <sup>169</sup> Nkrumah duly complied with the Federal Republic of Germany's wishes in order to obtain a £2 million loan to build a second bridge over the Volta River. <sup>170</sup>

Ghana's relations with the Soviet Union were formally developed in May 1960 when Kojo Botsio led a high-ranking delegation to Moscow. According to Thompson, there was a convergence of interests that brought Russia and Ghana together. For the Russians, deterioration in relations with Guinea led them to seek a new friend in Africa, while for Ghana "potential pressure groups," particularly within the foreign service (and later within the CPP), "wished to balance links with the West with new ones in the East, out of a genuine commitment to non-alignment." 172

Another reason for establishing links with the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) was an insurance against Nkrumah's apprehension if negotiations with the United States over the Volta River Project (VRP) failed; he hoped to find an alternative source of capital in Moscow. This tour of the Soviet Union in the summer of 1961 made a profound impression on him. Politically, the USSR stood as a successful example of the amalgamation of many different nationalities despite uneven levels of economic and social development. The ideological influence of the USSR also bore heavily on Nkrumah, as well as the notion of state planning, which he had adopted in his July 1961 budget and integrated in the Seven Year Plan before his trip. The self-confidence of the left wing within the CPP also increased as a result of this visit. For Western diplomats, however, Nkrumah's visit to the East did little to reassure them that Ghana remained nonaligned. In Western diplomatic circles, the world was viewed via a Cold War prism and many Westerners "overacted" to Nkrumah's visit to the Eastern bloc. 174

US government officials in an era of Cold War competition were intent on maintaining Ghana's allegiance to the West in the immediate aftermath of Ghana's independence. Relations with the United States were initially also amicable from the time of independence to the unfolding of the Congo crisis in 1960. As Mahoney contends, the level of amicability was reflected in Nkrumah's denial of landing rights to the Russians during the 1962 Cuban missile crisis. The United States did not interpret Nkrumah's conduct in the Congo "as a matter of Pan-Africanism" that it was. Rather for the Americans, it confirmed Nkrumah's communist and pro-Russian affiliations. During this period, Nkrumah had successfully courted American foreign capital for his most ambitious economic project, the VRP.

With the assassination of Lumumba in January 1961, Nkrumah viewed the Americans and Belgians as complicit in the murder of his ideological colleague. Subsequently, American support for the Katanga government of Moise Tshombe was among the many issues that divided Accra and Washington in the early 1960s. With the murder of Lumumba, a souring of relations seemed likely to affect Nkrumah's negotiations with the United States on the VRP, particularly as Nkrumah believed the CIA were complicit in the murder. However, the Unites States was mindful that any withdrawal would turn Nkrumah to a Soviet embrace.<sup>178</sup> Despite Nkrumah's deep-seated suspicions of the Americans, Nkrumah's government accepted 52 American Peace Corps volunteers on August 30, 1961.<sup>179</sup> Ghana was the first African country to accept the young Americans, whose task was to teach English and French to Ghanaian schoolchildren. Some

undertook geological surveys in the country. Nkrumah accepted the volunteers due to the vacuum created in Ghana's educational system with the departure of British teachers when Ghana acquired republican status in 1960.

After the assassination by Togolese soldiers of President Sylvanus Olympio in January 1963, the US government was inclined to believe that Nkrumah was the mastermind behind the conspiracy; relations deteriorated and Nkrumah threatened to expel the Peace Corps. However, he never carried out his threat.<sup>180</sup>

Other issues that exacerbated Ghana relations were, according to Thompson, Nkrumah's belief that the Americans were deliberately seeking to undermine his plans for the 1965 OAU summit. According to Batsa, a senior Mauritanian minister informed him that the Americans sought to isolate Nkrumah on the African stage.<sup>181</sup>

The publication of Nkrumah's book *Neo-colonialism* in 1965 marked the deterioration in relations between the two countries. The US State Department reacted with hostility to the book and considered it "anti-American in tone." The exchange between US ambassador Mennen Williams and Ghana's ambassador to the United States, Miguel Augustus Ribeiro, marked an all-time low in Ghana-US relations. Williams sent a telegram to Ribeiro in November 1965, condemning the book. He did not accept the argument that Nkrumah was attacking a system and not the American president and government. 183

An issue that led to deterioration in relations between Nkrumah and Britain was political developments in Southern Africa. For some time, Nkrumah had followed political developments in the southern region of the continent. He viewed the Rhodesian problem in the context of the wider African revolutionary struggle to eliminate all forms of oppression and exploitation, and particularly the question of racist and minority governments in central and southern Africa. He upheld that the Commonwealth could not avoid the racialist situation in Southern Rhodesia, South West Africa, or South Africa. 185

Nkrumah sought to put pressure on the British government of Harold Wilson and on the OAU to bring majority rule in Southern Rhodesia. The means he used to achieve this were largely through diplomacy. At the 1965 Accra OAU summit, the heads of state and government had unanimously resolved that the situation in Southern Rhodesia constituted a serious threat to world peace. They called upon the UN "to regard any such declaration of unilateral independence as a threat to international peace and to take steps . . . in accordance with the [UN] charter to help to establish a majority government in Southern Rhodesia." In addition, the government of the United Kingdom was encouraged "to suspend the 1961 constitution of Southern Rhodesia," to release the political leaders of the nationalist movements, and "to hold a constitutional conference with the participation of the representatives of the entire population of Southern Rhodesia with a view to adopting a new Constitution guaranteeing universal adult suffrage, free elections and independence."186 Nkrumah adhered to the position of the OAU and on October 31-six days after the Accra OAU summit ended, British Prime Minister Harold Wilson met with Nkrumah at Accra airport. Nkrumah made it known to Wilson that he was opposed to the British government's proposal of a royal commission to elicit the views of all Rhodesians, and considered it a delaying tactic. 187 At the meeting, Wilson made it clear that the British government would not use military power to achieve a solution to Rhodesia's constitutional problems, and considered that Rhodesian Africans should unite to work out a constitutional settlement. He proposed economic sanctions as a means of helping to resolve the political crisis.

The crisis in the country reached a climax when the Ian Smith regime proclaimed Universal Declaration of Independence (UDI) on November 11, 1965. Following this, Nkrumah sent a memorandum, dated November 19, to the heads of states of Congo Brazzaville, Sudan, Uganda, Tanzania, Zambia, and Guinea calling for the urgent formation of an African High Command to remove the illegal minority regime of Southern Rhodesia. He also called for the African states to agree to a "Treaty of Mutual Defence and Security." On November 25, Nkrumah called for the UN to authorize the use of force to end the rebellion. He proposed that, as in the Congo crisis of 1960, African states contribute troops for such a mission. Guinea was the only country that supported Ghana and was equally willing to place troops at the disposal of the UN or OAU. This development also demonstrated that by 1965, Nkrumah's influence on his contemporaries had waned. His radical proposals had been spurned.

The UDI brought about a showdown between Ghana and Britain, for on December 11, 1965, Nkrumah presented the British prime minister with an ultimatum: "If by the 15 December 1965, Britain has not taken any positive and effective action to crush the rebellion in Southern Rhodesia, then member states [of the OAU] should sever diplomatic relations with the United Kingdom." Nkrumah wrote, "Ghana's position, is that sanctions alone are not adequate unless they are backed by Britain's military intervention." Consequently, Nkrumah broke diplomatic relations with Britain in December 1965.

At the same time as Nkrumah made efforts to help liberate the peoples of Southern Rhodesia, he was also committed to help end the conflict in Vietnam. The Vietnam War had been discussed at the Commonwealth Prime Ministers' Conference in June 1965. At this meeting, it was agreed to send a mission to Vietnam comprising heads of government of the United Kingdom, Ghana, Nigeria, Trinidad, and Tobago to explore the prospects of peace. <sup>191</sup> Nkrumah's desire to intervene was also driven by a personal invitation from President Ho Chi Minh to visit Hanoi. <sup>192</sup> The invitation arrived in July 1965. It was while on this mission in February 1966 that Nkrumah was deposed from power.

# **CHAPTER 10**

# Nkrumah in Exile, 1966–1972

The focus of this chapter is to examine the evolution of Nkrumah's political thought during the last years of his life. There is a discernible radicalization and advancement in Nkrumah's intellectual thought between 1966 and 1972. Labeling himself as both a "Marxist" and "scientific socialist," he began to connect what he defined as an African revolution to a world revolutionary socialist struggle. He abandoned the constitutional path to independence and began to adopt revolutionary armed struggle as the only solution to Africa's myriad problems. The unfolding social and political struggles in Vietnam, Latin America, and the social unrest in America's black cities profoundly impacted his thinking. Nkrumah increasingly advocated the necessity for oppressed peoples around the world to operate in international solidarity to eliminate capitalism, neocolonialism, and imperialism. Also, during this period, Nkrumah deepened his understanding of the class contradictions of African society and wrote several books and articles. Toward the end of his life, he rejected the concepts of "the Third World" and "nonalignment." Gradually, he became disillusioned with both the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) and the Commonwealth—institutions he had once been keenly associated with. However, Nkrumah continued to misjudge individuals and reality, which led him to erroneously believe he would return to Ghana via a countercoup.

# The 1966 Coup d'État

The leading conspirators in the overthrow of Nkrumah were the top echelons of the Ghanaian police and army. Among the key figures were J. W. K. Harlley, commissioner of police; B. A. Yakubu, deputy commissioner; A. K. Deku, head of Ghana's police criminal investigation department (CID); General J. A. Ankrah; Lieutenant Colonel E. K. Kotoka; and Captain A. A. Afrifa. The decision to carry out the coup, known as "Operation Cold Chop," was made during the period from

September 1965 to February 1966 by Harlley, Deku, Ocran, and Kotoka.<sup>2</sup> On February 15, the police chiefs met to fix the date.<sup>3</sup> Harlley was the most important single figure in the execution of the plan. As Baynham observes, he was in a position of trust and was "an expert in the protection of the regime."<sup>4</sup> He had at his disposal intimate knowledge of Nkrumah's security apparatus and he had developed a skillful communications system between himself and the army.

The coup plotters had several motives. Primarily, the regular army considered the establishment of the Presidential Own Guard Regiment (POGR) and the Presidential Detail Department (PDD) with its alternative security apparatus, all run from the president's office, as a direct threat to their existence. The army also resented the reorganization of the police force following the January 1964 assassination attempt on Nkrumah and the dismissal of senior officers. Added to this was the introduction of the Police Service Act in April 1965, which gave Nkrumah the sole authority to appoint and dismiss staff within the police force.

In addition to this deep-seated disenchantment with Nkrumah, in December 1965 Nkrumah had ordered an inquiry into diamond smuggling operations involving a European diamond dealer and a number of Ghanaians. Both Harlley and Deku were implicated in the scandal. It was rumored—days before the coup—that on Nkrumah's return from Vietnam, he would have arrested his police chiefs for complicity in the scandal. The execution of the coup enabled Harlley and Deku to evade exposure and possible incarceration.

Nkrumah's own personal and political analysis of the coup was presented in his book *Dark Days in Ghana*, published in 1968. He contextualized the coup in what he considered as the disturbing emergence of 15 armed mutinies and military takeovers that had taken place in the African continent between 1962 and March 1967. He saw the *coup d'état* in Ghana as the product of an alliance between neocolonial forces in the army and police force in collusion with imperialist interests. Nkrumah believed that the higher echelons of the police service and the army were politically hostile to the new Ghana, and in these circumstances he had considered it necessary to establish a new security service that would be independent of the police force. He acknowledged awareness of the personal hostility toward him with the sacking of Police Chief Madjitey in 1964 and the dismissals of Generals Otu and Ankrah in July 1965.

Baynham argues that Nkrumah had made a number of fundamental mistakes. First, while in power, "he failed to penetrate the army significantly"; second, "he underestimated the alienation from the regime of his regular officers"; and "most important, he failed to develop the Presidential Guard rapidly enough to neutralise the army." These miscalculations on the part of Nkrumah stemmed not only from the trust and loyalty he demanded from those within his new security apparatus and from the POGR, which flawed his thinking, but also from his overconfidence in their capacity. Also, by the end of 1965 he had become far removed from political and economic realities in the country. He had grievously underestimated the alienation of the police and army. He was also convinced of the necessity to visit Ho Chi Minh. These two issues profoundly clouded his judgment and determined his course of action. Furthermore, Nkrumah's most

serious misjudgment was his belief that "in a larger sense the coup d'etat has made it plain that the Convention People's Party (CPP) can no longer follow the path of the old line. It must develop a new and reformed revolutionary leadership which must come from the broad mass of the Party."<sup>13</sup> He went on to write, "There is now a genuinely revolutionary situation in Ghana." He was of the opinion that "while the present is dark, the future is bright."<sup>14</sup> Nkrumah's optimism and analysis were fundamentally imprudent and were to continue to mislead him. It was his failure to act on his promises to root out corruption within the party, civil service, and wider society, after his famous "Dawn Broadcast" of April 8, 1961, that was a critical factor contributing to the "beginning of Nkrumah's end."<sup>15</sup> However, it could be argued that the origins of the moribund nature of the CPP had occurred as far back as the 1956 Jibowu Commission of Enquiry into the affairs of the Cocoa Purchasing Company (CPC), which led to the dismissal of its managing director, A. Y. Djin, who was found to be using the CPC for corrupt purposes.

Nkrumah believed Western imperialist interests were responsible for the "economic squeeze" imposed on Ghana by the artificial forcing down of the price of cocoa. <sup>16</sup> The International Monetary Fund's refusal to grant credit guarantees in 1965 was also part of a strategy to destroy his government. He concluded that if Africa was to survive, the waging of a socialist revolution in Africa and the establishment of an All African Union government in Africa was paramount.

Dark Days in Ghana is an insufficiently critical self-reflection by Nkrumah. In short, he failed to engage in a searching self-analysis or to examine the policies and actions he pursued while in power and the consequences they unleashed. Such an analysis is lacking in critique of the contribution of internal conditions and factors that generated the coup. Nkrumah's only regret is that he did not abolish the Special Branch at independence, for he considered it a typically British creation. Nevertheless, it is difficult to see how the abolition of the Special Branch would have prevented the February coup. Overall, there is no doubt that the causes of the coup d'état lie in the balance of internal and external origins. The indiscriminate use of the Preventive Detention Act (PDA) by CPP members; the imposition of single-party rule in 1964; hurried economic planning and overspending on projects, which often led to the government resorting to heavy borrowing from abroad while unscrupulous individuals engaged in malpractice and corruption; crippling internal taxation; Nkrumah's alienation from a disenfranchised electorate; the neglect of the army, as well Nkrumah's sporadic interference with the army's internal affairs, including sacking of senior police officials and promotions—these formed the salient constellation of factors that brought about Nkrumah's demise.

The critical external factor was the undoubted involvement of several Western powers in the overthrow.<sup>17</sup> In 2001, newly released US government files reveal that the United States, Britain, and France were complicit in the overthrow. According to journalist Paul Lee, "formerly classified Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), National Security Council (NSC) and US State Department documents confirm long-held suspicions of US involvement in the coup d'etat that overthrew

Nkrumah's government on February 24 1966." The memoranda reveal that the plans between the three Western countries went back to February 1964 when the State Department proposed to its British counterpart a plan "to induce a chain reaction eventually leading to Nkrumah's downfall."

In the wake of the coup, the police and the army immediately set up the National Liberation Council (NLC) and quickly destroyed the old CPP. Major General Ankrah, who had been forced into retirement by Nkrumah, was reinstated and promoted to lieutenant general.<sup>20</sup> The deposed president was convinced that his demise was caused by antirevolutionary forces and it was against these that he strategized from exile.

#### Life in Guinea

When the *coup d'état* took place, Nkrumah was on his way to Hanoi on a peace mission to assist in bringing an end to the Vietnam War. He had been invited by the North Vietnamese leader, Ho Chi Minh. The *coup d'état* made Nkrumah immediately abort his planned visit. He decided to go to Guinea-Conakry. His decision was based on three factors: First, there were strong bonds of unity between the two countries based on the Ghana-Guinea-Mali Union of 1960. Second, its geographical proximity—some three hundred miles from Ghana—made it highly desirable. Lastly, Nkrumah wrote, "from Guinea I knew I would be in a good position to carry on the African revolutionary struggle." However, he did not consider himself to be in exile, for he later wrote, "Every country and town in Africa is my home." 22

Nkrumah arrived in Guinea on March 2, 1966, and was given a welcoming reception in the capital.<sup>23</sup> President Sékou Touré made a lengthy welcoming speech, but as Nkrumah's understanding of French was poor, he was not aware that Touré had appointed him copresident of Guinea.<sup>24</sup> It was not until the end of the address that a shocked Nkrumah was told the full import of Sékou Touré's action via translation.

Nkrumah and his entourage were accommodated at a large villa called Villa Syli.<sup>25</sup> It was to become Nkrumah's residence for the remainder of his life. Close to the airport and the sea, it was an old-style two-story colonial building. Nkrumah used the first floor of the house as a private dwelling area and the top part as an office and accommodation for his security personnel. He quickly established a daily schedule with the practical assistance of President Sékou Touré, who arranged for the equipping of his office, transportation, and his domestic needs. Sana Camara, an experienced Guinean diplomat who had served in Ghana and had a good command of English, was appointed as Nkrumah's protocol officer and interpreter. He liaised with Nkrumah's security and the Guinean security personnel. He also attended to the general administration of the villa. Camara was a dedicated individual who worked long hours and was instrumental in ensuring resources to fulfill Nkrumah's plans. For example, it was Camara who organized the setting up of an efficient radio station at the villa and for an electric generator to be installed.<sup>26</sup>

There was simplicity to Nkrumah's daily schedule. As was customary, he only needed a mere four hours sleep, after which he would awake to perform yoga exercises for 45 minutes.<sup>27</sup> He ate a light breakfast of grapefruit and a little cereal. Nyamikeh, a relative of Nkrumah, was his personal assistant, while Amoah, his cook for 16 years, prepared Nkrumah's meals. "He was not a big eater at all. He ate sparingly," remarks Lamine Janha.<sup>28</sup> He would be at his desk in his office by the early hours of the morning—his preferred time to work—while sipping a fruit drink to sustain him.<sup>29</sup> Before lunch, he enjoyed a game of chess, which he played with his secretary, Sarfo, or at times with the young Lamine Janha.<sup>30</sup> He would then eat his favorite meal: palm nut soup, snails, fish, and fufu (the Ghanaian national dish of pounded cassava and yam).<sup>31</sup>

After lunch he would sometimes have a siesta or he would read. He was an avid reader and made requests for many of the books he read.<sup>32</sup> Individuals from around the world and particularly his research assistant, June Milne, loyally supplied his book requests. After his siesta, he would often be preoccupied with responding to cables, messages, and receiving visitors. In the evening, he usually ate a very light snack. He enjoyed the occasional Cadbury chocolate biscuit.<sup>33</sup> He would fast every Friday for purely health reasons and for mental discipline. The day would end in discussions with his entourage, ambassadors of socialist embassies in Conakry, or individuals from the African liberation movements.<sup>34</sup> He regularly listened to the BBC World Service or sat on the verandah by the sea.<sup>35</sup> At times the Korean, Chinese, and Cuban embassy officials based in Conakry would come and show movies.<sup>36</sup> Before he slept, Nkrumah would "power walk" around the compound as a form of exercise in the company of Lamine Janha.<sup>37</sup> As a nightcap, he would eat a few raisins, nuts, and a wholemeal biscuit with a cup of powdered skimmed milk.

Sometimes, he pursued one of his very few hobbies and planted his favorite flowers, roses, in pots around the villa. On some occasions, Nkrumah would pass the time listening to speeches on vinyl records by Stokely Carmichael, the radical African American and former leader of the Black Power Movement in the United States, or to the late Malcolm X, the charismatic spokesman of the Nation of Islam.<sup>38</sup> Also, soon after his arrival in Conakry, Nkrumah took up French lessons with a tutor and became proficient in the language.<sup>39</sup> He also made use of Julia Wright's translation skills, as she spoke fluent French and came to stay in Conakry.<sup>40</sup> She would often translate letters, messages, and newspaper articles for Nkrumah.<sup>41</sup>

Nkrumah established a well-run and self-sufficient base at the villa. "It was a Spartan, disciplined, all-male environment," maintains Milne.<sup>42</sup> Even his entourage was organized into committees to administer to activities within the villa. There were political meetings known as "work-study."<sup>43</sup> His few female visitors were Madam Andree Touré, wife of President Touré; June Milne; and Hanna Reitsch, whose unexpected April 1966 visit caused uproar.<sup>44</sup> She was initially considered to be a security threat to Nkrumah. Because she was a German who had acquired notoriety during the Second World War, there were suspicions among the Guinean intelligence service that she was a Nazi. However, she had

established a flying school at Afienya in Ghana for Nkrumah and when her motives were discovered to be genuine, she was allowed to see Nkrumah. <sup>45</sup> One woman Nkrumah refused to see was his former mistress, Genoveva Kanu, who also made an unannounced arrival. She was friend of the famous South African singer Miriam Makeba. Makeba had married Stokely Carmichael, and the couple had moved to Guinea in 1968. Shortly after, Kanu visited the couple and tried to see Nkrumah. However, Nkrumah refused to see her. His reasons are unknown. <sup>46</sup>

During the five years Nkrumah spent in Conakry, he refused to allow his wife and family to visit him. He was initially fervently optimistic that he would return to Ghana, where the family would eventually be reunited.<sup>47</sup> Yet Nkrumah's stoical qualities perhaps concealed a profound pride. He was powerless and no longer head of state. He maintained correspondence with Fathia and inquired about the children's progress and health. He also sent photographs and expressed to Fathia that the family would soon be reunited in Ghana.<sup>48</sup> After Nkrumah's death, Mrs. Nkrumah stated in an interview with the Ghanaian *Daily Graphic* that her husband feared that she and the children might be hijacked on their way to or from Guinea and that she and Nkrumah had decided not to see each other for the sake of the children.<sup>49</sup>

Visitors to Villa Syli included the leader of the African Party for the Independence of Guinea and the Cape Verde Islands (PAIGC), Amilcar Cabral, John Marshment, and Roland Randall, who were the printers of the magazine *Africa and the World*.<sup>50</sup> Nkrumah also spent a great deal of time responding to the hundreds of letters he received from sympathetic individuals around the world.<sup>51</sup> He refused to receive newspaper, radio, or television reporters who constantly approached him during his first few years in Conakry, particularly from Western media outlets.<sup>52</sup> His position was that he was not prepared to help them sell their newspapers when they had celebrated his downfall.<sup>53</sup>

Security around Nkrumah from the Ghanaian and Guinean security forces was tight. There were naval patrols on the shore near to the villa and armed Guinean soldiers guarded the gates. <sup>54</sup> Though Nkrumah attempted to learn to drive while he stayed in Conakry, he was never successful and was driven on his rare visits outside the villa in a Mercedes Benz provided by President Sékou Touré. <sup>55</sup> His major preoccupation was attending to plans to return to Ghana. This project became known as "Operation Positive Action." Hence, he gave his utmost attention to groups and individuals who would assist him in executing this operation.

# "Operation Positive Action"

From the moment of Nkrumah's arrival in Conakry in 1966 to August 1970, he closely monitored events in Ghana via newspaper reports and particularly from individuals who claimed to be organizing a countercoup to restore him to power. As Milne observes, "Nkrumah's firm belief that he would return to Ghana was strengthened by the flow of mail and messages of support he received." 56

On the ninth anniversary of Ghana's independence, Nkrumah made a broadcast to the Ghanaian people on Radio Guinea's "Voice of the Revolution." He

made 15 further broadcasts between March and December 1966 in which he denounced the NLC and encouraged Ghanaians to resist the military junta. It was not until 1968 that he openly called for "Positive Action" to overthrow the NLC. Meanwhile, from the moment of his arrival in Guinea, he actively supported a number of clandestine operations to return him to office. These activities involved a number of individuals. Many of them were considered "braggarts" and alleged "opportunists." Among them were businessmen W. Baidoo-Ansah and Arthur Nzeribe, of Ghanaian and Nigerian nationality, respectively. When Nkrumah first arrived in Conakry, he received limited funds from friendly socialist governments and African governments. But these soon diminished and he relied on the small financial resources accrued from the royalties he earned from the publication of his books from his London-based account to finance such missions. Both Lamine Janha and Stokely Carmichael were witnesses to the several individuals who visited Nkrumah and proposed missions to depose the NLC. 60

In his autobiography, Carmichael castigates some of the individuals who approached Nkrumah as "hustlers, taking money for missions that never happened." Carmichael was nominated to lead one such mission by a small youth group that had formed around Nkrumah. The "Old Man," as the youth referred to Nkrumah, "looked surprised" when Carmichael informed him of the plan to attack the Ghanaian parliament. He maintains the youth group received logistical and practical support in the form of passports and equipment from President Sékou Touré. The execution of the plan was not made known to Nkrumah until after it was carried out. Overall, the impact of the bombing was insignificant. The exuberant youth had merely proved to the Old Man they were capable of such a small-scale operation. However, Carmichael noted that "[Nkrumah] then completely froze all activity after that." In hindsight, Carmichael believed that Nkrumah's brake on such operations was on account of the fact that Nkrumah had been aware, long before the diagnosis of his illness was discovered around 1970–71, that it would prevent him from returning to Ghana.

In early December 1966, Nkrumah expressed his irritation at the NLC's bounty of £10,000 to any individual who returned him to Ghana dead or alive. He wrote in a letter to Milne, "What fools they are! They are at their wits end." In another letter he wrote, "It is wishful thinking for anyone to think that there can be restoration of party politics leading to a general election in which our Party could win, and on the strength of that, ask me to go back. It is the height of hypocrisy and betrayal, at this moment of Ghana's suffering, for anyone to think that the restoration of party politics and the winning of a general election would redress the present debacle." Nkrumah made this comment in light of the experience in neighboring Sierra Leone, where after a general election on March 24, 1967, a young coup leader by the name of Juxon-Smith overthrew the government of Siaka Stevens. For Nkrumah, in the context of Ghana, "a counter-coup within the army supported by the masses is the only solution."

Meanwhile, in Conakry there was an attempt to kidnap Nkrumah on March 16, 1967. The Guinean navy intercepted a shipping trawler sailing close to the villa. Interrogation revealed that the crew aboard the ship had detailed knowledge

of the villa's layout, which strongly suggested that only a member of the entourage could have leaked such information.<sup>70</sup>

Within Ghana, an unsuccessful countercoup attempt against the NLC was carried out by Lieutenants S. B. Arthur and M. Yeboah and Second Lieutenant Osei Poku on April 17, 1967.<sup>71</sup> The countercoup was carried out without Nkrumah's involvement and gave grounds for his misplaced optimism. In mid-August 1967, Nkrumah wrote to Milne, stating, "My mind is being preoccupied with efforts to get back to Ghana as soon as possible. This is uppermost in my mind now, and all else is secondary."<sup>72</sup>

Two years later, Nkrumah called on "the workers of Ghana" along with peasant farmers to stage a general strike "with a military counter-coup to overthrow the NLC and liberate Ghana from the clutches of neo-colonialism."<sup>73</sup> He was of the opinion that "the only language which is understood is force and action."<sup>74</sup> He reminded Ghanaians of the role they played in freeing the country from British colonialism. He wrote, "Your goal is historic—it is the building of a society in Ghana within a united socialist Africa."75 Via his broadcasts and through the pages of Africa and the World, Nkrumah waged a virulent campaign against the NLC. When the NLC handed over power in freely contested elections in August 1969, Dr. Busia, Nkrumah's former ideological adversary, won the vote and took office in October of the same year. 76 Busia's Progress Party continued the neoliberal economic policies of its predecessors. Nkrumah attacked the economic policies of the Busia government, which had replaced free education at all levels of the educational system with the introduction of fees. In short, the Progress Party represented the triumph of Nkrumah's old political enemies going back as far as the 1940s.

Another major preoccupation was Nkrumah's articulation of his political thoughts in writing. We shall now examine the advancement and radicalization of Nkrumah's ideological vision.

## From "Positive Action" to Revolutionary Action

During the four years Nkrumah spent in Conakry, through his letters to various individuals, his thinking on many social, political, and economic issues can be delineated. When his research assistant, June Milne, expressed an interest in writing a book on Nkrumaism, Nkrumah wrote, "The most tantalising part of it will be my Marxist or socialist ideology. You know I am a Marxist and scientific socialist. But I don't consider myself in this particular sense a Leninist. Leninism is an application of Marxism to the Russian milieu. But the Russian milieu is not the same as the African milieu. And here the question of communism comes in—whether I am a communist or not. I am a scientific socialist and a Marxist and if that is tantamount to being a communist then I am. But not a communist of the Marxist-Leninist type." Here Nkrumah openly acknowledged his Marxist beliefs. He considered Marxism to be a nondogmatic tool applied to different social and economic conditions. However, he did not define what type of communist he was and, therefore,

ambiguity remains as to his definition. In short, Nkrumah was undoctrinaire in his application of Marxist analysis to African realities.

While in Conakry, he had ample time to reflect on his political convictions and he would often contemplate alone on his balcony. In his vision, Pan-Africanism and socialism were inextricably integral to the African revolution, in which the forces of imperialism, colonialism, and neocolonialism are destroyed in order to construct a radically transformed society on the principles of socialist economic development, freedom, and equality of all people. Nkrumah increasingly began to believe that the new phase of Africa's development, which was characterized by armed struggle in various parts of the African continent, was linked to a world revolutionary socialist struggle. He advocated revolutionary warfare as the only solution to the complete liberation of the African continent and the eradication of the partnership between the neocolonial indigenous African elite and outside forces. Therefore, Nkrumah's advocacy of violent armed struggle shares some affinity with the views of Frantz Fanon, who believed in the psychological necessity of violence for the oppressed to attain self-liberation. Nkrumah's politics also increasingly moved toward an internationalist revolutionary position during this period.

A month after his arrival in Conakry, he expressed in a letter to June Milne that "the only solution to the Vietnam war is for the US to clear out its presence in Vietnam, north and south."79 He went on to write, "I am interested in the Vietnamese war because I am opposed to imperialism and neo-colonialism: and I believe that world socialism can end war and usher in permanent peace for the world. I believe in internationalism, but internationalism must presuppose Asia for Asians, Africa for Africans, and Europe for Europeans. These peoples in their various areas must see to their own problems. This does not do away with international co-operation and friendship. Nor does it smack of racism or racialism."80 Hence, Nkrumah's Pan-Africanism was based on a strong principle of anti-imperialism and the belief in self-determination for all peoples. Such a position did not preclude mutual exchange and solidarity, for he considered "the African Revolution is an integral part of the world socialist revolution."81 In a letter to Milne, dated August 26, 1967, Nkrumah wrote, "I think and hold the view that the fulfilment of the African Revolution only implies two basic principles: (1) the principle of basic equality of all peoples and races, and that all men and women, irrespective of race, colour, or religion, have an equal right to dignity and respect, to freedom and national independence; and (2) the solidarity between the oppressed peoples of all countries."82 In another letter, he expressed a wish for the socialist and communist world to unite, for "a coming together of Russia and China would put the fear of God into America."83 He believed "Cassius Clay [the American boxer Muhammad Ali] has taken a good stand" in refusing to serve in Vietnam and he condemned his treatment by the American authorities.<sup>84</sup> Nkrumah lamented the death of Che Guevara in 1967 as "a blow to the guerrilla freedom fighter in Latin America."85 Moreover, he believed "a man like Guevara cannot be obliterated by death alone. He lives wherever guerrillas fight."86 In essence, Nkrumah identified with the struggles of oppressed people around the world.

Significantly, during this time, Nkrumah's voracious appetite for reading and discussions with the Guinea Bissau national liberation leader, Amilcar Cabral, appears to have shaped his ideas on armed struggle and national liberation movements. Cabral, who had been given a house in Conakry by President Sékou Touré, was one of his few visitors. The two would be engaged in political discussions and, on one occasion in June 1967, Cabral presented a film on "Portuguese Guinea" to Nkrumah and thirty of his entourage. 87 It seems the theory of armed struggle significantly shaped Nkrumah's outlook on global struggles, in which he considered Africa had a crucial role to play. These ideas were expressed in Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare, published in 1968. The particular timing of this book was crucial. Major violent struggles for national independence were being waged in various parts of the African continent. The Portuguese colonies of Guinea Bissau, Mozambique, Angola, as well as South Africa and Southern Rhodesia were sites of revolutionary upheavals against settler colonialism, often aided and abetted by Western powers. On a global level, there were similar emerging struggles in Latin America and the war in Vietnam. The strident demands for Black Power in the United States and strong anti-Vietnam war protests led by young students in Western capitals shook the prevailing liberal democratic capitalist order. The political and social ferment occurring in Africa, Asia, and Latin America during this period, initiating demands for justice and freedom, also gave inspiration to demonstrators in the West. In short, the late 1960s was an era of protest, defiance, and demands for an alternative to the liberal market order. It is in this specific political and ideological climate that the *Handbook* emerged.

In 1968, it appears Nkrumah no longer considered the efficacy in nonviolent constitutional methods to achieve independence. He now embraced armed revolutionary struggle as the only means of achieving political independence and eradicating neocolonialism and imperialism from the African continent. In the *Handbook*, Nkrumah expressed the view that "revolutionary warfare is the logical, inevitable answer to the political, economic and social situation in Africa today. We do not have the luxury of an alternative."<sup>88</sup>

It appears Nkrumah had been influenced by the writings of Mao Tse-tung, Fanon's Wretched of the Earth, as well as books such as Tzu's Art of War, The War of the Flea by Robert Taber, Guerrilla and Counter-Guerrilla Warfare by W. J. Pomeroy, Philosophy of World Revolution by F. Marek, and Peoples' War, Peoples' Army by the North Vietnamese general N. Giap. This led him to conclude that "what is urgently needed now is co-ordination and centralised political and military direction of the struggle" on a continental level. <sup>89</sup> Hence, he considered his Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare as a manual for guerrilla warfare. He called for an All African People's Revolutionary Party (AAPRP) to unify liberation forces and an All African People's Revolutionary Army (AAPRA) to wage such a war. <sup>90</sup> To coordinate strategy between the various parties, he envisaged the creation of an All African Committee for Political Co-ordination (AACPC). The function of this body would be to harmonize policies among the central committees of the various ruling parties. <sup>91</sup>

Amilcar Cabral's influence on Nkrumah is most likely to have shaped his political analysis of national liberation struggles. Both political leaders shared many similarities, such as an undoctrinaire belief in Marxist method as a tool for political analysis; additionally, both were pragmatists, anti-imperialist, and anti-neocolonialism. They also demonstrated politically independent thought and believed in the necessity for a vanguard revolutionary party led by a conscious political elite to transform African society. Yet whereas Cabral's political analysis came from practical experience, Nkrumah had no direct experience of revolutionary warfare. Therefore, Nkrumah's manual for guerrilla warfare was based on armchair theorizing, while Cabral's views were closely forged through revolutionary praxis.

Another significant development in Nkrumah's thought during his time in Conakry was his embrace of a class analysis of Africa, which he set out in his book titled Class Struggle in Africa, published in 1970. Hountondji contends that in the 1964 edition of Consciencism, Nkrumah "ducked the theoretical problem of the internal composition of colonial and post-colonial African societies," whereas in Class Struggle in Africa, Nkrumah confronts the problem of the nature of class conflict in Africa head on. 92 Also, whereas in the 1964 edition he championed the position that "the passage to socialism lies in reform," he now rejected this view.<sup>93</sup> In Class Struggle, he advocated that it is via the waging of a violent armed struggle that the total liberation of Africa under scientific socialism can occur in order to destroy imperialism, colonialism, and neocolonialism. Nkrumah espoused socialist revolution for Africa. He was of the opinion that "there is no hard and fast dogma for socialist revolution, because no two sets of historical conditions and circumstances are exactly alike, experience has shown that under conditions of class struggle, socialist revolution is impossible without the use of force."94 He believed that "revolutionary violence is a fundamental law in revolutionary struggles," and moreover, "those who argue that the transition from capitalism to socialism can be accomplished without the use of force are under a delusion."95

For Nkrumah, colonialist penetration and the era of colonial conquest brought about European-type classes of proletariat and bourgeoisie to Africa. These class divisions in modern African society became blurred to some extent during the preindependence period. The emergence of independence struggles united all classes together to eject the colonial power. 96 In short, class distinctions were submerged in the national struggle in order to win political freedom but reemerged in all postindependent states into five main classes: the peasants; the rural and industrial proletariat; the urban and rural petit bourgeoisie; traditional rulers; and the bourgeoisie. 97 The African middle class constituted "the class ally of the bourgeoisie of the capitalist world."98 They were a "subordinate partner to foreign capitalism"—for international monopoly finance capital would not allow this class to become a genuine business competitor to threaten its very existence.<sup>99</sup> Nkrumah's position drew affinity with that of Fanon, who had argued earlier in his seminal work, The Wretched of the Earth, that on independence "the national middle class discovers its historic mission: that of intermediary."100 Furthermore, this class fails to transform the nation but considers its task as that of "being the transmission link between the nation and capitalism."<sup>101</sup> Undoubtedly, Nkrumah was shaped by Fanon's theoretical analysis of the contradictions and limitations of the African bourgeoisie. Nkrumah concurred with Fanon that "the African bourgeoisie remains therefore largely a comprador class, sharing in some of the profits which imperialism drains from Africa."<sup>102</sup>

It appears that Nkrumah's ideological stance on the world had also been affected by what he considered to be the disturbing phenomenon of coup d'états that had occurred on the African continent between January 1963 and December 1969. He observed that during this period, 25 military takeovers had taken place. He attributed imperialism and neocolonial links between Western and African intelligence networks as the cause of these takeovers. <sup>103</sup> In addition, the very fragmented nature of the African continent made it vulnerable to imperialist penetration. To counter the rise in military coups, Nkrumah urged "the need for the founding of an all-African vanguard working class party, and for the creation of an all-African people's army and militia." <sup>104</sup> He lamented the vast sums of money spent on the armies of Africa, whose interests were to repress the revolutionary potential of the African masses.

Lastly, Nkrumah emphasized that the African revolutionary struggle was not an isolated one, but an integral part of the wider "Black Revolution." 105 He argued that the struggle for civil rights in the United States and struggles in the Caribbean were part of the struggles for the liberation of people of African descent for social, political, and economic justice. He claimed, "All peoples of African descent, whether they live in North or South America, the Caribbean or in any other part of the world are African and belong to the African nation."106 For Nkrumah, despite Africa's arbitrarily erected colonial borders and myriad ethnic groups, the continent fundamentally constituted a single nation. With strong Garvevite tones, Nkrumah continued, "The core of the Black Revolution is in Africa, and until Africa is united under a socialist government, the Black man throughout the world lacks a national home. Africa is one continent, one people, and one nation."<sup>107</sup> In 1922, Garvey had similarly spoken of the need for black people to "redeem our Motherland Africa from the hands of alien exploiters and found there a government, a nation of our own, strong enough to lend protection to the members of our race scattered all over the world."108 It was Garvey who coined the slogan "One God, One Nation, One Destiny!" for Africans and people of African descent at a time when colonial rule prevailed and appeared impregnable.

Nkrumah's ambivalence toward communism was demonstrated in his closing paragraph in the book. He argued that the creation of a unified socialist African continent would "advance the triumph of the international socialist revolution, and the onward progress towards world communism, under which, every society is ordered on the principle of—from each according to his ability, to each according to his needs." Yet theoretically Nkrumah did not advocate communism for Africa, for in *Consciencism* he acknowledged the spiritual dimension of African societies. He saw no conflict between socialism as a value system and set of rational economic methods of redistributing wealth in society and spiritual values and practices of a society. Communism's denial of a spiritual realm made

it irreconcilable with an African spiritual worldview. *Consciencism* was therefore, according to Nkrumah, a synthesis of Africa's spiritual character and materialist philosophy. He did not believe in "the organised religions of the world," for they "have done so much to bring pain and misery to man." Nkrumah wrote, "I called myself a Marxist Christian. I think that was wrong. I am now simply a Marxist, with historical materialism as my philosophy of life." 111

Class Struggle in Africa ends on a tone of idealism: with the emergence of world revolution, capitalism, imperialism, and neocolonialism would be eliminated, giving birth to a socialist and unified Africa. Continental union government of Africa would coexist with world communism in a global humanity created in the genuine interests of all workers and peasants of the world.

Nkrumah's position on the question of race and class were also briefly articulated in *Class Struggle in Africa*. He argued, "A non-racial society can only be achieved by socialist revolutionary action of the masses." He claimed that the roots of racism were born out of capitalist class relationships. Nkrumah pointed to the example of apartheid South Africa, where he maintained it was capitalist economic penetration that created the master-servant relationship, which gave birth to racist apartheid ideology. For Nkrumah, "It is only the ending of capitalism, colonialism, imperialism and neo-colonialism and the attainment of world communism that can provide the conditions under which the race question can finally be abolished and eliminated." 113

While Class Struggle in Africa did not focus extensively on the dynamics of race and class in revolutionary struggle, it is clear that alongside this book, Nkrumah was also forging his position on the race question emerging in the United States. He was influenced in his assessments through his extensive correspondence with the African American political activists Grace and James Boggs, Stokely Carmichael, and Julia Wright. Nkrumah had written the first draft of the pamphlet The Spectre of Black Power by February 1967, after discussing the contents with Carmichael, who had attended the Twentieth Congress of the Guinean Democratic Party (PDG) around the same time. 114 During 1967, when social disturbances afflicted America's black inner cities, Nkrumah wrote to Milne in which he expressed his rejection of the term "race riot" used in the Western press to characterize the conflicts.<sup>115</sup> He wrote, "It is not racial. Those who think it is racial are fundamentally wrong. It is the rotten economic system there that has brought about Black Power. Black Power is nothing but a violent protest of the have-nots against the haves. It is the poor against the rich."116 In another letter, he expressed criticism of the Black Power leaders. In his judgment, they "don't seem aware of Africa. They are more taken up with the struggle in the United States."117 Furthermore, "The concept of Black Power will be fulfilled only when Africa is free and united."118 He ended the letter stating, "I am trying to make Black Power not a racist issue. It is political and economic, and only socialism can make Black Power fulfil its destiny."119

Nkrumah's opinions on the Black Power struggle were articulated in two short pamphlets he wrote in 1968 titled *The Spectre of Black Power* and *Message to the Black People of Britain*. <sup>120</sup> Nkrumah posed,

What is Black Power? I see it in the United States as part of the vanguard of world revolution against capitalism, imperialism and neo-colonialism which have enslaved, exploited and oppressed peoples everywhere, and against which the masses of the world are now revolting. Black power is part of the world rebellion of the oppressed against the oppressor, of the exploited against the exploiter. It operates throughout the African continent, in North and South America, the Caribbean, wherever Africans and people of African descent live. It is linked with the Pan-African struggle for unity on the African continent, and with all those who strive to establish a socialist society. 121

Nkrumah acknowledged that he had learned a great deal from his intellectual exchanges with the Boggs. 122 He considered that their maturity of political thought on the African American struggle enabled them to correctly "link the Black Power revolution in America with the African Revolution." 123 In a letter to Christine Johnson, who Nkrumah befriended while he was studying in America, Nkrumah remarked, "Unless Afro-Americans think of themselves as black men and as people of African descent, they will never come up to their own. I am glad that they are now becoming conscious of their roots." 124 In Nkrumah's worldview, the struggle for Black Power in the United States was inextricably linked to the African revolution, yet he recognized that "it opens the way for all oppressed masses" even "potentially revolutionary white masses in the United States" who are "dispossessed" and "often are without hope." 125

Overall, Nkrumah's evolving revolutionary socialist perspective on the world led him to reject the concept of "the Third World." He reflected his views on this concept in a short article titled The Myth of the Third World, first published in Labour Monthly in October 1968. 126 Nkrumah called for the abandonment of such a misleading political term, for its meaning was vague and lacking in clarity. For different audiences the term "Third World" referred to developing nations, or specifically to non-European colored peoples of the world. For Nkrumah, the most dangerous meaning of the term was its association with "a kind of passivity, a non-participation, an opting out of the conflict between the two worlds of capitalism and socialism."127 The phrase became popularized with the convening of the Conference of Non-aligned States in 1961 and 1964. He also considered "non-alignment is an anachronism." 129 It was "a form of political escapism reluctance to face the stark realities of the present situation."130 Fundamentally, Nkrumah emphasized that "there are two worlds only, the revolutionary and the counter-revolutionary world—the socialist world trend towards communism, and the capitalist world with its extensions of imperialism, colonialism and neo-colonialism."131 He was of the opinion that the concept of the "Third World" is "neither a practical political concept nor a reality." 132 Yet it continued to be used by elements committed to revolutionary struggle and those opposed to revolution. For Nkrumah, its continued usage served to marginalize the so-called Third World from "being identified openly and decisively as part of the socialist world."133

During this time, Nkrumah commented on political developments in other African countries. For example, in July 1966 he commiserated with the low electoral turnout for the Kenyan opposition leader, Oginga Odinga. He believed Jomo Kenyatta and Tom Mboya had "submitted Kenya to neo-colonialist subjugation" and armed struggle was the only solution to imperialism. <sup>134</sup> A year later, he lamented the decline into civil war in Nigeria after a new military president, General Yakubu Gowon, took power in May 1967. The Ibo peoples of the eastern region of Nigeria seceded, in a move that triggered a bitter three-year bloody conflict. In the same year, Nkrumah also became politically depressed with internal strife emerging among the Somali people, who continued to be divided by boundaries inherited from the colonial era. He wrote, "Yes, indeed, the African Revolution should recognise none of the colonial frontiers between African territories or states. They are indeed artificial boundaries having no meaning in the context of African unity. And so there can be no question of revolutionary forces (e.g., AAPRA) violating a country's sovereignty by entering it for the purpose of the political unification of the continent. The whole of Africa is one, and every part of it belongs to Africa as a whole."135 Here Nkrumah simply reiterated his deepest convictions that had been articulated in his earlier book Africa Must Unite. He rejected any kind of partition of Africa and considered "Africa with its islands is just one Africa."136

It also appears that Nkrumah lost confidence in the OAU and the Commonwealth in mid-1966. He wrote in a letter to June Milne, "I am not interested in the Commonwealth, any more than I am interested in the OAU. As for the Commonwealth, I am out of it for all time. It can serve no useful purpose. It is becoming a tool of neo-colonialism. Its concept is no more relevant to the African struggle." He considered the OAU conference of 1966 to have been "a real flop" and that the institution was "collapsing." After his return to Ghana, Nkrumah hoped to revive the institution with "militant and revolutionary states." Yet his disillusionment with the OAU grew. Toward the end of his life, he characterized the institution as "a puppet organisation" and he scorned what he viewed as the "grudging efforts" of the Liberation Committee of the OAU compared to the huge efforts put into a Pan-African cultural festival in Algiers in mid-1969. Nkrumah envisaged that an All African Peoples' Revolutionary Army (AAPRA) and an All-African Peoples' Socialist Party (AAPSP) planned on a Pan-African basis would be created to supplant the OAU.

Another conviction Nkrumah upheld to his death was his strong belief in the separation between the private and the political aspects of an individual's life. There were tensions in his views on women and a man's personal life. On the one hand, he also believed that "a man's private life is his own in so far as he does not allow it to destroy the objective of his socialist revolutionary life." On the other hand, he also recognized that "it is impossible for a revolutionary to dissociate his private life from his public life if he wants to be a true revolutionary." While he recognized the complexity of such a dichotomy, he demonstrated a patronizing attitude toward the treatment of women. He wrote, "I agree one must love a person who is also in agreement with one's socialist revolutionary objectives; if not, the revolutionary should leave women alone. Women, money and alcohol are hindrances to the revolutionary cause if not sensibly handled." 145

170

Prior to his marriage, Nkrumah considered involvement with women a dangerous diversion from politics. However, on an intellectual level, he accepted that some women were committed to the cause of revolution. He admired the German revolutionary Rosa Luxemburg and referred to her as "one of the greatest, if not the greatest, socialist woman of our century. She was one of the few who could stand up against Lenin in those days."146 Yet Nkrumah's conception of political struggle was on the whole male dominated and male led. Women who proved themselves to be leaders and competent were much admired and respected by Nkrumah. Nevertheless, this did not influence his overall belief that women were a distraction to the greater cause of the African revolution. Perhaps it was this belief that was the basis of Nkrumah's opposition to his family visiting him in Conakry, or was it simply that he was married first and foremost to his conception of an "African revolution" that in his mind required total dedication?

While Nkrumah had ample time to reflect while he was in Conakry, his selfcriticism and self-evaluation reveal a man who had become divorced from reality. He believed he had made two mistakes: first, he was "not tough enough" and, second, he "did not pursue socialism fast enough." 147 Nevertheless it was Nkrumah's authoritarian style of rule that had alienated him from many ordinary Ghanaians. Also, it is hard to accept that in pursuing socialism at a greater speed Nkrumah would have succeeded. In short, if we are to engage in historical conjecture, these strategies are guaranteed to have provoked a coup d'état and are signs of deeply misguided thinking.

Toward the end of 1966, he wrote in a letter to June Milne, "I don't deny I have made mistakes. Many a time I have taken people for granted and trusted them and many a time they betrayed that trust or took advantage of it, and me. They took advantage of my kindness to them, when my kindness was a genuine effort to help them. In this wise I admit I have made mistakes, and have sometimes miscalculated human beings."148 Unfortunately, Nkrumah does not expand on what he considers to have been his mistakes. However, he frequently repeated that once he returned to power in Ghana, he would do things differently. What exactly he planned to do differently Nkrumah does not disclose. Nevertheless, he recognized his colossal misjudgment of individuals. In spite of this, this misjudgment continued in Conakry. In July 1969, the small youth group banned Ambrose Yankey Snr, whom Nkrumah had appointed as head of his security at the villa. 149 J. B. Buah was appointed to replace him. According to Lamine Janha, "Yankey was corrupt and misinforming the Old Man." The banning of Yankey appeared to have received Nkrumah's "tacit approval," for he did not utter a word when he was told by the youth the reasons for their actions. 150 Was this the reaction of an Nkrumah who was no longer in charge of his surroundings, except his ideological thoughts?

During 1968, there were small signs of a deterioration in Nkrumah's health. Outwardly, he maintained an optimistic disposition and his daily routine. Increasingly, however, a low morale dominated the atmosphere of the villa. Milne observes that in addition to the slackening in the pace of day-to-day activities at the villa "doubts were beginning to be expressed as to whether in fact Nkrumah would ever be restored to power." <sup>151</sup>

His ill health was initially attributed to digestive trouble and back pains. Prior to the coup, he had regular medical checkups in Ghana. In Guinea, Nkrumah's attitude in 1968 was that he would have a thorough medical examination once he returned to Ghana. The following year did not bring about his restoration, but instead a downward decline in spite of several hopeful indications that a countercoup would occur. In Ghana, General Ankrah was forced to resign as chairman of the NLC when it was discovered in 1969 that he was involved in corruption. While this gave Nkrumah hope, his Ghanaian contacts proved to be a disappointment and by the end of the year, he refused all contact with them. Meanwhile, as Milne observes, "It was pressure from Ghanaians on Nkrumah to be ready for an 'imminent' counter-coup which led him to postpone plans to go to the Soviet Union for a much-needed rest and medical check-up. He had intended to go if nothing had happened by April 1969. By then he was steadily losing weight, and weighed barely ten stone. He had weighed well over eleven stone when he arrived in Conakry three years before."

Nkrumah's physical decline was mirrored in the economic decline in Guinea. Lack of spare parts could not repair the failing generator at the villa. Similarly, the air conditioner in his bedroom was broken and his ceiling leaked.<sup>154</sup> Exacerbating the economic difficulties in the country was the attempted assassination of President Sékou Touré in March 1969. The impact of this led to a tightening of security both inside the country and in the villa.

With his failing health and concern over the future of his books, Nkrumah drew up his will in the last months of 1969. The will entrusted Milne to become his literary executrix and was signed and witnessed in Villa Syli on January 21, 1970. Around this time, Nkrumah could no longer conceal the fact that his health was deteriorating. It became worse with the rainy season, when he suffered what was diagnosed by a Russian doctor as acute lumbago. While Nkrumah and members of this entourage were skeptical about this diagnosis, the medication, a course of injections, was accepted. It was later discovered he had prostate cancer.

Nkrumah's correspondence during 1970 lessened considerably due to his ill health. During this year, Madam Fathia received typed letters from Nkrumah with his handwritten signature. This worried her greatly, as Nkrumah had always handwritten letters to her.<sup>155</sup> Nevertheless, another reason for the scarcity of letters was the discovery of interception in the mail by persons unknown. Consequently, Nkrumah reduced his letters and resorted to cable as a more reliable form of communication. Also during this year, a stubborn Nkrumah would not be persuaded by pleas from those around him, including Sékou Touré and his wife, to seek medical treatment overseas. In August 1971, his condition became very severe and he finally agreed to seek medical treatment in Bucharest. He was taken to Conakry airport in a stretcher and accompanied by Sana Camara and two devoted members of his entourage, B. E. Quarm and Nyamikeh.

At the Sanatorial de Geriatrie in Bucharest, Nkrumah spent his days sitting in a large armchair, for up to six weeks at a time, unable to move his

172

pages of Conakry Years.

eight-and-a-half-stone frame. He had lost his energy and was suffering a great deal of pain. He permitted only select individuals to visit. Among them were Milne, Madam Sékou Touré, and the wife of Sana Camara. The Guinean ambassador in Rome, Seydou Keita, once visited Nkrumah in late October 1971 and during the visit, Keita lambasted the seditious activities of Guinean ministers. The ambassador was angry and said that corruption was an ingrained trait of Africans. Nkrumah's reaction was observed. Milne wrote, "[Nkrumah] sat back in his chair, tapped Keita's left hand and said slowly and quietly: 'It is not the colour of the skin. The solution is the political unification of Africa. When Africa is a united strong power everyone will respect Africa, and Africans will respect themselves." It is apparent that his political vision remained solidly intact despite his illness. As Nkrumah wasted away, the powerful painkilling drug

Fortral sustained him.<sup>158</sup> He was unable to eat and therefore had to be fed by a drip. Nkrumah died at 8:45 a.m. on April 27, 1972, from prostate cancer at the age of 63—lonely and isolated but boundlessly optimistic, as illustrated in the

# **CHAPTER 11**

# Nkrumah in Retrospect

Soon after his overthrow in 1966, West Africa magazine published a hostile article on Nkrumah. Yet it was acknowledged that "impotent and foolish though his present posturings are, he has had a profound effect on the continent, an effect which in some ways may be permanent." Furthermore, the writer claimed, "No independent African state has been unaffected by the cause to which [Nkrumah] dedicated Ghana, African Unity. So assiduously has he propagated the cause that no African leader today dare express indifference to it."

Indeed, on the continental level, the institutional transformation of the Organisation of African Unity (OAU) into an African Union (AU) in July 2002, is one of the enduring legacies of Nkrumah's political thinking since his death. According to Mazrui, "positive Nkrumahism" bequeathed by Nkrumah provides inspiration and motivation for a better future for Africa and African people.<sup>3</sup> However, what Mazrui terms "negative Nkrumahism" is also an integral aspect of Nkrumah's heritage. 4 In order to preserve national unity, which was fundamental to Nkrumah's vision of society, Nkrumah resorted to political repression of the opposition. This is one of the principal contradictions in his political practice and political thought. A second tension lies in Nkrumah's alleged commitment to the oneness of African people, that is, Pan-Africanism. Nkrumah's government expelled from Ghana several Africans under the Deportation and Aliens Acts of 1957 and 1963, respectively, on the grounds that such individuals were considered a threat to public order. The intimidatory use of these acts was in conflict with Nkrumah's alleged commitment to freedom and democracy as well as his Pan-Africanist convictions. While Rathbone contends that "many of those who were deported in the three years following independence had not only lived in Ghana for many years but in many cases had been born in Ghana,"5 there is also the reality that in creating Pan-Africanism, not all Africans, regardless of whether they are born in Ghana (or any African territory), are contributing positively to conducive public order in a society. Similar to the Preventive Detention Act

(PDA), it is likely that the enforcement of the Deportation and Aliens Act may have implicated innocent people.

Nkrumah's impact can be examined on three levels: first, the extent to which he provided the template for the authoritarian single-party state in Africa; second, his continuing contribution to the Nkrumah-Danquah/Busia tradition within contemporary Ghanaian politics, and lastly, Nkrumah's Pan-Africanist ideology, which has transformed the institution of the OAU into the AU. These influences will be briefly explored.

The consensus in the literature on the postcolonial African state is that Nkrumah's legacy for African political practice was largely a negative one. As Zolberg writes, "When the Gold Coast became independent in 1957, the event was greeted in much of the American press as a triumph of ancient Wilsonian ideals. Ghana was now the exemplar." Furthermore, "Even the most sympathetic observers, however, soon began to discern political patterns which indicated that liberation from foreign rule might not coincide with the birth of democracy." Yet to what extent is it fair to charge Nkrumah with establishing the model of an autocratic state? Was it expecting too much of Ghana and Nkrumah to act as an exemplar in unchartered political waters? We can now objectively reassess and answer these questions with the benefit of time and a brief comparative analysis of political developments in the West African region.

## Nkrumah and the Single-Party State in Africa

Ali Mazrui is among those critics who have attributed the emergence of the singleparty phenomenon to Nkrumah.8 Mazrui argues that Nkrumah reconstructed himself into a "Leninist Czar"9—merging both the monarchical tendency, which was reflected in his use of the title "Osagyefo," and the Leninist vanguard tradition. Mazrui contends that three factors led Nkrumah toward the one-party state. First, his fundamental belief that Ghana was unsuited for a multiparty system on account of its regional and ethnic cleavages. For Nkrumah, the one-party state was the only remedy to political tribalism and the problem of integrating the Asante kingdom into Ghana. Second, Nkrumah believed that African communities were guided by the cultural principles of harmony, cooperation, collectivism, and consensus. This vision of "traditional" Africa was presented in Nkrumah's book Consciencism. Hence, intellectually, for Nkrumah, manipulated consent was desirable rather than dissent and disunity. 10 Lastly, his adoption of "democratic centralism" in Central Committee and Cabinet decision-making was borrowed from Lenin and, in the view of Mazrui, this precipitated his descent into authoritarian rule.

The criticism that Nkrumah instituted a one-party state in the face of the challenge of building a nation-state is a valid one. Yet Nkrumah reached the same conclusion as his contemporaries Sékou Touré, Houphouet-Boigny, Leopold Senghor, Modibo Keita, Julius Nyerere, and Jomo Kenyatta. While the Ivory Coast and Senegal purported to be multiparty states, they were *de facto* one-party states in which other parties had no chance of winning state power. In

other words, they were one-party states by another name. In short, these various African states were all grappling with the same issues as Nkrumah: how does a nation-state prevent descent into a religious and ethnic fragmentation of society? Setting aside ideology, Houphouet-Boigny (probably one of the most right wing of African leaders), Jomo Kenyatta (who paid lip service to socialist rhetoric but promoted a capitalist orientation of the Kenyan economy), and Nkrumah, who professed a commitment to scientific socialism from 1962 onward—all resorted to similar political methods to deal with the societal problems of building a united nation. Nigeria and Uganda, which also faced acute problems of regional and ethnic divisions, had myriad political parties and groups that favored both a centralized and decentralized political system as a means of welding the country together and of creating autonomy for minority groups.

In the context of nation-building in postindependent Africa, there was a rush for the spoils of political office and the state by some "big men" (and big women) who made promises to their followers that they failed to fulfill in the challenge of building a nation-state via a single party. Instead, politics became a zero-sum game in which the redistribution of wealth was replaced by a looting of the state's coffers. Models of the allocation of goods, benefits, contracts, licenses, salaries, appointments, and various other spoils in the postcolonial states have been presented in the discourse by Bayart's thesis of the "politics of the belly," by Mbembe's concept of the "post-colony," and by Young's model of "Bula Matari" and "the integral state."11 These models demonstrate the acquisitive and authoritarian nature of the postcolonial African state. Characteristics of such a state in the postcolonial era have been the failure to redistribute wealth; the systematic use of compulsion and violence by African rulers to maintain power, in a manner not dissimilar from their colonial predecessors; and the emergence of new conflicts between the poor and the powerful. In short, "as to eat has become a matter of life and death," the failure of the African state to provide for its citizens has turned the dominated and the dominant into hustlers for economic and political power. 12 The consequence of such failed states has been a "disengagement from the area of the state" and/or "evasion," if not lip service being paid to the state on the part of ordinary Africans. 13

In addressing this question—To what extent did Nkrumah establish the template of authoritarian rule in postindependent Africa?—our starting point must be Nkrumah's own concept of social unity, conflict, and cleavage. His concept was rather similar to that of his West African counterparts in the Ivory Coast, Senegal, Mali and Guinea, and elsewhere, in spite of ideological differences. <sup>14</sup> Nkrumah believed that the formation of groups based on ethnic affiliation, religion, and region were illegitimate bases for the organization of political groups, as they threatened the unity of the nation. They were an impediment toward progress, modernity, and nation building. <sup>15</sup> As Zolberg contends, the one-party ideology was evident in several West African states, including Ghana in the late 1950s and early 1960s. However, there were distinct nuances in political practice among the various West African countries.

176

The first country to make visible its ideological leanings was Guinea. 16 It was under Sékou Touré who declared "No!" to membership in De Gaulle's French community in 1958 that marked the beginnings of radical pronouncements. The new government led by President Sékou Touré produced copious programs, speeches, and congress papers on the need to eliminate colonialism, which gave rise to the perception that the Parti Democratique de Guinea (PDG) had a radical ideological outlook. Zolberg argues, "The major themes of the one-party ideology of Guinea were rapidly echoed elsewhere with local variations. It is difficult to determine whether there were genuine inter-country influences at work or whether the concepts were reinvented autonomously in each case because they corresponded to a common situation."17 While Ghana is considered the political template, other African countries mapped out their own developmentalist path that shared strong parallels with the former "model colony."

Similarly, in July 1959, President Senghor of Senegal proclaimed at the first congress of the Parti de la Federation Africaine (PFA) that a united party was also inclusive. He declared, "The opposition . . . must pursue the same goal as the majority party." Yet at the same time, he viewed the opposition as being subordinate to foreign manipulation and, therefore, it was the responsibility of the PFA to forestall internal subversion. This ambiguity on the part of Senghor leads Zolberg to question, "How, then, does Senghor's 'unified' party differ from the 'single' party?" <sup>18</sup> Clearly, there is little difference. In the Ivory Coast, the Parti Democratique de Cote d'Ivoire (PDCI) led by Houphouet-Boigny did not "selfconsciously discuss ideology," though the emphasis on a united nation was made as far back as 1946.<sup>19</sup> Zolberg points out, "Not only is the absence of opposition [in Ivory Coast] an indication of unity, but because unity has been achieved, there is no reason for opposition to exist."20 While a populist character to the dominant political parties existed in Guinea, Mali, and Ghana, this was absent in the Ivory Coast. Also, in the Ivory Coast "the state owes its legitimacy to the party, the party owes its own increasingly to the leader," which was similarly the case in Ghana.

In the realm of ideology in West Africa during the 1950s and 1960s, there were also parallels and differences. Between 1949 and 1959, the Convention People's Party (CPP) ideology was in an embryonic state. This changed when Nkrumah addressed the tenth anniversary congress of the CPP. He proclaimed the indistinguishability of the party and the people; the party with the nation; and that the CPP was supreme over all other institutions. Zolberg contends, "Although the Ghanaians completed the construction of their one party ideology later than most other countries, they not only caught up with but eventually extended the theory two steps beyond their neighbours: they transformed the one party concept into a legal rule by making of it a constitutional amendment; and furthermore, in a display of blunt honesty, they gave it a meaningful name, Nkrumahism."21 Zolberg concludes that Ghana, Guinea, Mali, Senegal, and Ivory Coast were all concerned with the avoidance of conflict and the establishment of a rational order.<sup>22</sup> The one-party ideology even permeated government economic thinking in the notion of the "plan." The idea of the plan was an instrument to ensure control and order over the economy. Integral to this thinking was the belief that any arrest or obstruction in the implementation of the plan was the result of sabotage, imperialism, or neocolonial forces at work seeking to overthrow the government. Consequently, several West African states during the 1960s, including Ghana, adopted "techniques of suppression" to achieve not only unanimity but the dominance of the government over the opposition and the state over the entire society.<sup>23</sup> Yet in Ghana the implementation of the one-party ideology was a more complex process. However, "the fact of greater publicity [in Ghana]" meant that "there is much more evidence of authoritarianism in Ghana than in any other West African country. But this does not necessarily mean that if regimes are compared in toto, Ghana is in fact more authoritarian; paradoxically the opposite may well be the case."24 For Zolberg, what was unique about the single-party experiment in Ghana was that it was the only country to have written the one-party state into law, "yet most others, while preserving freedom to organise parties in their constitutions, have multiplied effective legal measures to prevent their appearance."25 Particularistic ethnic and political groups opposing the dominant political party were similarly made illegal in countries such as the Ivory Coast, Guinea, and Mali.<sup>26</sup>

Another significant difference remains between Ghana under Nkrumah and her West African neighbors in regard to the use of capital punishment as an instrument of maintaining one-party rule and eliminating the opposition as well as the use of deportations. Nkrumah's government began as early as 1957 to deport what it perceived as non-Ghanaian citizens from the country, many of whom were charged as being a threat to the security of the state. It is difficult to compare the deportation records for the late 1950s and 1960s of a number of West African countries because many did not publish them. However, "much more is known about Ghana in this because in spite of all, Ghana has retained a greater sense of the rule of law," argues Zolberg.<sup>27</sup> During the 1960s, both Houphouet-Boigny's and Nkrumah's government arrested large numbers of political dissidents. Such arrests were not made public in the Ivory Coast. "If coercion can be evaluated in terms of the total number of death sentences imposed by a government on its opponents, the Ivory Coast is probably the harshest country in Africa," writes Zolberg.<sup>28</sup> It is known that Houphouet-Boigny and Nkrumah took a bet in the late 1950 as to which country would be the economic star of West Africa in a decade or two. In regards to political executions, it is apparent that Houphouet-Boigny won on this political front, for in May 1963 he sentenced 13 young members of the Rassemblement Democratique Africain (RDA) to death.<sup>29</sup> According to Basner, the only state execution that took place during Nkrumah's period in power was the execution of police Sergeant Ametwee who shot and killed Nkrumah's bodyguard Salifu Dagarti in the aborted presidential assassination attempt of January 1964 in the grounds of Flagstaff house.<sup>30</sup>

The 1960s was a decade of "developmentalist authoritarianism," whereby countries such as Ghana under Nkrumah attempted to modernize the inherited colonial state and failed. The colonial state of the 1950s was "the era of the activist state" that intervened in the affairs of colonial subjects in order to attempt

to control, for example, their labor and health via technical expertise.<sup>32</sup> "The African state of the 1960s sought to take over the interventionist aspect of the colonial state, and indeed to intensify it, in the name of the national interest and (for a time) to demonstrate to voters that the state was improving their lives," maintains Cooper.<sup>33</sup> It seems that Nkrumah's own brand of "developmentalism authoritarianism" had the added imprint of Soviet central planning, which he adopted from 1962 onward.<sup>34</sup> This impacted the restructuring of the Ghanaian economy with negative results. Nevertheless, Ghana was not alone in such political and economic experimentation. In the political sphere, as Cooper observes, "Closing down of political space was truly in essentially all of the new African states, but the degree of closure varied greatly, from dictatorships to guided democracy."<sup>35</sup>

## African Unity Post-Nkrumah

Nkrumah's Pan-Africanist vision has also survived into the twenty-first century and shaped the thinking of a new generation of what Ali Mazrui refers to as "Africans of the soil" (i.e., those Africans born on the African continent) and "Africans of the blood" (those of African descent). As one of Nkrumah's greatest critics, Mazrui acknowledges, "Nkrumah's greatest bequest to Africa was the agenda of continental unification. No one else has made the case for continental integration more forcefully, or with greater sense of drama than Nkrumah. Although most African leaders regard the whole idea of a United States of Africa as wholly unattainable in the foreseeable future, Nkrumah even after death has kept the debate alive through his books and through the continuing influence of his ideas."

As Nkrumah was one of the founding fathers of the OAU, it appears that the most visible impact of his ideas on African unity has been the institutional transformation of the OAU into the African Union (AU) in July 2002. After Nkrumah's death, the Sixth and Seventh Pan-African Congress (PAC) took place in 1974 and 1994, respectively. 38 These gatherings were a continuation of the tradition of previous congresses that brought Pan-Africanists from the African continent and the diaspora together. At the Seventh PAC, there was a range of conflicting ideologies and viewpoints expressed. In the final resolution statement, congress participants unanimously agreed to resist what was perceived as the recolonization of Africa by global capitalism.<sup>39</sup> Such a unanimous statement was considered against the prevalent International Monetary Fund and World Bank structural adjustment programs adopted by many African countries in the 1980s and 1990s as a means of economic survival. Many postcolonial states had become burdened with debt, civil wars, a continued brain drain, and the crisis of African refugees. It was in this context that the neoliberal agenda of the Bretton Woods institutions was attacked. The tone, themes, and condemnation of recolonization of Africa echoed the emphases, thinking, and positions expressed by Nkrumah in his famous book Neo-Colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism. The participants

of the Seventh PAC echoed Nkrumah's call for immediate political and economic unity of the continent.

Three years after the Seventh PAC, in 1997, President Nyerere made an important speech in Accra to mark the fortieth anniversary of Ghana's independence. Nyerere confessed that "Kwame Nkrumah was the state crusader for African unity. He wanted the Accra summit of 1965 to establish Union Government for the whole of independent Africa. But we failed. The one minor reason is that Kwame, like all great believers, underestimated the degree of suspicion and animosity, which his crusading passion had created among a substantial number of his fellow Heads of State. The major reason was linked to the first: already too many of us had a vested interest in keeping Africa divided."<sup>40</sup>

Nyerere was quite clear that in 1965 the idea of working out union government for Africa "was an unrealistic objective for a single summit." More important, the failure lay in the lost opportunity to "discuss a mechanism for pursuing the objective of a politically united Africa" via establishing a "Unity Committee or undertaking to establish one. We did not. And after Kwame Nkrumah was removed from the African political scene nobody took up the challenge again." In a forthright admission, the Tanzanian leader concluded, "We of the first generation leaders of independent Africa have not pursued the objective of African Unity with vigour, commitment and sincerity that it deserves. Yet that does not mean that unity is now irrelevant."

Two years after Nyerere's speech, at the OAU summit in Algeria in July 1999, Nkrumah's dream of continental union government for Africa became relevant to a number of African leaders who sought to transform the OAU into the AU. The prime movers for the reform of the OAU into the AU were President Thabo Mbeki of South Africa and Olusegun Obasanjo of Nigeria. The Libyan leader, Colonel Muammar al-Gaddafi, later presented different motives for overhauling the continental body. However, prior to 1999, there had been a long-standing consensus that the OAU had severe shortcomings. Nkrumah had lambasted the organization in 1968. In the thirty years after his death, lack of strong constitutional structures, the adherence to the principle of noninterference in the internal affairs of member states, the inability to deal effectively with regional conflicts, and the characterization of the OAU as a "dictators club" contributed to the weaknesses of this continental body.

According to one academic interpretation, the foreign policy interests of Mbeki, Obasanjo, and Gaddafi initiated the rapid transformation of the OAU into the AU between 1999 and 2002. 46 For the South African government, "the very idea of the AU is at the core of the attempts of South Africa's ruling ANC [African National Congress] to improve the image of Africa in order to attract foreign investment and make the new South Africa an important global trading nation." Clearly, South Africa's motives were to some extent economically driven.

In addition to South Africa's foreign policy goals, Mbeki was also motivated to promote the new democratic climate appearing on the continent as well as to transform the image of the OAU. His desire to do so was reinforced by President Obasanjo's own regional interests. Obasanjo urged the 1999 summit to reorient

the organization toward the issues of security, stability, development, and cooperation on a continental level. There was growing domestic disquiet over Nigeria's peacekeeping involvement in the Economic Community of West African States Cease-fire Monitoring Group ECOMOG in neighboring Sierra Leone and Liberia, at which there were claims that Nigeria was spending one million US dollars a day on the upkeep of Nigerian forces. The question for Obasanjo's government was how to alleviate the financial and logistical burdens of regional peacekeeping on Nigeria on a long-term basis while promoting the country's vanguard role in West Africa and at a continental level. The answer to this question lay in sharing the costs of future African peacekeeping missions with other relatively prosperous African countries via the OAU.

At the extraordinary summit meeting held in Libya in September 1999, the members discussed methods of increasing the effectiveness of the OAU. Both Mbeki and Obasanjo accepted the invitation to attend the meeting, as they considered it an opportunity to advance their foreign policy objectives. However, Gaddafi's motives for hosting the summit soon became apparent. As Tieku argues, "It came as a surprise to the 33 African leaders attending the Sirte summit when Gaddafi opened the summit with a presentation of the 'United States of Africa' plan. Equally shocking was his insistence that the plan, which entailed the creation of a continental presidency with a five-year term of office, a single military force, a common African currency, be approved 'then and there.' There were now three rival policy interests to consider: those of Nigeria, South Africa, and Libya. A compromise was reached by the 33 African leaders to overhaul the OAU completely. A constitutive legal document outlining a new continental body for Africa was prepared by the Council of Ministers who submitted it to the Thirtieth Ordinary Session of the OAU in Lome in 2000. Fundamentally, it appears that of all the African leaders, Gaddafi has taken up the Pan-African mantle of Nkrumah.

The motives of the Libvan leader for convening the extraordinary summit are perceived not only to be tied to political vanity in seeking to take "the credit for the relaunch of continental integration initiative in Africa," but his revived interest in Africa is also linked to wider strategic and geopolitical considerations linked to sanctions and a consistent policy of guarding his personal political survival. 50 It was Gaddafi who resurrected the ideals and vision of Nkrumah in his call for a "United States of Africa" at Sirte. However, the declaration made by the heads of state favored South Africa's and Nigeria's position of a continental body pushing for economic integration and greater democracy, without calling for a "United States of Africa." Reminiscent of Nkrumah's calls for continental union government at the OAU summits of 1964 and 1965, many at this juncture, as in Sirte, considered Gaddafi's proposal as too radical and overambitious.<sup>51</sup> The constitutive text of June 2002, which was approved at the Lome summit, signaled that the African heads of state desired a replacement of the OAU by the AU. None of Gaddafi's ideas were contained in the document. Nevertheless, the decision to replace the OAU was a historic one that eventually led to the inauguration of the AU in Durban on July 9, 2002.

At Durban, the Libyan leader was unrelenting and he attended armed with a number of proposed amendments to the Constitutive Act (CA), including a single army for Africa, an AU chairman, and greater powers of intervention in member states. Amara Essy was appointed interim chairman of the AU Commission and in his address he said, "When we mention Kwame Nkrumah, we have summed up in one name the appeal of all our heroes and precursors who, from the embryonic stage of Pan-Africanism to the doors of our present situation, have embodied our thirst for justice and dignity." Therefore, an integral motivating factor in the creation of the AU was, as Essy alluded to, the historical Pan-Africanist quest for justice, dignity, and greater equality in the world.

In order to create an environment of peace, it seems the leaders of the AU have approved Nkrumah's brainchild in the initiative of an "African Standby Force." This plan bears striking resemblance to Nkrumah's call during the 1960 Congo crisis for an African High Command, which was rejected then and subsequently. Since Nkrumah's death, the idea of a regional versus continental armed force, whose objective would be to enforce peace in various war-torn regions, was revived in the early 1970s by the OAU after the November 1970 Portuguese-led attempted invasion of Guinea. It was revived by Nigeria in 1972 at the OAU Ministerial Council meeting and again in 1977 and 1978.<sup>53</sup> In subsequent decades, the proposal has undergone various permutations, as civil wars raged in several African countries. As the AU is still in its infancy, the proposal for an African Standby Force has been scheduled to be set up in a phased manner by 2010. Nkrumah called for an African High Command during the crisis of the Congo, and the circumstances in which such a force have been forged today are radically different. The establishment of the Africa Command, otherwise known as AFRI-COM, set up by the administration of the American President George Bush Jr., in February 2007 to allegedly help Africans confront the security challenges of the continent and the global war against terrorism has disturbing ramifications for Africa's future development. Nkrumah was the ideological originator of the concept of an African High Command, and therefore its current construction as well as the ideological pretext upon which it has manifested, is a fundamental anathema to what Nkrumah envisaged for Africa. Regardless of the fact that AFRICOM claims to facilitate partnership with African nations and seeks to provide logistical support in humanitarian and peacekeeping missions on the African continent, the fundamental question remains, whose interests will such a force genuinely serve in the medium to long term? The interests of ordinary African people are unlikely to be served by AFRICOM.

At the Fifth Summit of the AU, held in Libya in July 2005, Gaddafi once again resurrected the ghost of Nkrumah. He called for a mechanism of defense to oversee the defense and security of the continent that was realistic as opposed to being a paper exercise. He proposed there be a minister of defense to implement the AU's joint security and defense charter, as stated in article 3 of the AU's Constitutive Assembly. Gaddafi called for allocating responsibility and accountability for decisions made. He warned against laudable objectives that remained unfulfilled on account of "no official who assumes the job of implementing these

polices at the Union level" and at the national level.<sup>54</sup> He criticized the OAU for achieving little during its forty-year life span and cited Nkrumah's address at the founding of the OAU in 1963. Gaddafi said that in 1963 Nkrumah had predicted that artificial borders would create conflicts and that ordinary Africans desired an improvement in their daily standards of living. He remarked that Nkrumah's words "were brushed aside and Africa paid the price. The average African has paid the price in the form of subjugation to disease, exploitation, backwardness and blackmail."55 Gaddafi criticized those who considered the idea of a "United States of Africa" as too premature. He claimed, "We have been moving gradually for 100 years."56 To his fellow heads of states, he proclaimed, "Had we heeded [Nkrumah's] advice at that time, Africa would now be like the United States of America or at least close to it. But we did not heed his advice, and even worse we ridiculed those predictions."57 It seems in a rather confused manner, Gaddafi has invoked the spirit, ideals, and convictions of Nkrumah, for it is debatable whether Nkrumah in the latter years of his life, particularly as he became increasingly radicalized, desired Africa imitate the United States as Gaddafi suggests. Nkrumah's vision of a continentally united Africa was predicated on his commitment to a future African economic system built through "scientific socialism" as opposed to vulturistic capitalism. Second, during the conflict in the northern Nigerian city of Jos in March 2010, Gaddafi proposed that Nigeria should be split into two states: one Christian and one Muslim. Such a division disregards those Nigerians who adhere to African traditional religious practices which Nkrumah recognised.<sup>58</sup> Moreover, as Campbell cogently argues, it is contradictory for Gaddafi, who has sought to champion himself as Nkrumah's apostle, to advocate a United States of Africa and the simultaneous dismembering of Nigeria.59

It is too early to assess the effectiveness of the AU in concretizing political and economic unity on the African continent in a manner that translates into improved standards of living in the lives of ordinary African people. Nevertheless, the radical transformation of the OAU into the AU appears to have reignited Nkrumah's vision of a long-term transformation of the inter-African system into a confederated supranational unit able to reposition itself within an unfolding world context.

### The Nkrumah Factor in Ghanaian Politics

Since Nkrumah's death, there has arisen in Ghana a plethora of political parties and movements identifying themselves as "Nkrumahist" alongside a growing rehabilitation of Nkrumah's stature. It seems Nkrumah continued to shadow subsequent regimes in Ghana, particularly that of General Acheampong and Flight Lieutenant Jerry Rawlings. Immediately after his death, the CPP was officially banned, but this did not prevent CPP activists and politicians from regrouping and operating under different political labels. From 1969 to 1972, the Progress Party led by Dr. Busia, Nkrumah's old opponent, ruled the country, yet Busia's efforts did not completely destroy the pro-Nkrumah elements in

Ghanaian society.<sup>61</sup> Busia's civilian government was soon deposed by the military, led by General Acheampong in 1972. It was the Acheampong National Redemption Council (NRC) government that permitted Nkrumah to be laid to rest in Ghana after a bitter political quarrel with President Sékou Touré. Touré demanded that Nkrumah be posthumously proclaimed head of state of Ghana and that all CPP officials be released from detention. 62 The reaction to Nkrumah's death from Ghanaians, African countries, Africans in the diaspora, and the Western press was unsurprisingly polarized. The NRC military government, hardly pro-Nkrumah, was forced to act in retrieving the body in order to conform to Akan burial customs. A national day of mourning was declared on May 19, 1972, and a public holiday for the funeral was announced. According to West Africa, "The first reactions of the [Ghanaian] public were quiet, but it was the quiet of stunned shock, although there have been spontaneous student parades and countless wake-keepings. The military government has been feeling its way and in some cases almost taking its cue from popular sentiment. Most Ghanaians considered the return of Nkrumah's body for burial to be of paramount importance, in accordance with Akan beliefs."63

On balance, the Acheampong regime considered it was necessary to return Nkrumah to Ghana in order to appease popular demands and lay the ghost of Nkrumah to rest. As one of Ghana's leading journalists wrote, "To prevent Nkrumah's funeral from becoming a political rallying point, the Government rushed to bury him. With Nkrumah dead, the new regime felt safe but they didn't anticipate the public pressure to rehabilitate their former leader's image. Acheampong acquiesced and named a 15 mile long road and a conference centre in Accra after him."<sup>64</sup>

As Nkrumah had died in Romania, this had induced guilt on the part of some Ghanaians who felt he should have at least been allowed to die in his country.<sup>65</sup> It was reported that while there was disappointment at the two-week delay in returning the body to Ghana, "other Ghanaians admit that President Sékou Touré was right to react in the way he has done as he had been the only one to stand by Dr. Nkrumah when everyone else was against him."<sup>66</sup> Another reporter conveyed that "Nkrumah's death evoked mixed feelings of grief, remorse, or indifference, depending on whether one's sentiments had lain with or against the ex-President."<sup>67</sup> The reaction to Nkrumah's death in Ghana was mixed; those in support of Nkrumah grieved, while those opposed to him still demonstrated their respects, in line with Akan tradition.

Others throughout Africa also mourned the loss of Nkrumah. Two hundred students from the University of Sierra Leone marched through Freetown carrying a coffin draped in black.<sup>68</sup> In Zambia, the *Daily Mail* carried a story titled "Goodbye Mr Africa."<sup>69</sup> The response from African heads of state, however, was "surprisingly low keyed" compared to the general adulation and open expressions of grief expressed by the Ghanaian and African public.<sup>70</sup> According to an American journalist, "If most African leaders reacted in a decidedly restrained fashion to Nkrumah's demise, it is because to many of them he was not so much a statesman as a demagogue who believed only in his own brand of pan-Africanism and

who sought to foment trouble in the lands of those who differed with him or dared to oppose him."<sup>71</sup> There were, however, some glowing obituaries in Ghanaian newspapers as well as in the African press throughout the continent and in the African diaspora. *The Spokesman*, edited by Kofi Badu, a former leading Nkrumahist, published a front-page tribute to Nkrumah. The state-owned *Daily Graphic* was more sober in its reappraisal of Nkrumah and focused on Nkrumah's achievements in awakening the political consciousness of Ghanaians while castigating the repressive legislation he introduced to eliminate his political enemies.<sup>72</sup>

In the Ugandan *Daily Nation*, May 3, 1972, a letter by Dr. R. St. Barbe Baker, director of the Sahara Reclamation Programme, wrote that the death of Nkrumah was "a grievous loss to Africa." In an earlier edition of the *Daily Nation*, a frontpage headline declared, "Nkrumah A Redeemer Who Fell From Grace." This extensive article argued, "Nkrumah's suppression of opposition viewpoints was a bitter disappointment to his friends in the West who had once regarded him as Black Africa's brightest hope."

The West African Pilot, the newspaper set up by the Nigerian leader Nnamdi Azikiwe, stated in its editorial, "We of this newspaper join fighting Africa and the progressive world in mourning the death of one of the big figures of the African continent." Another Nigerian newspaper was not as flattering. While the editorial of the New Nigerian accepted that "Kwame Nkrumah will be remembered as the most internationally known African leader of modern times," it recognized that "relations with other African governments, especially neighbours, were frequently strained: Nkrumah could be impatient with dilettantes and although a man of vision he ignored the imperatives of practical politics." Yet in the same edition Sidi H. Ali wrote an adulatory article titled "Osagyefo—The Top Redeemer." The Daily News of Tanzania published a poem on May 20, 1972, by Joseph H. B. Bagira in which Nkrumah was referred to as "the evangelist of continental government, the voice of African unity."

The reaction of the Western press was predictable. Legum noted, "The Western press mostly adopted a tone strongly in discord with what was said and felt by Africa. The obituary in the *Times*, London, was headlined 'Ghanaian leader who became a detested dictator.'<sup>77</sup> This led to a caustic rejoinder from Thomas Hodgkin, which was printed in the *Times* on May 10 1972. Hodgkin wrote that '[Nkrumah] wanted to develop Ghana as an effective modern state, but at the same time he wished to make it the base of the Pan-African political union in which he passionately believed.'"

Meanwhile, between 1974 and 1979, a number of mass social movements had emerged in the country. Among them were a new generation of Nkrumahists, who were to be found in the National Union of Ghanaian Students (NUGS) such as Explo Nani Kofi, Kwesi Adu (secretary from 1976 to 1977), and Nicholas Atampugre (coordinating secretary from 1979 to 1981). Other political groups—including the Pan-African Youth Movement (PANYMO), the African Youth Command (AYC), the Socialist Revolutionary Youth League of Ghana, the University Teachers Association, and other organizations—lent their support to the NRC.

Acheampong's ambiguities toward Nkrumah enabled him to skillfully manipulate the appeal of Nkrumah to mobilize popular support for his own political self-interest. His pro-Nkrumah sentiments extended to an invitation to Madam Fathia and her children to return to Ghana. 78 The AYC, PANYMO, NUGS—all of which courted the regime—saw Acheampong's defiance toward devaluation of the cedi as vaguely anti-imperialist. The regime won further support from workers when Acheampong restored union rights to workers and lifted the ban on the TUC, an organization that had strong Nkrumahist elements within its membership. Various civil society groups began to demand a handover to civilian government. Acheampong's answer was UniGov—a power-sharing arrangement comprising the army, police, and selected civilians in the country. Those on the left, however, soon became critical of the Acheampong regime. A disenchanted population was opposed to UniGov, and particularly the government's attempt to rig the referendum on the new power-sharing arrangement.<sup>79</sup> Protests by the NUGS in May 1977 escalated alongside important political developments within the army itself. According to one academic opinion, Acheampong's military government could best be described as "a boy- scoutish CPP in army uniforms" 80 yet gave hope to Nkrumahist forces in the country.

In the 1980s, Pan-Africanist interest began to reassess Nkrumah's role in Ghana and on the broader African stage. For example, in October 1986, African Concord produced an entire edition that was devoted to exploring Nkrumah's impact and ideas. In addition to this revival of interest in Nkrumah, in 1986 the radical Nicaraguan leader Daniel Ortega visited Ghana. It was reported "there was confusion over where Nkrumah's portrait in the Kwame Nkrumah Conference Centre should be placed, above or below that of Rawlings and Ortega. A member of the audience solved the problem by placing Nkrumah on top, he was loudly cheered for his bold action." Such a small but politically symbolic action on the part of this member of the audience and the reaction to it reflected the extent of growing popular support for Nkrumah. It was support that Rawlings also sought to tap into when he publicly endorsed what was to become the second reinterment of Nkrumah six years later.

The Provisional National Defence Council (PNDC) led by Rawlings granted commissioning of the Kwame Nkrumah Memorial Park in Accra on July 1, 1992. A seven-meter statute of Nkrumah was erected in front of a marble tree mausoleum in the middle of the site of the old polo ground where Nkrumah made the historic proclamation of Ghana's independence. The idea for the reinterment came from various Nkrumahist groups in the country who, on account of the 1982 ban on political parties enforced by the Rawlings government, were forced to function as social and literary clubs and societies. The group closest to the government was the Kwame Nkrumah Welfare Society (KNWS), formed around 1986, ostensibly as a social club to provide welfare for proscribed CPP and the People's National Party (PNP). The latter was led by Imoru Egala and was set up in the mid-1980s. According to Kofi Batsa, it "aimed to continue the *good* things of Kwame Nkrumah." The then 74-year-old Kojo Botsio and the former leader of the TUC under Nkrumah, John Tettegah, led the KNWS. Its hidden

political agenda was to revive the CPP. Botsio was known to have close relations with Kojo Tsikata, Rawlings's head of Military Intelligence. Tsikata had been a soldier during Nkrumah's period in power and had pro-Nkrumah sympathies and appeared to be the critical link in persuading Rawlings to accept demands for the reinterment. Apart from the KNWS, there was also the Kwame Nkrumah Youngsters Club, which was led by Roland Atta-Kesson, a lawyer and former parliamentarian in the Second Republic. He was appointed by the PNDC as an assembly member and, therefore, there were widespread suspicions that the government funded the club.

Another group was Our Heritage, which was a conglomeration of individuals from the KNWS youth section who attacked the elders in the Welfare Society for being stooges of the PNDC. Its leadership included Alhaji Farl, a former member of parliament (MP); Johnny Hansen and John Nedugire, both ex-PNDC members; K. P. S. Juantuah, a first republic cabinet minister; Dr. Hilla Limann; and Francis Nkrumah, son of the late Kwame Nkrumah. Alongside these groups were smaller ones such as the AYC, the Kwame Nkrumah Revolutionary Guard (KNRG), and the New Democratic Movement. The various Nkrumahist groups in the country exerted pressure on Tsikata to force Rawlings to concede to the building of the mausoleum. They began to distrust Tsikata and, consequently, he was forced to prove he was Nkrumahist. Tsikata delivered and managed to convince Rawlings of the importance of the monument.<sup>87</sup>

Nkrumah's remains were reinterred on the occasion of the Thirty-second Republic on July 1, 1992, in the presence of distinguished visitors such as Oliver Tambo, then chairman of the ANC; President Sam Nujoma of newly independent Namibia; Betty Shabazz, widow of the slain African American leader Malcolm X; Dr. David DuBois, the son of the late Dr. W. E. B DuBois; and many other diplomats. The occasion was not open to the Ghanaian public. However, the measure of public interest in Nkrumah was reflected in the fact that the *People's Daily Graphic* reported on July 2, 1992, that the security forces were kept very busy, for "as early as 7am many ordinary Ghanaians from all walks of life began assembling at the rejuvenated polo grounds." A *West Africa* correspondent remarked, "One thing the re-interment ceremony demonstrates was the deep emotions that Nkrumah continues to evoke in Africans and people of African descent and the continuing relevance of his visions for many even today." 88

The *People's Daily Graphic* reported that Rawlings "paid glowing tribute to the late President saying that he struggled for peace in the world and was one of the initiators of the non-aligned movement." Furthermore, Rawlings claimed that he might be one of the people most critical about certain aspects of Nkrumah's government but "I will never deny him or any man the praise for outstanding achievements, and for Dr. Nkrumah in particular, the things that make him a great figure in history." Undoubtedly, Nkrumah's place in Ghana's history remains assured.

However, the political fortunes of the Nkrumahist parties steadily worsened in the subsequent elections of 1992, 1996, 2000, and 2004. After a 13-year absence of electoral politics—the last being in 1979—the CPP failed miserably

in the elections of 1992. Jeffries, Thomas, and Oquaye have analyzed the electoral failure of the Nkrumahist parties in the 1992 elections. Foremost among the shortcomings are the disunity and weak organization of the Nkumahist fold together with the egotism and complacency of the veteran CPP politicians. These factors enabled Rawlings to transform the PNDC into the National Democratic Congress (NDC) to capture potential Nkrumahist votes. <sup>91</sup> In addition was "the NDC's adoption of Nkrumahist chants and slogans at its rallies, together with Rawlings's cultivation of an image of (not altogether sincere, it must be said) reverence for Nkrumah."

Yet, it is erroneous to measure Nkrumah's impact purely by the fortunes of political parties calling themselves Nkrumahist. The inability of the CPP and its myriad offshoots to define themselves in terms of program, vision, and principles, which are meaningful and relevant to the Ghanaian electorate, is a major factor attributable to the party's continued poor electoral performance. Simply chanting Nkrumahist slogans and reminiscing the "golden age" of Nkrumahism is insufficient to win over a new generation of Ghanaians who have attained voting age but critically have no memory of Nkrumah. In spite of this, in the December 2008 national elections, Nkrumah's only daughter, Samia Yaba Nkrumah, won against the incumbent NDC MP, Lee Ocran, in the Jomoro constituency in the western region. Samia Nkrumah was at the time the only CPP MP in the Ghanaian parliament.

Nonetheless, despite a poor electoral showing, Nkrumah continues to remain a potent national metaphor in Ghanaian politics today. He serves as a powerful symbol that many lay claim to for their own political and cultural purposes of mobilization, even as a talisman to win national elections. He is part of the historical collective memory that right and left can draw upon to gain political kudos and appeal. For example, some politicians and activists have drawn upon Nkrumah's pragmatism; some have emphasized that Nkrumah favored a mixed economy; some have sought to revive the mass and national nature of the political party he created that sought to transcend ethnic divisions; others have emphasized his socialist orientation of society; while some have adopted Nkrumah's political style of leadership. Both Rawlings and Nkrumah shared a similarity of spontaneity, style, charisma, an ability to empathize with the common man, and political skill that enabled them to wield a system of personal domination.<sup>93</sup> Despite the seeming parallels, Rawlings attacked Nkrumah at a Workers Defence Committee meeting in the early days of his military takeover in 1982. He rhetorically posed "What did we get when Nkrumah preached socialism? Detention and hunger."94

Like most African leaders who have sought to show a commitment to African unity, during his long period in power Rawlings supported the idea of a cultural celebration of African identity and his government supported the hosting of Panafest in Accra that began in 1992. This biennial Pan-African celebration has been institutionalized under the auspices of the Ghana government and the AU. Its thrust is to provide a forum of debate, exchange, and unity between Africans on the

continent and in the diaspora. It brings together a host of diverse business concerns, tourists, political activists, artists, and musicians within a Pan-African forum.

In 2007, Nkrumah's name resurfaced in Ghana's political life and in the popular imagination as the country celebrated its Golden Jubilee. The government, led by President John Agyekum Kuffour of the NDC, which is an ideological descendant of the United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC) and the United Party (UP), spent considerable funds on the celebrations. Similarly, the Ghanaian government under the continued leadership of the NDC, led by President John Atta Mills, marked the centennial of Nkrumah's birth from 21 September 2009 to May 25, 2010 (Africa Day), with celebrations around the African continent involving both continental and diasporan Africans. On September 20, 2009, President Mills announced that September 21 of each year would in the future be celebrated as Founders Day.

In his 1966 article, Mazrui took the view that "by the tragedy of his domestic excesses after independence, Nkrumah fell short of becoming a great Ghanaian." Since his article, it appears that Nkrumah has been fully rehabilitated in Ghana to more than "a great Ghanaian." He remains the greatest African of the twentieth century. Both the Jubilee and centenary celebrations in Ghana have revived his name through debates about his legacy on national radio and television. The celebrations have enabled a new generation of both Ghanaians and Africans born in the disapora to rediscover the strengths and weakness of one of the founders of the Ghanaian nation.

# **Nkrumah's Continuing Relevance**

Kofi Hadjor maintains, "It is Nkrumah the theoretician and practitioner of Pan-Africanism who continues to provide interest and respect." Indeed, Nkrumah's enduring contribution has been in relation to his intellectual conception of Africa as a product of three cultural influences: traditional Africa, Islamic, and Western from which he coined the term "Consciencism" or the "philosophy of the African revolution." This paradigm was to influence Ali Mazrui, who later coined the term "triple heritage" in his 1986 film series *The Africans: A Triple Heritage*. Mazrui, one of Africa's leading intellectuals, has frequently sought to examine the cultural impact of the synthesis of Christianity, Islam, and Westernization on contemporary African societies in culture, politics, sociology, and history with prolific perspicacity.

The other important intellectual influence of Nkrumah indisputably remains in respect to his concept and vision of Pan-African unity and identity within the intellectual tradition bequeathed by Africans and notable individuals of African descent, such as Africanus Horton, Edward Blyden, Marcus Garvey, W. E. B. DuBois, Paul Cuffee, Alexander Crummell, and James Kwegyir Aggrey—among many others. Nkrumah is unquestionably among the architects of Pan-Africanist thought within this male-dominated intellectual canon.

By examining the trajectory of Nkrumah's life, the political, social, and cultural views he held can be delineated. Nkrumah remained staunchly committed

to anticolonial and anti-imperialist principles. He was anti-neocolonialism and an ardent supporter of world peace. He was implacably opposed to racial and social injustice. He advocated freedom and equality of all peoples regardless of ethnic background, color, or class. African unity was not simply a mantra but a goal that he considered imperative and realizable. It had to translate into economic, social, cultural, and political development in the lives of African people. Yet it was in implementing his vision that Nkrumah had limited success.

In examining Nkrumah's life, one detects an impatient man fundamentally driven by his ideals, convictions, and ambitions for Africa. He was a man with colossal self assurance and self-belief in his mission to develop Ghana and Africa. He became increasingly authoritarian in his desire to hold on to power. Trade unions, women's groups, youth organizations were absorbed into the CPP. Political dissidence was perceived as a threat to national unity. Yet in this Nkrumah did not differ from his contemporaries who were similarly authoritarian in political thought and practice. Nkrumah's rule was by no means the political template for authoritarian rule. He was among many of his era and generation who had a distinct conception of power and how power was to be wielded for particular ends.

From its initial inception to 1957, the CPP was not distracted from its goal of national independence, despite periods of internal dissent within the party's ranks and virulent political opposition in the form of the National Liberation Movement (NLM) in 1954. In addition, Nkrumah managed to forge positive relations with the British Governor Sir Charles Arden-Clarke during the dyarchic period. This relationship demonstrated that the CPP was a responsible party of government capable of governing the "model colony," but only after reassuring the British colonial administration that they had a reasonable electoral majority to rule. With political independence secured, Nkrumah continued to dominate the African stage with his hosting of the Conference of Independent African States and the All African Peoples Conference in 1958. This important platform demonstrated his commitment to the total liberation of the continent as well as symbolically returning Pan-Africanism to the African continent. A year later, Nkrumah made it clear to his party and Ghanaians that creating a socialist economic kingdom was the priority of his government. From 1959 to 1966, the CPP failed to renew itself and maintain the support of the Ghanaian people while seeking to create an economic paradise. Instead, corruption, authoritarian rule, and misguided socioeconomic policies emerged. These problems emerged as a consequence of Nkrumah's own misjudgment of individuals around him; his indiscipline toward economic planning; and his concept of power, which was highly personal and undemocratic. After 1959, Nkrumah appeared to abandon the idea of a mass party as he sought to create a vanguard party. This Leninist conception, based on an elite leading the people, distanced Nkrumah from a mass constituency required to legitimate his power. However, it was also the malpractices and corruption of ministers and party officials that created deep-seated cynicism among ordinary Ghanaians, particularly as there was no concerted implementation by Nkrumah after the Dawn Broadcast to arrest the growing corruption and economic decline experienced by ordinary people. While Nkrumah sought to instill his ideas within the CPP among ordinary Ghanaians and within state officials via the establishment of the Young Pioneers in 1960 and the Ideological Institute in 1962, he was largely unsuccessful. Fundamentally, it was his concept of power that was elitist and highly personalized that prevented the CPP from renewing itself.

On the African stage, in 1963, he made strenuous diplomatic efforts to mobilize African heads of state to accept his concept of continental union government for Africa. The OAU failed to live up to his aspiration of greater economic and political integration, as it respected the notion of the noninviolability of the colonially inherited borders. In 1964, his contemporaries also rejected his proposal for an African High Command to combat what he considered instability in the continent and neocolonial Balkanisation. Underpinning these rejections were not only Nkrumah's support for dissident political groups from neighboring West African countries but also the belief among some African heads of state that Nkrumah's objectives were grandiose and impracticable and concealed his own desire for self-aggrandizement. Moreover, it is evident that many African leaders during this era considered African unity as a loss of territorial sovereignty and that it would give rise to unwelcome internal interference. As Nyerere revealed in his 1997 address on Ghana's fortieth anniversary, "One head of State expressed with relief that he was happy to be returning home to his country still Head of State." Mwalimu Nyerere said of Nkrumah that he underestimated "the degree of suspicion and animosity which his crusading passion had created among a substantial number of his fellow Heads of State."97 It is clear that Nkrumah's vision of a politically and economically unified African continent was on the wane by the time of the 1965 Accra OAU conference. Thereafter it died.

In short, from his student days to the end of his life, Nkrumah was totally committed to his ambitions for greater economic and political unification for Africa. For him, African unity was the only means by which Africa could develop by harnessing its resources for the betterment of its people. It was inconceivable to him how each African nation-state, as balkanized economic units, could make economic progress without coordinating economic strategies on a continent-wide basis. Similarly, Nkrumah remained committed to a fairer redistribution of the economic resources of society. In the early days of the CPP and up to independence, socialism was never officially proclaimed or reflected in the party's constitution until Nkrumah's important tenth anniversary speech in 1959.

However, Nkrumah was a self-proclaimed Marxist as far back as 1957 when he wrote his *Autobiography*. Nevertheless, it is questionable how many of his ministers were. Yet Nkrumah was aware since the early days of the CPP that the party comprised diverse social elements with conflicting ideological interests. Padmore had observed, as far back as May 1954, the lack of discipline in the party was "a reflection of the low political and ideological level of the membership" and "the degree to which careerism has grown in the party since its 1949 days." Therefore, Nkrumah's attempt to instill socialist doctrine and values by his establishment of the Ideological Institute in 1962, his numerous speeches to civil servants and the Ghanaian public was perhaps too late to seriously arrest this problem.

The question—to what extent could Nkrumah's downfall be linked to his own mishandling of the economy, his attraction to power, his devotion to Pan-African unity, and the policies he put in place to achieve Ghana's economic growth and Africa's unity?—is highly debatable. Nkrumah's domineering charismatic character and vision played a role in shaping Ghana's history during this period. It is the argument of this work that Nkrumah had a clear intellectual vision of the type of African continent he wanted to emerge and Ghana was a microcosm of that ambition.

With his overthrow, Nkrumah's self-analysis of the causes of the coup was far from being a penetrative scrutiny. He considered the causes to lie entirely with imperialist and neocolonial forces. Nkrumah did not consider his authoritarian style of political leadership, impatience, and his economic and political miscalculations as having contributed to his demise. Rather, Nkrumah believed he did not pursue socialism fast enough. In Conakry, Nkrumah remained out of touch with political reality and essentially self-deluded. He planned to return to Ghana and became increasingly convinced that armed struggle was the only path open to African freedom fighters seeking to remove the last colonial vestiges from the African continent. His discussions with Amilcar Cabral and his avid attention to developments in other dependent territories, such as Angola, Mozambique, and Rhodesia, are likely to have reinforced his growing belief in more revolutionary solutions for the liberation of African peoples. Nkrumah went to his deathbed a committed socialist, Pan-Africanist, internationalist, and self-proclaimed Marxist.

As a new generation of African people have emerged on the African continent and in the diaspora and the Cold War has ended, Nkrumah's social, political, and cultural thoughts need to be reassessed and understood in the context of the time in which he emerged and the goals and vision he sought to realize. Why he did or did not achieve these goals also needs to be appreciated. While he continues to be revered as a founder of modern Ghana to Ghanaians across the ideological spectrum and beyond, it is necessary to place him in proper historical perspective. With a more sober understanding of both his positive and negative contributions, it is evident Nkrumah continues to have a relevance to contemporary African political and social thought.

# **Notes**

#### Chapter 1

- K. Nkrumah, "Gold Coast Notebook Nkrumah's Private Notes Containing Notes, Statements and Other Analytic Comments on Important Issues," SC21/10/1A, National Archives of Ghana (hereafter NAG).
- 2. Abugre, "In Defence," 11–13.
- T. Abdul-Raheem, "The Politics of Burial," Thursday Postcard (blog), October 20, 2005.
- 4. Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 45.
- Austin, Politics in Ghana; Apter, "Ghana," and Ghana in Transition; and Bourret, Ghana.
- 6. There are numerous biographies. Among them are Rooney, *Kwame Nkrumah*; Assensoh, *Kwame Nkrumah of Africa*; Timothy, *Kwame Nkrumah*; Davidson, *Black Star*; Birmingham, *Kwame Nkrumah*; Sherwood, *Kwame Nkrumah*; Milne, *Kwame Nkrumah*: A Biography; and Smertin, *Kwame Nkrumah*.
- 7. Crook, "Decolonization," 75–105; and Rathbone, *British Documents*.
- 8. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine; Ladouceur, Chiefs and Politicians; Owusu, Uses and Abuses; and Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs.
- 9. Bretton, *Rise and Fall*; Omari, *Kwame Nkrumah*; and Kraus, "Political Change," 33–72.
- Rimmer, Staying Poor; Killick, Development Economics; Berg "Structural Transformation," 187–230; Green, "Reflections on Economic Strategy," 231–64; and Genoud, Nationalism and Economic Development.
- 11. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 161.
- 12. Cabral's speech was delivered at the funeral commemoration of Nkrumah in Guinea-Conakry in May 1972. Cabral, *Unity and Struggle*, 115.
- 13. Hodgkin, "Nkrumah's Radicalism," 63.
- 14. New African, February 2006, 19.
- 15. Ibid., 13.
- 16. Nugent, Africa since Independence, 4-5.
- 17. Ibid., 8.
- 18. Daddieh, "Ethnicity," 266.
- 19. Young, Ideology and Development, 1; and Nugent, Africa since Independence, 166.
- 20. Young, Ideology and Development, 1.
- 21. Friedland and Rosberg, African Socialism.

- 22. Killick, Development Economics.
- 23. Green, "Reflections on Economic Strategy," 231-64.
- 24. Friedland and Rosberg, African Socialism; see also Young, Ideology and Development.
- 25. Young, chap. 3.
- 26. Ibid., 2-3.
- 27. Metz, "In Lieu of Orthodoxy," 377–92; see also Nkrumah, "African Socialism Revisited" in *The Struggle Continues*.
- 28. Young, Ideology and Development, 9.
- 29. Ibid., 10.
- 30. Ibid.
- 31. Mohan, "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism," 191–228; and Fitch and Oppenheimer, Ghana.
- 32. Jeffries, "Ghana"; Omari, Kwame Nkrumah; and Bretton, Rise and Fall.
- 33. Mazrui, "Nkrumah," 106; and Marable, African and Caribbean Politics, 138.
- 34. Pobee, Kwame Nkrumah; Bretton, Rise and Fall; Omari, Kwame Nkrumah; and Lacouture, Demigods.
- 35. Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 54.
- 36. Young, *African Colonial State*, 2. The term means "he who crushes rocks" and refers to the authoritarian model of state-society relations in which the colonial state was able to suppress all forms of resistance to it.
- 37. Cooper, Africa since 1940; and Mbembe, On the Postcolony.
- 38. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 156.
- 39. Ibid., 160.
- 40. Ibid., 161.
- 41. Ibid., 159.
- 42. Bayart, State in Africa, 238.
- 43. Ibid., 242.
- 44. Ibid., 241.
- 45. Austin, *Politics in Ghana*; Apter, *Ghana in Transition*; Davidson, *Black Star*; and James, *Nkrumah and the Ghana Revolution*.
- 46. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 42.
- 47. Ibid., 44.
- 48. Ibid., 47.
- 49. Ibid., 44.
- 50. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 156; and Young, African Colonial State, 286.
- 51. Mbembe, On the Postcolony, chap. 3.
- 52. Botwe-Asamoah, *Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought*; Killick, *Development Economics*; and Afari-Gyan, "Nkrumah's Ideology," 161–77.
- 53. Poe, Kwame Nkrumah's Contribution; Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politicocultural Thought; and Rahman, Regime Change.
- 54. Agyeman, Nkrumah's Ghana, 28.
- 55. Agyeman, "Social and Political Outlook," 147.
- 56. Nkrumah, Africa Must Unite, 205.
- 57. Mutiso and Rohio, eds., Readings.
- 58. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 183.
- 59. Ibid., 184.
- 60. Karl Marx, The Eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte (original, 1852), p. 15.
- 61. Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 2.

#### Chapter 2

- 1. Nkrumah, *Autobiography*, 8. The exact date of Nkrumah's birth, as he himself acknowledges, is surrounded in uncertainty. On the first page of his *Autobiography*, he writes that his mother remembers his birth as being around the Kuntum festival celebrated by the Nzimas, which his mother calculated would have placed Nkrumah's birth in 1912. It was the Roman Catholic priest who baptized Nkrumah into the Roman Catholic faith that recorded his birth date as September 21, 1909. This date is often accepted as the official birth date of Nkrumah.
- 2. Ibid., 5.
- 3. Ibid., 9.
- 4. Ibid., 9-10.
- 5. Ibid., 10.
- 6. Nkrumah's Christian name—Francis—was most likely bestowed on him during his baptism. Up until 1945 his signature was "Francis Nwia Kofi Nkrumah." It is a name he used until sometime around the formation of the West African National Secretariat (WANS) in 1946. The adoption of "Kwame Nkrumah" may represent a political conversion towards an African identity, particularly after his participation in the important Fifth Pan-African Congress in 1945.
- 7. James Emman Kwegyir Aggrey was born in 1875 in the Gold Coast and died in 1927 in New York. In 1897 he was secretary of the Aborigines Rights Protection Society (ARPS), an organization made up of members of the educated Gold Coast elite and the chiefs who campaigned against the introduction of the Land Bill. He spent twenty years in America and was Professor of English Literature at Livingstone College, North Carolina, from 1902 through 1920. He was invited to join the board of the Phelps-Stokes Commission in 1920. In this role, he traveled extensively around Africa and reported on the state of educational facilities. See Smith, Aggrey of Africa.
- 8. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 12.
- 9. Ibid., 13.
- 10. Cited in King, "James E. K. Aggrey," 530.
- 11. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 12.
- 12. Ibid., 13.
- 13. Ibid., 15.
- 14. Ibid.
- 15. Ibid., 15-16.
- 16. Ibid., 16; see also Smith, Aggrey of Africa, 287.
- Around 1927, the Government Training College was incorporated into Achimota College and Nkrumah was among the first batch of teacher trainees from Achimota.
- 18. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 16.
- 19. The NCBWA was set up by J. Casely-Hayford in March 1920. The organization campaigned for united action among the people of British West Africa—Nigeria, Sierra Leone, The Gold Coast, and The Gambia, focusing on parity between Africans and Europeans in the Legislative Councils in the respective colonies; see July, *Origins*, 445–46.
- 20. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 17.
- 21. Ibid., 13.
- 22. Ibid.
- 23. Ibid., 18.
- 24. African Morning Post, May 15, 1936; see Azikiwe, My Odyssey.
- 25. See Nkrumah, Autobiography, 19, for an extract of the seditious article.

- 26. Ibid., 22.
- 27. See Asante, Pan-African Protest.
- 28. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 24.
- 29. Ibid., 31.
- 30. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 24.
- 31. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 32.
- 32. Ibid., 33-35.
- 33. Ibid., 35. It was not until the beginning of 1939 that Lincoln University registered another 15 African students, which *The Lincoln University Bulletin* of February 1939 considered a "large contingent" in the freshman class. These Africans planned "to pursue professional or graduate studies . . . some [in] education, some journalism, some law, and some medicine"; see NAG: SC21/122/2. According to *The Lincoln University Bulletin* of 1939, "All together, . . . at least 65 students from Africa have registered in the college and seminary of Lincoln University over a period of 66 years."
- 34. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 34.
- 35. NAG: SC21/2/74. This document is a letter to Dr. Horace Mann Bond, who in 1929 became the first black member of the Board of Trustees of Lincoln University. He was later appointed president (in 1945). The letter is from Mrs. Grace Jackson of the Office of the President of Lincoln University and is dated January 11, 1951. Dr. Bond requested references on Nkrumah's character from his tutors.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 53.
- 38. Ibid., 42.
- 39. Ralph Bunche, Alphaeus Hunton, Max Yergan, and Paul Robeson, who served as the organization's Chairman for 18 years until it was banned for "un-American" activities in the McCarthy era of the 1950s, established the CAA in January 1937. Many of the leading figures of the organization were members of the Communist Party of the USA or communist sympathizers. The aim of the organization was to "inform public opinion on what was happening to and in Africa," writes Dorothy Hunton, in *Alphaeus Hunton*, 56–81.
- 40. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 43.
- 41. Nkrumah, *Autobiography*, 26. Nkrumah was also a member of the Philosophy Club at Lincoln University.
- 42. Beverley Carter, letter, box 128-1, folder 2, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 43. NAG: SC21/1/101.
- 44. NAG: SC21/1/100.
- 45. NAG: SC21//101, 2.
- 46. NAG: SC21/100, 4-5.
- 47. Ibid., 1.
- 48. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 34.
- 49. Ibid., 35.
- 50. Dr. J. Newton-Hill, January 1974, Kwame Nkrumah taped recordings 128-27, tape T-19, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 51. Ibid.
- 52. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 34.
- 53. Beverley Carter, letter, box 128-1, folder 2, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 54. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 34.
- 55. Ibid.
- 56. Ibid.
- 57. Ibid., 29–39. Nkrumah narrated his difficult times in finding employment in the United States. Searching for employment in America during the 1930s was very

- difficult due to the economic depression, which led to a contraction in businesses employing staff in the manufacturing and shipbuilding industries. Prospects of securing employment improved over time but were particularly bleak for black people in a society still imbued with racial prejudice.
- 58. Dean of Lincoln University to Dean of Graduate School of Arts & Science, Harvard University, January 9, 1941, NAG: SC21/2/28.
- 59. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 51.
- 60. Nkrumah to Dr. Thomas Jesse Jones, January 29, 1941, NAG: SC21/3/7.
- 61. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 49.
- 62. NAG: SC21/2/74.
- 63. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 50.
- 64. Ibid., 51. Nkrumah's nonallegiance to one denomination perhaps lends strength to his self-reference as a "non-denominational Christian." Interestingly, Aggrey's biographer, E. W. Smith, wrote that Aggrey had no denominational loyalty (*Aggrey of Africa*, 242). It appears Nkrumah shared his mentor's position.
- 65. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 51.
- 66. Ibid.
- 67. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 37.
- 68. In office, Nkrumah employed a number of European advisors within his government, which would have been anathema to Garvey's political creed. In addition to this departure was Nkrumah's preference for European girlfriends.
- 69. NAG: SC21/1/106.
- 70. Ibid. This is the first issue of *The African Interpreter* (vol. 1, no.1, 1943), a publication of the AAS. The slogan of the journal was "For Progress and Democracy."
- 71. Nigerian lawyer Ladipo Solanke, Sierra Leonean barrister Dr. Bankole Bright, and Gold Coast barrister Dr. J. B. Danquah set up the West African Students Union (WASU) in August 1925 in London. It campaigned for African independence from colonial rule by lobbying the British Colonial Office and through its myriad political activities. The organization also met the accommodation and social needs of African students in Britain. See Adi, West Africans in Britain.
- 72. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 35.
- 73. The eight officers of the AAS in 1942 are listed in *The African Interpreter*, NAG: SC21/1/106.
- 74. The Aggrey Commemoration is reported in *The African Interpreter*, NAG: SC21/5/1.
- 75. NAG: SC21/51/1.
- 76. See Dr. Johnson to Nkrumah, April 3, 1943, NAG: SC21/2/65.
- 77. Ibid.
- 78. NAG: SC21/2/65. Nkrumah's response to Dr. Johnson's letter was dated April 24, 1943. We do not know whether Nkrumah was able to meet with Dr. Johnson to further explain his position.
- 79. NAG: SC21/2/65. The document also contains Nkrumah's response to Dr. Johnson. Nkrumah ends his letter amicably wishing the Johnsons a happy Easter.
- 80. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 36.
- 81. Cited in Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 94.
- 82. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 93n16.
- 83. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 36.
- 84. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 94.
- 85. Ibid., 99.
- 86. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 36.
- 87. Written in the summer of 1943.

- 88. NAG: SC21/1/106, 1.
- 89. Ibid., 2–3.
- 90. Ibid., 2.
- 91. Ibid., 7.
- 92. The Lincolnian 5, no. 2 (January 15, 1938): 2, box 128–5, folder 76, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 93. NAG: SC21/1/106, 5.
- 94. Ibid., 6.
- 95. NAG: SC21/4/6, 1. This essay was submitted by Nkrumah as part of his Master's degree in education and published in the University of Pennsylvania journal *Educational Outlook* in November 1943.
- 96. Smith, Aggrey of Africa, 139-41.
- 97. NAG: SC21/4/6, 4.
- 98. NAG: SC21/4/6, 5.
- 99. Ibid., 6.
- 100. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 102-3.
- 101. NAG: SC21/4/6, 7.
- 102. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 102-3.
- 103. NAG: SC21/4/6, 7.
- 104. NAG: SC21/4/6, 9.
- 105. NAG: SC21/1/107.
- 106. Ibid., 6.
- 107. NAG: SC21/1/109; hereafter referred to as "History and Philosophy of Imperialism."
- 108. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 64.
- 109. NAG: SC21/1/108.
- 110. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 65.
- 111. Ibid., 63.
- 112. Nkrumah, Towards Colonial Freedom.
- 113. Ibid., 7. In this small book Nkrumah outlines the four regions of Africa, which is also identically presented in his dissertation (NAG: SC21/1/108, 6–7).
- 114. NAG: SC21/1/109, 2.
- 115. Ibid.
- 116. Ibid., 3.
- 117. Ibid.
- 118. Ibid. This last line strongly suggests Nkrumah's intentions of publishing the work as a pamphlet, which he did through private funds after he arrived in London in 1945. The pamphlet was titled *Towards Colonial Freedom*.
- 119. Hence, Nkrumah's belief in all social groups having a positive role to play in the struggle to obtain independence could account for his insistence on the seamen being accepted within the AAS.
- 120. NAG: SC21/1/109, 22.
- 121. NAG: SC21/10/1, 158.
- 122. Ibid.
- 123. NAG: SC21/1/46; dated October 27, 1942.
- 124. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 78-79.
- 125. Ibid., 79.
- 126. Ibid.
- 127. Cited in Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 79.
- 128. Ibid., 81.
- 129. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 36.

- 130. Cited in Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 82.
- 131. Ibid., 80.
- 132. Hunton, Alphaeus Hunton, 56.
- 133. Nkrumah later shared the platform with Amy Ashwood Garvey at the October 1945 Manchester Pan-African Conference. He befriended Ralphe Bunche and Paul Robeson and later invited Robeson to live in Ghana. Alphaeus Hunton was invited by Dr. W. E. B. DuBois to work on the *Encyclopedia Africana* in Ghana from 1962 through 1966.
- 134. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 35.
- 135. NAG: SC21/1/119. This is an undated, seven-page letter by Nkrumah.

#### Chapter 3

- 1. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 42.
- 2. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 187.
- 3. The relationship between Nkrumah and Appiah later turned hostile in the early 1950s, and the two fell out politically. Significantly, in his *Autobiography* (p. 41) Nkrumah omits Appiah, who accompanied Padmore when meeting him; see also Appiah, *Joe Appiah*.
- 4. Abrahams, *Coyaba Chronicles*, 37. Abrahams was a black South African novelist and former member of the Communist Party. His memoirs are an intimate and penetrating account of his life in South Africa, including his involvement with black political and literary figures of the 1950s and 1960s in London Pan-African circles. He later settled in Jamaica.
- 5. Ibid., 37.
- 6. Adi, West Africans in Britain, 39-40, 54-55.
- 7. Mrs. Manley, interview, June 28, 1973, Box 128–27, Dabu Gizenga Collection, Moorland-Spingarn Research Center, Howard University.
- 8. Appiah, Autobiography, 159.
- 9. Botsio, interview.
- 10. Box 128-5, Folder 77, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- Professor Ayer, taped interview, June 30 1973, Box 128-27, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 12. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 49.
- 13. Ras Makonnen was born in British Guiana and was a committed Pan-Africanist. Peter Fryer refers to him as "a financial wizard"; his restaurant businesses financed the activities of the British-based International African Service Bureau (IASB), which supported the struggles of colonial subjects, the IASB journal *Pan-Africa*, and the famous Fifth Pan-African Congress. See Fryer, *Staying Power*, 338–39; and Makonnen, *Pan-Africanism from Within*.
- 14. Abrahams, Coyaba Chronicles, 36.
- 15. The Pan-African Federation was established in 1944 as an umbrella organization comprising the African Union (based in Glasgow), the Negro Association (Manchester), the Coloured Workers Association (London), and the Association of Students of African Descent (Dublin). See Adi, West Africans in Britain, 125.
- 16. This solidarity grew out of the convening of the Subject People's Conference held on June 10, 1945, in London by the PAF, WASU, and these groups. They addressed themselves as the "Provisional Committee of United Colonial People's Federation." The conference was enthused by the sympathetic position of the UN towards colonial subjects and published a manifesto titled "The Colonies & Peace" that

demanded universal suffrage in the colonies and the end of colonial rule and of the

- "colour bar."
- 17. Adi and Sherwood, Manchester Pan-African Congress, 22.
- 18. Abrahams, Coyaba Chronicles, 45.
- 19. Geiss, Pan-African Movement; Esedebe, Pan-Africanism; Adi and Sherwood, Manchester Pan-African Congress.
- 20. See G. Padmore, "Colonial and Coloured Unity: A Programme of Action," chap. 4 in *Manchester Pan-African Congress*, ed. Adi and Sherwood, 51–125.
- 21. Botsio, interview.
- 22. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 169.
- Nkrumah, Autobiography, 43–44; Padmore, Gold Coast Revolution, 61–63, also argues that there was support for Pan-African socialism. Yet, Padmore does not define this term.
- Nkrumah, Autobiography, 44. B. D. G. Folson disputes that the 5th PAC adopted Marxist socialism as its philosophy; see Folson, "Development of Socialist Ideology."
- 25. Adi and Sherwood, *Manchester Pan-African Congress*, 34–39, contains brief personal recollections from these two individuals.
- 26. Ibid., 43-46.
- 27. Ibid., 102-16, contains the actual congressional resolutions.
- 28. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 44.
- 29. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 171.
- 30. Nkrumah, Towards Colonial Freedom, 44.
- 31. Folson, "Development of Socialist Ideology," 2.
- 32. Botsio, interview.
- 33. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 262.
- 34. See "Aims and Objectives of the West African National Secretariat," NAG: SC21/12/37.
- 35. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 170.
- 36. See NAG: SC21/12/37, 8.
- 37. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 262.
- 38. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 164.
- 39. Makonnen, *Pan-Africanism from Within*, 262–63.
- 40. Ibid., 263. Makonnen and Padmore were by then ardent anticommunists, and therefore it is interesting that Makonnen refers to himself as acting "the role of Vishinsky" (p. 263). In the famous showcase trial of the Bolshevik Nikolai Bukharin on March 2, 1938, in Stalin's Russia, Andrei Vishinsky was one of the presiding judges and prosecutors. Bukharin was convicted of being an enemy of communism and executed on the orders of Stalin.
- 41. Ibid., 263.
- 42. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 188.
- 43. Ibid., 189.
- 44. Ibid.
- 45. Ibid., 181. See also Kanu, *Nkrumah the Man*, 15. In this much-neglected portrait Kanu writes that Nkrumah denied he was a communist.
- 46. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 172.
- 47. Ibid., 188.
- 48. Nkrumah, *Autobiography*, 50; Exhibit 35, Public Record Office (hereafter PRO): CO964/24, is a copy of The Circle document.
- 49. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 50.
- 50. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 131-60.
- 51. Ibid., 136.

- 52. PRO: CO964/24, Exhibit 35.
- 53. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 135.
- 54. Botsio, interview.
- 55. Sherwood, "Kwame Nkrumah," 178.
- 56. Sherwood, Kwame Nkrumah, 168.
- 57. In a letter to the author, June Milne, Kwame Nkrumah's literary executrix, informed me that funds to publish *Towards Colonial Freedom* were from private sources. It is possible that one or multiple member(s) of the CPGB privately funded the pamphlet.
- 58. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 135.
- 59. Afari-Gyan, "Political Ideas," 83.
- 60. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 49. This conference never took place.
- 61. Ibid.
- 62. The UGCC was set up in August 1947 by merchants and lawyers in the Gold Coast to fight for independence from the British.
- 63. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 50.
- 64. Ibid.
- 65. Ibid., 51.
- 66. PRO: CO964/24, Exhibit 56. Nkrumah's letter dated September 27, 1947, confirmed his receipt of the hundred pounds cash from the Working Committee and his plans to leave London by boat via Amsterdam and Sierra Leone.
- 67. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 53.
- 68. Ibid.
- 69. Ibid., 53-54.
- 70. Ibid., 54.
- 71. Ibid.
- 72. See Asante, Ghana, 9–33.
- 73. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 69.
- 74. Ibid., 55. The Gold Coast was divided by the British colonial authorities into the following administrative entities: the Northern Territories, the Colony, Ashanti, and British Togoland.
- 75. Ibid., 58.
- Gold Coast Commission of Enquiry Verbatim Reports of Proceedings Fifth to Seventh Hearings, PRO: C0964/27.
- 77. Ibid.
- 78. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 54.
- 79. Ibid., 55. Further actions that Nkrumah pursued, often on his own initiative, earned him the disquiet of the committee. Among these was his establishment of the Ghana College in Cape Coast in July 1948 and in September of the same year the establishment of a daily newssheet, the *Evening News*.
- 80. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 14.
- 81. Ibid., 13–17.
- 82. Thomas Hodgkin (1910–82) was a British communist up until 1949 and first went to Nigeria, Cameroon, and the Gold Coast in 1947 to look into adult education in British West Africa, as part of the Oxford University Extra-Mural Studies. He wrote a series of articles for West Africa and the Accra Evening News as well as his classic book Nationalism in Colonial Africa. He met Nkrumah in March 1951. His observations of this visit in a number of letters are compiled in Hodgkin and Wolfers, Thomas Hodgkin: Letters, 41.
- 83. Wright, Black Power, 220.
- 84. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 110.

- 85. Ibid.
- 86. Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 58.
- 87. Ibid., 59.
- 88. Folson, "Development of Socialist Ideology."
- 89. Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 85.
- 90. "Gold Coast Notebook Nkrumah's Private Notes Containing Notes, Statements and Other Analytic Comments on Important Issues," NAG: SC21/10/1A.
- 91. C. V. H. Rao, cited in Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 94.
- 92. Ibid., 91.
- 93. Ibid., 93.
- 94. Ibid., 93-94.
- 95. Ibid., 93.
- 96. Ibid., 95.
- 97. Arden-Clarke arrived in the Gold Coast in August 1949. He gave an address to a joint meeting of the Royal African Society and the Royal Empire Society on November 21, 1957, in which he discussed his period in the Gold Coast. Arden-Clarke, "Eight Years of Transition."
- 98. Ibid., 32.
- 99. Sir Reginald Saloway was colonial secretary and acting governor of the Gold Coast; see his "New Gold Coast."
- 100. Ibid., 471.
- 101. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 97.
- 102. Saloway, "New Gold Coast," 471.
- 103. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 88.
- 104. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 109.
- 105. Ibid.
- 106. Ibid.
- 107. It is remarkable that these penciled written letters on thin sheets of toilet paper, which were smuggled out of prison to Komla Gbedemah, who was Nkrumah's right hand man, have survived in legible form. They are compiled in a small book titled Osagyefo Dr. Kwame Nkrumah's Directions for the Running of the Convention People's Party and the "Evening News" from James Fort Prison, Accra, 22 January 1950–12 February 1951, NAG: SC21/8/23.
- 108. Ibid.
- 109. Ibid. This is an undated letter but written about the middle of June 1950.
- 110. Ibid.
- 111. Ibid.
- 112. Ibid.
- 113. Ibid.
- 114. Wright, Black Power, 59-64.
- 115. Ibid., 60.
- 116. NAG: SC21/8/23.
- 117. "Nkrumah's Private Notes Containing Notes, Statements and Other Analytic Comments on Important Issues," NAG: SC21/10/1A.
- 118. NAG: SC21/8/23.
- 119. Tetteh, Ghana Young Pioneer Movement, 44.
- 120. NAG: SC21/12/38. This is the full text of Nkrumah's speech at Lincoln University on June 5, 1951.
- 121. Ibid.

- A major constraint of the Coussey constitution was the veto on policy retained by the governor. In addition, finance, law and order, and foreign relations remained decisively under British control until independence on March 6, 1957.
- 2. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 135.
- 3. Drah, "Nkrumah and Constitutional Democracy," 19.
- 4. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 37.
- 5. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 24.
- 6. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 113.
- 7. Arden-Clarke, "Eight Years of Transition," 34.
- 8. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 122.
- 9. Ibid., 115.
- Ibid., 117. These noble pledges were flagrantly broken when several CPP ministers
  and officials began to lead ostentatious and immodest lives as careerism emerged in
  the party as early as 1952.
- 11. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 125.
- 12. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 153.
- 13. Ibid.
- 14. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, lvii.
- 15. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 23.
- 16. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 123.
- 17. Arden-Clarke, "Eight Years of Transition," 35.
- 18. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 154.
- 19. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 155.
- 20. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, lvii.
- 21. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 125; see Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 152–53.
- 22. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 124.
- 23. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 155.
- 24. Rathbone, *British Documents*, vol. 1, lxxv, n77, observes that CPP ministers made efforts to persuade the rank and file of the party to cease verbal attacks on expatriate officials. The change in titles of district commissioners to government agents and of chief commissioners to regional officers was intended to stem the tide of attacks but was ineffective.
- 25. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 123.
- 26. Ibid., 124.
- Ibid. See also Gold Coast: A Statement on the Programme of the Africanisation of the Public Service (Accra: Government Printing Dept., 1954), box 128-4, folder 329, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 28. G. Bing was a British socialist and was later appointed attorney-general of Ghana by Nkrumah; see Bing, *Reap the Whirlwind*, 182–83. Rathbone also points out that the executive council saw no alternative but to accept the conditions ("Transfer of Power," 161).
- 29. Programme of the Africanisation, Appendix 1.12.
- 30. Cited in Apter, "Ghana" 273.
- 31. K. A. Ninsin, "The Nkrumah Government and the Opposition on the Nation State: Unity vs. Fragmentation," in *Life and Work*, ed. Arhin, 220.
- 32. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 31.
- 33. Daily Graphic, December 4, 1951.
- 34. Ninsin, "Nkrumah Government," 220.
- 35. Ibid.

- 36. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 32.
- 37. E. T. Mensah, "CPP Has Compromised," Daily Graphic, March 7, 1951.
- 38. Ibid.
- 39. Daily Graphic, December 29, 1951.
- 40. Ibid.
- 41. "Nartey Resigns CPP Post," Daily Graphic, December 29, 1951.
- 42. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 167-68.
- 43. Ibid.
- 44. Padmore to Nkrumah, January 13, 1952, box 154-41, folder 14, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 45. Padmore's letters to Nkrumah extend from 1951 to 1956.
- 46. Padmore, January 13, 1952.
- 47. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 183.
- 48. Ibid.
- 49. See Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 100-15, for the full text of the speech.
- 50. After the Positive Action campaign of January 1950, the old Trades Union Congress split into two factions: the Ghana Trades Union Congress supported by the CPP and the Gold Coast Trade Union Congress supported by the United Africa Employees Union.
- 51. NAG: SC21/8/23.
- 52. This was a view held by Sir Charles Arden-Clarke. See his letter dated December 4, 1953, to W. L. Gorell Barnes, Assistant Under-Secretary of State, in Rathbone, *British Documents*, vol. 2, 77.
- 53. The amalgamation did not last long, and in 1955 the split reemerged.
- 54. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 76.
- 55. Ibid.
- 56. Ibid.
- 57. Ibid., 78.
- 58. Nkrumah's speech was reported in "Nkrumah's Purge of 'Red Agents' Means Defeat for Moscow and Malan," *Evening News*, October 30, 1953. Officials with the Colonial Office were very much aware of this speech; see Sir Thomas Lloyd's letter to Sir Charles Arden-Clarke in Rathbone, *British Documents*, vol. 2, 78.
- "CPP Suspends Anthony Woode and Turkson Ocran Total Expulsion Intended," *Evening News*, October 24, 1953.
- 60. Ibid.
- 61. NAG: ADM14/2/81; and Assembly Debates (Gold Coast), 980-82.
- 62. Assembly Debates (Gold Coast), February 25, 1954, 980–82. It is hard to imagine Nkrumah's action being nonpolitical.
- 63. Rathbone, *British Documents*, vol. 2, 81. This was expressed by Sir Arden-Clarke in a letter to Sir Thomas Lloyd dated January 13, 1954.
- 64. Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 163, argues that throughout 1951–52 rumors circulated of corruption and malpractice among CPP party officials. J. A Braimah was rumored to have accepted 2000 pounds sterling from an Armenian contractor, which the Korsah commission later verified.
- 65. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 81.
- 66. Ibid., 82.
- 67. Ashanti Sentinel, November 3, 1953. Padmore was virulently anticommunist, as was Garvey.
- 68. Mr. Kofi Duku was Nkrumah's political secretary from September 1948 to December 1954. He was 75 years of age at the time of this interview with author on August 15, 2002, in Accra.

- 69. Cited in Austin, Politics in Ghana, 162.
- 70. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 189-90.
- 71. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 126.
- 72. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 34.
- 73. Rathbone maintains that in the early 1950s chiefs were facing defiance from the "youngmen" following the 1950 general election and particularly after the introduction of the local government reforms of 1951. This led to riots in the towns of Abompe, Asamama, Osiem, Osino, and Pomase; see Rathbone, *Nkrumah and the Chiefs*, 42.
- 74. Ibid., 69.
- 75. The brief of the commission chaired by W. B. Van Lare was to examine and propose new electoral boundaries for the country. In doing so it aggrieved the chiefs and people of the small Aowin state in the colony who begrudged their amalgamation with the larger state of Amenfi; see Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 176–77.
- 76. "Should Chiefs Enter the Assembly?" Ashanti Sentinel, October 27, 1953.
- 77. See Assembly Debates (Gold Coast), November 9, 1953, 192-95.
- 78. Cited in Young, Ideology and Development, 152.
- 79. On March 1, 1954, in the legislative assembly Nkrumah also publicly invited foreign investment into the country.
- 80. Rathbone points out that despite Nkrumah's efforts, the mining sector was never thoroughly reassured ("Transfer of Power," 182).
- 81. Mohan, "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism," 195.
- 82. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 176.
- 83. Ibid., 332.
- 84. Ibid., 176.
- 85. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, lvii.
- 86. Ibid., 282-87.
- 87. Ibid., 307.
- 88. Ibid.
- 89. Letter dated January 13, 1952, box 154-41, folder 14, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 90. Ibid
- 91. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, 301.
- 92. Ibid., 298.
- 93. Rathbone, "Transfer of Power," 172.
- 94. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 159.
- 95. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, 304.
- 96. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 127.
- 97. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 1, lx.
- 98. African Morning Post, December 8, 1953.
- 99. Ibid
- 100. African Morning Post, December 23, 1953.
- 101. It was formerly known by the name the Muslim Association; see Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 188–89.
- 102. Ibid., 188.
- 103. Ibid., 184–87; Ladouceur, *Chiefs and Politicians*, gives a detailed examination of the conditions that gave rise to the NPP.
- 104. Ladouceur, Chiefs and Politicians, 83.
- 105. Ibid., 92.
- 106. Ibid. Northern politicians and traditional leaders repeatedly sought to remind the British colonial government that the latter had certain responsibilities toward

- the chiefs and peoples of the Northern Territories as "protected persons" who had entered into treaties with the British government.
- 107. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 172.
- 108. Apart from the small Aowin state in the colony, which was upset by this outcome, the Asante too voiced their opposition in the legislative assembly in November 1953. They claimed that on account of the fact that they produced over half the country's cocoa, they deserved 30 seats in the new legislature; see Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 176–78. The argument presented by the Asantes was a foretaste of a bitter political dispute of the near future.
- 109. Ibid., 210.
- 110. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 172.
- 111. Padmore to Nkrumah, May 10, 1954, box 154-41. folders 13 and 14, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 112. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 172.
- 113. Ibid.
- 114. Aaron Ofori Atta was the son of the late Okyenhene, Nana Sir Ofori Atta I, who died in 1943. He was also the nephew of Dr. J. B. Danquah, who was the half brother of Willie Ofori Atta. Both were fierce opponents of the CPP. Danquah was defeated by his nephew in the 1954 general elections in Akyem Abuakwa. This defeat added to the political betrayal, for Aaron Ofori Atta was the minister of local government. See Rathbone, *Nkrumah and the Chiefs*, 62.
- 115. Ibid., 73.
- 116. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 89.
- 117. Ibid., lxi.
- 118. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 89.
- 119. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 36.
- 120. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 238.
- 121. Ibid., 243.
- 122. Drah, "Nkrumah and Constitutional Democracy," 19.
- 123. The NPP won 12 seats in the new assembly. See Ladouceur, *Chiefs and Politicians*, 129; and Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 241.
- 124. Nkrumah, *Autobiography*, 177; see also Rathbone, *British Documents*, vol. 2, 106–7. Arden-Clarke's dispatch to the Secretary of State for Colonies in December 1954 observed that Nkrumah considered the NPP a tribal and not a national opposition.
- 125. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 177.
- 126. Ladouceur, Chiefs and Politicians, 130.
- 127. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 177.
- 128. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 38.
- 129. Ninsin, "Nkrumah Government," 223.
- 130. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 98.
- 131. The bill was later to become the Avoidance of Discrimination Act, which became law in December 1957. The intention of the bill was to ban the formation of sectional political associations and parties. See Rathbone, *Nkrumah and the Chiefs*, 67.
- 132. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 281.
- 133. Ibid.
- 134. Ladouceur, Chiefs and Politicians, 123.
- 135. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana: A Political Interpretation," in *African Socialism*, ed. Friedland and Rosberg, 133.

- 1. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 23.
- 2. E. Y. Baffoe had been the CPP's regional propaganda secretary, a member of the CMB, and director of the Cocoa Purchasing Company (CPC). When the party refused to consider his candidacy for the June 1954 general election, he and many other CPP members in Ashanti were greatly frustrated. Baffoe and others channeled their political frustrations and ambitions into the September 1954 founding of the NLM and its immediate growth. He and others brought sophisticated organizational skills they had acquired from the CPP to the NLM.
- 3. See Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 17, for a list of the NLM's political demands.
- 4. Ibid., 28-49.
- 5. Ibid., 56-64.
- 6. Ibid., 67.
- 7. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 180.
- Ibid.
- 9. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 191.
- 10. Ibid., 67.
- 11. Ibid.
- 12. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 284.
- 13. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 70.
- 14. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 281.
- 15. Ibid.
- 16. Ibid.
- 17. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 66.
- 18 Ibid
- 19. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 98; this telegram is dated November 18, 1954.
- 20. Ibid.
- 21. Daily Graphic, January 4, 1955.
- 22. Ibid.
- 23. Joe Appiah had met Nkrumah on his arrival in London in 1947 together with Padmore. Appiah had studied for the bar in London, and the two became very close political colleagues. He became Nkrumah's personal representative of the CPP in London from 1952 to 1954. Appiah began to question the CPP's policies after receiving a lengthy letter from Kwesi Plange, a member of the CPP's Central Committee, on growing corruption within the party.
- 24. Allman, *Quills of the Porcupine*, 88–95. Other defections followed: J. D. De Graft-Johnson and A. R. Dennis.
- 25. Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 292–96, and Allman, *Quills of the Porcupine*, 97–99, narrate the historical grievances of the Brongs.
- 26. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 286.
- 27. Ibid., 295; see Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 78.
- 28. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 294.
- 29. Krobo Edusei was a founder of the Asante Youth Organisation whose support was essential to the CPP if they were to obtain a footing in Ashanti. He had risen from a poor background as a debt collector of a provincial newspaper, claims Bing (*Reap the Whirlwind*, 121).
- 30. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 295.
- 31. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 77.
- 32. Ibid.
- 33. Ibid., 96.

- 34. See ibid., 101–7, for an account of the nature of this resistance culture.
- 35. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 299.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Ibid., 299-300.
- 38. Ibid., 300.
- 39. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 142. Dispatch dated July 29, 1955.
- 40. Ibid.
- 41. Ibid., 112.
- 42. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 109.
- 43. Ibid., 110.
- 44. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 111.
- 45. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 143.
- 46. Ibid., 145.
- 47. Sir Frederick Bourne arrived in the country on September 26, 1955, and completed his recommendations in a written report in December of the same year. While he was sympathetic to the opposition's demands, he favored a unitary government with power remaining at the center. Nevertheless, he also recommended the creation of five regional assemblies with delegated powers.
- 48. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 115.
- 49. Ibid., 119 and 128.
- 50. Rathbone, British Document, vol. 2, 226; dispatch to Mr. Lennox-Boyd. Ultimately the amendment to the State Council (Ashanti) Ordinance permitted those chiefs who had been destooled the right of appeal to the governor and not to the cabinet.
- Ibid.
- 52. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 181.
- 53. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 226.
- 54. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 55, argues that by mid-1955, reform of the native courts was far from being achieved on account of a shortage of trained lawyers and low salaries. Therefore the traditional native court system was expedient due to its cheapness.
- 55. Ibid., 51.
- 56. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 121.
- 57. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 226.
- 58. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 182.
- 59. Ibid. See also the memoir of Erica Powell, *Private Secretary*.
- 60. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 126.
- 61. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 182-83.
- 62. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 291.
- 63. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 128.
- 64. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 181.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. Ibid.
- 67. Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 112.
- 68. The opposition had also declined the invitation to meet with Sir Frederick Bourne in late 1955 on account of their outrage at the assembly's passage of the State Council (Ashanti) Ordinance.
- 69. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 137.
- 70. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 204-5.
- 71. Ibid., 205.
- 72. Ibid.
- 73. Ibid.

- 74. Ibid., 207.
- Rathbone, British *Documents*, vol. 2, 253. Lennox-Boyd's letter to Nkrumah is dated April 4, 1956.
- 76. Ibid., 253.
- 77. Ibid., 255. Nkrumah's letter is dated April 20, 1956.
- 78. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 109.
- Nkrumah's response to Lennox-Boyd dated April 20, 1956; Rathbone, British Documents, vol. 2, 256.
- 80. Ashanti Times, June 5, 1956.
- 81. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 320.
- 82. Ibid., 319-20.
- 83. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 147.
- 84. Ibid., 151; Austin, Politics in Ghana, 319.
- 85. For an in-depth analysis of the 1956 general election, see Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, chap. 7, 316–62.
- 86. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 340.
- 87. Ibid.
- 88. Ibid., 320.
- 89. Ibid.
- 90. Ibid., 323-37.
- 91. Ibid., 326.
- 92. Ibid., 330.
- 93. Ibid., 332 and 334.
- 94. Ibid., 337.
- 95. Ibid., 348.
- 96. For a critical assessment of the election results, see Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 347–53.
- 97. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 153.
- 98. Ibid., 154.
- 99. Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 348. Allman also points out "only 50% of the Gold Coast's registered voters participated in the election (or 30% of the country's adult population). Thus the CPP's mandate was based on the electoral support of 17% of the adult population (or 28.5% of registered voters)"; see Allman, *Quills of the Porcupine*, 159.
- 100. Nkrumah, *Autobiography*, 217. This amounted to 93,095 votes for union and 67,492 in favor of separation.
- 101. Allman, Quills of the Porcupine, 169.
- 102. Ibid., 181.
- 103. Ibid., 183.
- 104. Walters, Pan-Africanism, 97.
- 105. "Prime Minister's Midnight Speech on the Eve of Independence 6 March, 1957," box 154-14, folder 21, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 106. Ibid.
- 107. Ibid. In later years Nkrumah enunciated his definition of the "African Personality."
- 108. "Prime Minister's Midnight Speech."
- 109 Ibid
- 110. Kraus, "Political Change," 41.
- 111. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 372.
- 112. Ibid., 373.
- 113. West Africa, March 16, 1957.
- 114. Ibid.

- 1. K. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 111.
- 2. Ibid., 123.
- 3. Cited in Fitch and Oppenheimer, Ghana, 25.
- 4. K. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 10.
- 5. Ibid.
- 6. Kanu, Nkrumah the Man, 36.
- 7. "Possibility of Dr Nkrumah Marrying an Englishwoman," PRO: CO967/175.
- 8. Douglas Rogers met Nkrumah in early 1956. He was assistant editor of the *New Leader*, later renamed the *Socialist Leader*, which was edited by Fenner Brockway, the British Labour MP. Rogers was also very close to George Padmore. He became secretary of the London-based Congress of Peoples Against Imperialism and was appointed general-secretary of the Movement for Colonial Freedom. Due to deafness in his eighties, he could not be formally interviewed but agreed to answer my interview questions by letter. It is extremely difficult to corroborate views expressed by Douglass, as the key individuals are deceased. His written interview responses are dated February 12, 1999. He died in 2002.
- 9. E. Powell was a British national and Nkrumah's private secretary for 15 years (1951 to 1966); see Powell, *Private Secretary*, 123.
- 10. PRO: DO35/6192.
- 11. Powell, Private Secretary, 125.
- 12. Ibid. An indication of the success of the CPP propaganda machine in changing Ghanaian public opinion is reflected in how quickly the market women designed a traditional Kente cloth after Fathia, named "Fathia fata Nkrumah" (Fathia deserves Nkrumah); see G. Nkrumah, "Fathia Nkrumah."
- PRO: DO35/6192. This letter is dated January 10, 1958. J. R. A. Bottomley worked in the British Embassy in Washington, DC, and M. E. Allen worked in the Commonwealth Relations Office in London.
- 14. Mohan, "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism," 201.
- 15. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 113.
- 16. Ibid., 117.
- 17. These initial developments led to the creation of the Brong region in 1959.
- 18. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 119.
- Ibid., 127. Meanwhile, the government installed Nana Kwabena Kena II as Adontehene in the pro-CPP town of Kukurantumi on June 13, 1958, until a new Okyehene could be enstooled.
- 20. Ibid., 128.
- 21. Ibid., 123.
- 22. West Africa, October 12, 1957.
- 23. Bing, Reap the Whirlwind, 270.
- 24. This later led to the arrest of 43 UP suspects in November 1958. They were accused of seeking to overthrow the government.
- 25. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 381.
- 26. Cabinet Minutes, June 20, 1958, NAG: ADM/13/1.
- 27. Parliamentary Debates, July 14, 1958, 408.
- 28. West Africa, November 15, 1958; and Austin, Politics in Ghana, 381.
- 29. West Africa, November 15, 1958; and Baynham, Military and Politics, 87–92.
- 30. Bing, Reap the Whirlwind, 268.
- 31. Ibid.
- 32. Ibid., 269.

- 33. Ibid., 269-70.
- 34. Among those deported were Idris Braimah, Sam Moshie, Adamu Dogo, and many others; see Rathbone, *Nkrumah and the Chiefs*, 137. The government justified these deportations on the grounds that the individuals were criminal elements or non-Ghanaians who were jeopardizing the security of the state.
- 35. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 136.
- 36. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 405.
- 37. Ibid
- 38. Folson, "Development of Socialist Ideology," 1-2.
- 39. Ibid.
- 40. Ibid., 2.
- 41. Ibid., 2-3.
- 42. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 135-40.
- 43. Ibid., 139.
- 44. Ibid., 138–39. Legum points out that there was little evidence that the PVAs functioned as organized units within the party structure.
- 45. Cited in Ghana Young Pioneers.
- 46. Since the formation of the CPP, women had played an instrumental role in the growth, financing, and organizing of the party. See Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations, 101–27.
- 47. Adamafio, *By Nkrumah's Side*, 113–20; see also Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations,"101–29.
- 48. Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations," 119. From its inception, Sophia Doku was the first secretary general. She was followed by Stella Abeka and then Margaret Martei.
- 49. Apter, "Ghana," 293.
- 50. Ibid., 209.
- 51. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 390-91.
- 52. Ibid., 394.
- 53. Ibid., 394-95.
- 54. Mohan, "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism," 209.
- 55. Ibid.
- 56. Ibid.
- 57. Baynham, Military and Politics, 137.
- 58. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 143.
- 59. Killick, Development Economics, 34.
- 60. Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 402.
- 61. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 143-44; see also Adamafio, By Nkrumah's Side, 57.
- 62. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 144.
- 63. Ibid.
- 64. This is a disparaging term the CPP old guard used to refer to the left wingers inside the party; Botsio, interview. Botsio was a member of the CPP old guard. See also Austin, *Politics in Ghana*, 407, on the left-wingers who espoused "the cloudy tenets of Nkrumaism."
- 65. Adamafio was the inspiration behind the broadcast, and Bing writes that he also drafted the speech; see Bing, *Reap the Whirlwind*, 407.
- 66. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 403.
- 67. Ibid., 403-5.
- 68. Ibid., 405.
- 69. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 147.
- 70. Ibid., 145.

- 71. Ibid., 146.
- 72. Ibid., 148; see also Austin, Politics in Ghana, 405.
- 73. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 405.
- 74. Legum, "Socialism in Ghana," 146.
- 75. Young, *Ideology and Development*, 11.
- 76. West Africa, April 7, 1962. Mrs Edusei, who was a trader, claimed that she bought the bed with her own money. This story was widely reported in the American and British press.
- 77. The National Council of Ghana Women made a resolution criticizing the purchase. See *West Africa*, April 7, 1962.
- 78. West Africa, April 28, 1962.
- 79. Adamafio, By Nkrumah's Side, 125.
- 80. Ibid., 127.
- 81. June Milne, letter to author, June 11, 2004.
- 82. H. M. Basner was a former white South African Communist (born 1905 in Russia and died in England in 1977). He left the Communist Party of South Africa in 1939 after the Soviet invasion of Finland. He left South Africa after the 1960 Sharpeville massacre and before going to Ghana he visited Tanzania. From 1962 to 1966 he ran a column in the *Ghanaian Times*. He wrote an incomplete and very interesting political memoir of his reflections of Ghana and Nkrumah that are known as the H. M. Basner papers at the Institute of Commonwealth Studies in London. See the H. M. Basner Papers, Bas/2/128.
- 83. Ibid., Bas/2/130.
- 84. Dei-Anang, *The Administration of Ghana's Foreign Relations*, 30. There were already in existence a number of secretariats long before the Kulungugu assassination attempt. There were 12 at Flagstaff House around 1962–63. The attack gave Nkrumah further justification to take direct personal control.
- 85. Bas/2/128.
- 86. Kanu, Nkrumah the Man, 122.
- 87. Parliamentary Debates, September 6, 1962, 62. In an interview with Dr. K. B. Asante on September 9, 2009, in London, he informed the author that as the principal secretary within the African Affairs Secretariat (AAS) from 1961 through 1966, he organized for the three men to be accommodated in one room on account of the lack of rooms. He was of the view that the three men were victims of "a frame up" under "a concocted charge."
- 88. Parliamentary Debates, September 7, 1962, 93.
- 89. Ibid.
- 90. See Bas/2/133-34.
- 91. Ibid., Bas/2/137.
- 92. Baynham, Military and Politics, 134.
- 93. Ibid.
- 94. Kofi Batsa was one of the 81 rebel candidates expelled from the CPP in 1954; see Batsa, *Spark*, 11. He was later rehabilitated and appointed principal research officer at the Bureau of African Affairs. He served briefly as editor of the monthly magazine the *Voice of Africa* and became co-editor of *The Spark*. He was a vocal exponent of the ideology of Nkrumaism. In the post-Nkrumah era, he concluded that socialism was no longer the way forward for Africa, but capitalism. S. G. Ikoku was a Nigerian academic who was appointed a member of the staff of the Winneba Ideological Institute, which was set up in 1961 to provide ideological training for all party and government officials. The National Liberation Council that deposed Nkrumah later deported him.

- 95. Batsa, Spark, 16.
- 96. Ibid.
- 97. Ibid., 14.
- 98. New Ashanti Times, January 12, 1963.
- 99. E. Obetsebi Lamptey was one of the "Big Six" in the United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC) leaders arrested in 1948. In 1961 he fled briefly to Lomé, where he continued his political opposition to Nkrumah. He returned to Ghana in 1962 after Nkrumah declared an amnesty. By this time he was ill with lung cancer and tuberculosis.
- 100. Obetsebi Lamptey died in his cell on January 29, 1963, due to deterioration in his health. See *Detention and Death in Nsawam prison, Mr. E. Obetsebi Lamptey: Extracts from Evidence of Witnesses at the Commission of Enquiry into Ghana Prisons, 1967*, NAG: ADM5/3/42.
- 101. Parliamentary Debates, December 7, 1962, 101-9.
- 102. Ibid., 102.
- 103. Ibid., 103.
- 104. Baynham, Military and Politics, 136.
- 105. Ibid., 137. Baynham claims that this complex civilian-military apparatus was advised by a number of Eastern bloc advisors. The Presidential Guard, for example, was not only under the aegis of the PDD, but Cuban specialists also supervised it.
- 106. This argument was put forward by S. I. Iddrissu, CPP member for Dagomba North; see *Parliamentary Debates*, September 11, 1962, 171–73.
- 107. K. Nkrumah, Autobiography, x.
- 108. K. Nkrumah, Axioms, 103.
- 109. K. Nkrumah, Africa Must Unite, 71.
- 110. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 101.
- 111. Instead the Special Court had found Messrs. Joseph Yaw Manu and Benjamin Otchere guilty; see *West Africa*, May 21, 1966. June Milne, in a letter to the author on June 11, 2004, revealed that Nkrumah once said to her in Guinea-Conakry that "On balance I think [Adamafio] was not guilty." He was "definitely unsure" of what role, if any, that Adamafio played.
- 112. The letter was dated December 14, 1963; see James, *Nkrumah*, 179. Mr. Joseph Kingsley-Nyinah, who was a Ghanaian judge from 1957 to 1966, vociferously contends that "Nkrumah tried to make himself judge, advocate, referee, umpire and linesman" when "he should have left the judges free, left them severely alone to do their work as they saw fit and in administering justice" (Kingsley-Nyinah, interview).
- 113. Gamal Nkrumah, interview; see also Kanu, Nkrumah the Man, 127.
- 114. Kanu, Nkrumah the Man, 123.
- 115. Baynham, Military and Politics, 134.
- 116. Ibid., 138.
- 117. Ibid., 138-39.
- 118. These two men were Ewes and were later to play an instrumental role in the February 1966 coup d'état; see Baynham, *Military and Politics*, 156.
- 119. Bas/2/123. Kanu also wrote that Nkrumah was opposed to the idea of capital punishment; *Nkrumah the Man*, 127, 140.
- 120. K. Nkrumah, Autobiography, 108-9.
- 121. West Africa, January 4, 1964; see also Austin, Politics in Ghana, 413.
- 122. Austin, Politics in Ghana, 414.
- 123. Batsa, Spark, 41.
- 124. Dr. Frimpong was the deputy governor of the Bank of Ghana from 1965 to 1968.

- 125. Cited in Curtis, "Black Power."
- 126. NAG: ADM5/3/143.
- 127. Timothy, Kwame Nkrumah, 187.
- 128. There was, however, a precedent in the sudden removal of General H. T. Alexander in September 1961; see Baynham, *Military and Politics*, 143.
- 129. Ibid.
- 130. Kotoka deeply resented this appointment because he felt that as someone more senior than the other two (Barwah and Ocran), he should have been appointed to the First Brigade.
- 131. Bas/2/156.
- 132. Ibid.
- 133. Bas/2/159.
- 134. Bas/2/157-59.
- 135. Ibid., Bas/2/157.
- 136. Ibid.
- 137. West Africa, July 17, 1965.

- 1. New York Times, November 10, 1957; and New York Herald Tribune, October 11, 1957.
- 2. K. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 139.
- 3. Ibid. Nkrumah spoke to students, the American press, and the House of Representatives.
- 4. Ibid., 146.
- 5. Krassowski, Development, 18.
- 6. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 136.
- 7. Rimmer, Staying Poor, 86; and Fitch and Oppenheimer, Ghana, 90.
- 8. Krassowski, Development, 27.
- 9. Parliamentary Debates, March 4, 1959.
- 10. Ibid., 192-93.
- 11. Ibid., 194.
- 12. Ibid., 195–96. Nkrumah declared that among the new industrial projects there would be a new cement and flour factory, an oil processing plant, the development of communications, and the establishment of a new broadcasting television station.
- 13. Fitch and Oppenheimer, Ghana, 90.
- 14. Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations," 109.
- 15. Tetteh, Ghana Young Pioneer Movement, 49-52.
- 16. Levey, "Rise and Decline," 160.
- 17. Cited in Ghana Young Pioneers, 2.
- 18. Cited in Agyeman, Ideological Education and Nationalism, 9.
- 19. Cited in Ghana Young Pioneers, 4.
- 20. Parliamentary Debates, June 25, 1963, 152; cited in Ghana Young Pioneers, 3.
- 21. Cited in Pobee, Kwame Nkrumah, 129.
- 22. Parliamentary Debates, June 25, 1963, 150.
- 23. Pobee, Kwame Nkrumah, 128; and see Tetteh, Ghana Young Pioneer Movement, xvii.
- 24. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 253; and Rooney, Kwame Nkrumah, 173.
- 25. Agyeman, Ideological Education and Nationalism, 11.
- Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute (KNII), file no. 423, George Padmore Research Library, Accra.

- 27. Ibid.
- 28. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 253.
- See "Building a Socialist State: An Address by Osagyefo Dr. Kwame Nkrumah, President of the Republic of Ghana, to the CPP Study Group at Flagstaff House, Accra, April 22, 1961," NAG: ADM16/14.
- 30. Ibid., 1.
- 31. Ibid., NAG: ADM16/14, p. 2.
- 32. Ibid.
- 33. Ibid., NAG: ADM/14, p. 3.
- 34. Ibid.
- 35. Ibid., NAG: ADM/14, p. 7.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Ibid., NAG: ADM/14, p. 8.
- 38. Ibid.
- 39. Killick, Development Economics, 125.
- 40. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 173.
- 41. Dei-Anang, Administration, 52.
- 42. Rooney, Kwame Nkrumah, 174.
- 43. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 165.
- 44. Ibid., 173.
- 45. Dei-Anang, Administration, 49.
- 46. West Africa, August 26, 1961; and West Africa, August 19, 1961.
- 47. See West Africa, October 21, 1961; and West Africa, September 2, 1961.
- 48. Killick, Development Economics, 128.
- 49. Curtis, "Black Power."
- 50. Levey, "Rise and Decline," 159.
- 51. Ibid., 160.
- 52. NAG: ADM/13/2/50.
- 53. Levey, "Rise and Decline," 169.
- 54. Cited in Adewale, Pan-Africanism and Zionism, 134.
- 55. Levey, "Rise and Decline," 169.
- 56. Danquah, *Ghanaian Establishment*, 66–71. This invaluable book is a collection of Danquah's speeches, which contain his political thoughts.
- 57. Ibid., 70. In the wake of the September 1961 strike, the Nkrumah government imprisoned Danquah.
- 58. Rimmer, "Crisis," 21; and Rimmer, Staying Poor, 86.
- 59. Rimmer, Staying Poor, 86
- 60. K. Nkrumah, Autobiography, vii.
- 61. Afari-Gyan, Political Ideas, 204; and see K. Nkrumah, Towards Colonial Freedom.
- 62. Genoud, Nationalism and Economic Development, 74; 85.
- 63. Killick, Development Economics, 40.
- 64. Folson "Period of African Socialism," 3.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. Ibid., 20.
- 67. It is evident in Nkrumah's writings that he used "Ghana" and "Africa" interchangeably. In his mind, they were indivisible.
- 68. New Ashanti Times, March 2, 1963.
- 69. Killick, Development Economics, 38.
- 70. These views were later reflected in his book *Neo-colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism.*

- Killick, Development Economics, 37; see also Genoud, Nationalism and Economic Development, 69.
- 72. Killick, *Development Economics*, 37; see also Mohan, "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism," 200.
- 73. Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations," 127.
- 74. Killick, Development Economics, 37.
- 75. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 93.
- 76. Tel Aviv Political Reports to Osagyefo, NAG: SC/BAA/474.
- 77. Ibid.
- 78. Genoud, Nationalism and Economic Development, 69.
- 79. Rimmer, "Crisis," 23.
- 80. Killick, Development Economics, 137-39; see also Tignor, W. Arthur Lewis, 184-93.
- 81. Killick, 139.
- 82. Ibid., 140.
- 83. Ibid., 141-42.
- 84. Ibid., 142.
- 85. Ibid.
- 86. Ibid.
- 87. Ibid., 143.
- 88. Berg, "Structural Transformation," 228.
- 89. Ibid.
- 90. Tignor, W. Arthur Lewis, 161-74.
- 91. Ibid., 178.
- 92. Ibid., 173.
- 93. Killick, Development Economics, 140.
- 94. Green, "Reflections on Economic Strategy," 233.
- 95. Killick, Development Economics, 152.
- 96. Tignor, W. Arthur Lewis, 154–58.
- 97. Ibid., 150. Table 6.2 provides budgetary trends on capital and current items from 1957 to 1966.
- 98. Agyeman, Ideological Education and Nationalism, 19.
- 99. Tignor, W. Arthur Lewis, 196-206.
- 100. Ibid., 200.
- 101. Ibid., 201.
- 102. See Nwaubani, *United States and Decolonization*, 163–204; and Milne, *Kwame Nkrumah*, 110–12.
- 103. Nwaubani, United States and Decolonization, 202.
- 104. Ibid., 203.
- 105. Bing, Reap the Whirlwind, 392.
- 106. Hart, Volta River Project, 104. The work is a critical examination of the VRP and the contending interests in the entire project. The author assesses the negative socioeconomic impact of resettlement of the eighty thousand people living in the area and concludes that the dam did not procure Nkrumah's goal of a structural transformation of the Ghanaian economy.
- 107. Kojo Botsio informed Milne that President Kennedy sought to avoid the "fiasco" of the Aswan dam whereby President Nasser nationalized the Suez Canal in 1956 after being refused a loan by the World Bank to finance the building of the Aswan dam. Nasser immediately turned to the Soviets at a time of considerable hostility between the superpowers. See Milne, Kwame Nkrumah: A Biography, 111; and Nwaubani, United States and Decolonization, 204.
- 108. NAG: SC21/1/118.

- 109. Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought, 5.
- 110. Ibid., 152 and 156.
- 111. NAG: SC21/10/1A.
- 112. Hagan, "Nkrumah's Cultural Policy," 187.
- 113. Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought, 153; and Manuh, "Women and Their Organisations," 106.
- 114. P. A. V. Ansah, "Kwame Nkrumah and the Mass Media," 84.
- 115. Ibid., 86.
- 116. Ibid., 87.
- 117. Ibid., 86.
- 118. Ibid., 91.
- 119. The director of the Ghana Television Service was Shirley Du Bois, wife of Dr. W. E. B. Du Bois. Her appointment is another example of Nkrumah's Pan-African commitment to embracing African Americans who were willing to work for Africa's development.
- 120. Ansah, "Kwame Nkrumah and the Mass Media," 91.
- 121. Cited in Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought, 168.
- 122. Ibid., 173.
- 123. Ibid., 188.
- 124. Bas/2/165.
- 125. Ibid., Bas/2/167.
- 126. Ibid., Bas/2/165.
- 127. Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought, 189.
- 128. Bas/2/166.
- 129. Ibid.
- 130. West Africa, February 15, 1964.
- 131. Ibid.
- 132. West Africa, February 8, 1964.
- 133. Bas/2/172.
- 134. Bas/2/176.
- 135. Bas/2/177.
- 136. Ibid.
- 137. Botwe-Asamoah, Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought, 200.

- Agyeman, Ideological Education and Nationalism, 7; and Killick, Development Economics, 33.
- 2. Dei-Anang, Administration, 32.
- 3. Ibid.
- 4. Fathia Nkrumah, interview. Mrs. Nkrumah revealed that Nkrumah laughed at his son's indignant response; the reality was that Nkrumah had little time for his own children because he was consumed by politics.
- 5. Although Nkrumah published *I Speak of Freedom* in 1961, it is not part of the focus of this chapter, as it is a collection of his speeches prior to 1961.
- 6. K. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 125.
- 7. Lynch, Edward W. Blyden, 216.
- 8. July, Origins; Ahuma, Gold Coast Nation; and Hayford, Ethiopia Unbound.
- 9. K. Nkrumah, Axioms, 4-5.
- 10. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 79.

- 11. K. Nkrumah, "The African Genius: Speech Delivered by Kwame Nkrumah at the Opening of the Institute of African Studies" (Institute of African Studies, Accra, October 25, 1963), 3.
- 12. Ibid., 6.
- 13. Ibid., 7.
- 14. Ibid., 12.
- 15. Encyclopaedia Africana Project website, http://www.endarkenment.com/eaplegacy/scholars/index.htm, accessed January 1, 2000.
- 16. Ibid.
- 17. Ibid.
- 18. Ibid.
- 19. Ibid.
- Opening of Conference of Women of Africa by the President The Right. Hon. Kwame Nkrumah at the Baden Powell Memorial Hall, Accra, July 18, 1960, Press Release no. 558/60, box 154-15, folder 39, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 21 Ibid
- 22. K. Nkrumah, Africa Must Unite, 217.
- 23. Ibid., 218.
- 24. Ibid., 219.
- 25. Ibid.
- 26. Ibid., 220.
- 27. Ibid., 220-21.
- 28. Ibid., 218.
- 29. Ibid., 221-22.
- 30. Mazrui, "Ancient Greece," 353.
- 31. Nkrumah's supervisor, Prof. A. J. Ayer, was of the opinion that Abrahams wrote parts of the book and Nkrumah penned the political aspects. The impenetrable style of writing is unlike that of Nkrumah's other, more accessible works. See Ayer, taped interview, June 30, 1973, tape T-10, box 127-128, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 32. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism.
- Bas/2/182. Basner believes the symbolic and theoretical formulations at the end of Consciencism are attributable to an exiled Cameroonian professor of mathematics.
- 34. Mazrui, "Ancient Greece," 353.
- 35. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 70.
- 36. July, Origins, 215-17; and Blyden, "Ethiopia Stretching," 3-18.
- 37. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 74.
- 38. Hountondji, African Philosophy, 136.
- 39. Bas/2/182.
- 40. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 73-74.
- 41. Hountondji, African Philosophy, 142.
- 42. Ibid., 142-43.
- 43. Ibid., 147.
- 44. Ibid.
- 45. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 56.
- 46 Ibid
- 47. Hountondji, African Philosophy, 142.
- 48. Ibid., 150.
- 49. Ibid.
- 50. Ibid., 151.
- 51. Mazrui, "Ideology," 118–19.
- 52. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 76.

- 53. Ibid., 77.
- 54. It was first published as "African Socialism Revisited," *African Forum* 1, no. 3 (1966), and again in 1973 in K. Nkrumah, *Struggle Continues*.
- 55. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 1970 edition.
- Among them are Young, *Ideology and Development*; Metz, "In Lieu of Orthodoxy"; and Folson, "Development of Socialist Ideology."
- 57. K. Nkrumah, "African Socialism Revisited," in Struggle Continues, 78.
- 58. Ibid.
- 59. West Africa, November 11, 1961.
- 60. K. Nkrumah, "African Socialism Revisited," in Struggle Continues, 80.
- 61. Ibid., 79.
- 62. Ibid., 80.
- 63. Ibid.
- 64. Metz, "In Lieu of Orthodoxy," 380.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. K. Nkrumah, "African Socialism Revisited," in Struggle Continues, 81-82.
- 67. Ibid., 81.
- 68. Cited in Powell, Private Secretary, 125-26.
- 69. Ibid., 126.
- 70. Ibid., 127.
- 71. Conference of Independent African States.
- 72. Ibid.
- 73. K. Nkrumah, Africa Must Unite, 173.
- 74. Ibid., 174.
- 75. Ibid., 179.
- 76. Ibid., 193.
- 77. K. Nkrumah, Neo-colonialism, ix.
- 78. Ibid., xiii.
- 79. Ibid., 9.
- 80. Ibid., 11.
- 81. Ibid.
- 82. Ibid.
- 83. Ibid., 36.
- 84. Ibid., 26–27.
- 85. Ibid., 197–211. June Milne informed me that she and the English wife of George Padmore, Dorothy Padmore, assisted Nkrumah in collating research material for the book *Neo-colonialism* (Milne, interview).
- 86. Assensoh, Kwame Nkrumah: Six Years, 14.
- 87. Rahman, Regime Change, 12.
- 88. The causes of the strain were not only the anti-American demonstrations in early 1964 but also Ghana's opposition to America's role in the Congo and Nkrumah's request for a billion pounds from the IMF, which was blocked by America. Thompson, *Ghana's Foreign Policy*, 396.
- 89. Afari-Gyan, Political Ideas, 216.
- 90. "Nkrumah and Volta," West Africa, January 29, 1966, 110.
- 91. Ibid.
- 92. Ibid.
- 93. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 78.

- 1. Dei-Anang, Administration, 1.
- 2. Ibid. See also Quarm, Diplomatic Servant, 13.
- 3. See "Extracts from the Bandung Declaration," 156; and Jaipal, Non-alignment.
- "Positive Action Conference for Peace and Security Opening Speech by Kwame Nkrumah," NAG: ADM/16/9.
- 5. Parliamentary Debates, July 15, 1958, 472.
- 6. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 30.
- 7. Ibid., 45. See also Batsa, *Spark*, 33; and NAG: ADM13/2/36.
- 8. Parliamentary Debates, July 10, 1959, 385.
- Abrahams, Coyaba Chronicles, 45. Padmore was appointed to this role in 1957, and in late 1958 his office was moved from the Ministry of Defence and External Affairs to direct control of the prime minister and began to be supported with adequate funds. See "Notes of an Informal Meeting of the Cabinet Held on Sunday 29 June 1958—Marked Confidential," NAG: ADM/13/1.
- 10. The documentation on the agenda and Nkrumah's correspondence to various heads of state, lobbying for their participation and presence at the conference, was painstaking and demonstrated considerable planning had been undertaken. See NAG: SC/BAA/136.
- 11. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 130.
- 12. Dei-Anang, Administration, 13.
- 13. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 32.
- 14. Ibid.
- 15. Ibid., 35.
- 16. Conference of Independent African States, 1.
- 17. Ibid., 2. This theme of a new form of colonialism later developed into Nkrumah's theory of neocolonialism.
- 18. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 126–27.
- 19. Ibid., 126.
- 20. Ibid.
- 21. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 57; see Thompson, Africa and Unity, 130.
- 22. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 79.
- Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 67. In 1961, under Modibo Keita, Mali joined the Union.
- Dr. Busia visited London in December 1958 and declared the loan beyond Ghana's capacity; see "Dr Busia in London," West Africa, December 6, 1958, 1173.
- 25. Thompson, *Ghana's Foreign Policy*, 60; see also K. Nkrumah, "A Call to Independence," in *Revolutionary Path*, 133–34.
- 26. Cited in Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 132–33.
- 27. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 59.
- 28. Ibid. Nkrumah's undisciplined and ad hoc attitude toward financial checks was demonstrated at this early stage in 1958.
- 29. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 43-44.
- 30. There was, however, no representative from Egypt or Nigeria, and only the Muslim League of the Gambia and one representative from French West Africa (Senghor) were present. See Thompson, *Ghana's Foreign Policy*, 62.
- 31. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 180.
- 32. Ibid., 45. For the remainder of the 1960s, the pan-Africanist movement was bedeviled by antagonistic views as to whether African unity could be best achieved via economic integration or political union.

- 33. Fathia Nkrumah, interview.
- 34. Dorothy Padmore, Statement on Padmore's Death, box 154-41, folder 17, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- Writings by Nkrumah—Speeches and Statements, box 154-41, folder 17, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Daily Graphic, September 26, 1959.
- 38. A. K. Barden was an ex-policeman, and his growing incompetence and misappropriation of monies allocated to the BAA and political dissidents seriously damaged the work of the bureau. See Makonnen, *Pan-Africanism from Within*, 217–19.
- 39. It was a year later, on May 4, 1960, that Padmore's office was officially established by statutory instrument. As an independent body, it had a board of directors comprised of T. Adamafio, A. Djin, Peter Koinange, J. Tettegah, R. Makonnen, and A. K. Barden (see Dei-Anang, *Administration*, 26). Also, Nkrumah opened the George Padmore Memorial Library in 1961 in honor of Padmore.
- 40. Dei-Anang, Administration, 27.
- 41. Ibid.
- 42. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 135.
- 43. A "strictly confidential report" on the conference written by A. K. Barden and submitted to Nkrumah, who did not attend the second AAPC, noted the "lack of co-ordination and the tendency of forming several splinter groups" among political parties and union organizations in East and Central Africa; see NAG: SC/ BAA/251, 3.
- 44. "Positive Action Conference for Peace and Security," NAG: ADM/16/9, contains the opening and closing speeches of Nkrumah, the resolutions adopted by the conference, and the manifesto submitted by the international Sahara protest team.
- 45. The conference was attended by over one hundred delegates and a significant number of African countries and political organizations. Among them were the Algerian FLN, the Afro Shirazi Party of Zanzibar, the Japan Council against A and H Bombs, the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), the American Friends Service Committee, the World Peace Council, and the Movement for Colonial Freedom. In June 1962 Accra hosted a similar gathering, "The World Without the Bomb Conference," which was organized by Geoffrey Bing and Nkrumah through their contacts with disarmament activists.
- 46. NAG: ADM/16/9, 7-8.
- 47. They were the Algerian Provisional Government, Cameroon, Ethiopia, Ghana, Guinea, Libya, Liberia, Morocco, Nigeria, Somalia, Tunisia, and the UAR.
- 48. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 46.
- 49. See ibid., 188-89, for an extract of Ako Adjei's statement.
- 50. Ibid., 47.
- 51. For extracts of the Ghana-Congo agreement see K. Nkrumah, *Revolutionary Path*, 145–50
- 52. Baynham, Military and Politics, 93.
- 53. Ibid.
- 54. Ibid., 94.
- 55. Some important works on the Congo debacle are Hoskyns, *Congo since Independence*; Wallerstein, *Africa*, chaps. 4 and 6; Nzongola-Ntalaja, *Congo*; and Mahoney, *JFK*, chaps. 2 and 3.
- 56. Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 373; see also K. Nkrumah, *Challenge of the Congo*, 16, where he identifies the similarities between the two movements.

- 57. K. Nkrumah, *Challenge of the Congo*, 17. This book elucidates Nkrumah's position on the entire crisis.
- 58. Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 37. H. T. Alexander, Nkrumah's British chief of defense, also maintains that Nkrumah's actions in the Congo were motivated by pan-Africanism and anticolonialism. Alexander, *African Tightrope*, 33.
- 59. African Affairs Supplement, Ghana Today, August 31, 1960.
- 60. Nkrumah used these words in his letter to the UN secretary-general, Hammarsk-jold, on December 16, 1963; see *Challenge of the Congo*, 238.
- 61. Baynham, Military and Politics, 94.
- 62. Ibid., 388.
- 63. Ibid.
- 64. Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 390.
- 65. They were S. J. A. Otu, Lieutenant Colonel J. A. Ankrah, and others.
- Baynham, Military and Politics, 129; see also Alexander's account in African Tightrope, 34–84.
- 67. Alexander, African Tightrope, 34; and Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 389.
- 68. Alexander, *African Tightrope*, 50. Alexander claims that Nkrumah sent specialists to the Congo outside the auspices of the UN.
- 69. K. Nkrumah, Challenge of the Congo, 41.
- 70. This ONUC order was issued by Andrew Cordier, the acting representative of the UN secretary-general in the Congo, on the basis of preventing inflammatory speeches by all involved.
- 71. K. Nkrumah, Challenge of the Congo, 39.
- 72. Ibid., 30.
- 73. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 137.
- 74. Ibid., 149.
- 75. Ibid., 138.
- 76. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 154.
- 77. Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 398.
- 78. Alexander, *African Tightrope*, 91–94. Nkrumah did not dismiss General Alexander until September 1961, when pressures for Africanization closed in on him.
- 79. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 126.
- 80. Ibid., 123.
- 81. Alexander, African Tightrope, 34; and Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 389.
- 82. Alexander, African Tightrope, 50.
- 83. Fathia Nkrumah, interview. The US role in the murder of Lumumba is accounted for in Mahoney, *JFK*, and in S. Weissman, "Congo-Kinshasa." The latter author makes use of newly declassified files, CIA cables, and interviews with US and Belgian intelligence operatives to confirm American involvement in the killing of Lumumba. See the website Allafrica.com <a href="http://allafrica.com/stories/201008031124.html?viewall=1">http://allafrica.com/stories/201008031124.html?viewall=1</a>, accessed on January 12, 2010.
- 84. Mohan, "Ghana, the Congo," 400.
- 85. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 141–92; and Legum, Pan-Africanism, 131–47.
- 86. Dei-Anang, Administration, 13; and Quarm, Diplomatic Servant, 32.
- 87. Dei-Anang, Administration, 12.
- 88. Ibid., 24.
- 89. The BAA came into official existence on May 4, 1960, but prior to this existed under the office of George Padmore in his capacity as Advisor on African Affairs. The AAC came into existence during the preparations for the All African Peoples Conference.
- 90. Omari, Kwame Nkrumah, 2.

- 91. Dei-Anang, *Administration*, 22. He gives as an example the costly four hundred pounds sterling spent per month to rent the Ghanaian residence and office in Sudan; see also Makonnen, *Pan-Africanism from Within*, 223.
- 92. Dei-Anang, Administration, 271; and Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 211–25.
- 93. Many of these materials—that is, newspaper cuttings, letters, and reports—are available at the George Padmore Research Library in Accra, Ghana.
- 94. Dei-Anang, *Administration*, 24; and Asante, interview. K. B. Asante was principal secretary of the AAS from 1960 to 1966.
- 95. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 220.
- 96. Armah, interview. He confirmed the friction between the various agencies and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. His memoir, *Peace without Power: Ghana's Foreign Policy, 1957–1966* (Accra, 2004), 27, hints at this tension. Armah was Ghana's high commissioner to London from 1961 to 1965 and minister of foreign trade from 1965 to 1966.
- 97. Dei-Anang, Administration, 28.
- 98. Ibid., 29. Dei-Anang does not specify who these individuals were. One such individual that the Nigerians complained about was Sam Ikoku, a political activist who wrote articles for *The Spark* and a loyal Nkrumahist.
- 99. Dei-Anang, Administration, 29-30.
- 100. Bas/2/187.
- 101. Dei-Anang, Administration, 29.
- 102. Ibid.
- 103. Ibid.
- 104. Ibid.
- 105. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 131-37; and Thompson, Africa and Unity, 173-75.
- 106. "The Lagos Conference and African Unity," February 22, 1962, NAG: SC/BAA/439.
- 107. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 133.
- 108. Thompson, Africa and Unity, 174; and Legum, Pan-Africanism, 133.
- 109. Dei-Anang, Administration, 49.
- 110. See K. Nkrumah, *Revolutionary Path*, 233–48, for a copy of his address to the 1963 OAU summit.
- 111. Ibid., 235.
- 112. Ibid., 246.
- 113. Ibid., 232. In regards to the question of the headquarters of the OAU secretariat, on August 9, 1963, Addis Ababa was chosen by secret vote.
- 114. Batsa, Spark, 30.
- 115. The formation of the OAU brought to an end the existence of the Casablanca and Monrovia groups, the Sanniquellie accord, and the Ghana-Guinea-Mali union.
- 116. M. H. Basner Memoirs, 2/192.
- 117. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 137.
- 118. Botsio (interview) also confirmed that several African countries were uncomfortable with Nkrumah's support for dissident groups.
- 119. The Sanwi Affair, NAG: SC/BAA/14; Special Committee Togoland Affairs, NAG: SC/BAA/434; The Sanwi Affair, NAG: SC/BAA/142; Letters between Osagyefo and Liberia Head of State, NAG: SC/BAA/438; Lagos Political Reports to Osagyefo, NAG: SC/BAA/408; and Mixed-up Letters on Various Subjects, NAG: SC/BAA/500
- 120. Padmore to Nkrumah, June 23, 1959, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 121. Dei-Anang, Administration, 37.

- 122. Nkrumah to President Boigny, January 14, 1965, NAG: SC/BAA/430.
- 123. Dei-Anang, Administration, 27.
- 124. Ibid.
- 125. Mixed-up Letters on Various Subjects, NAG: SC/BAA/503.
- 126. Lagos Political Reports to Osagyefo, NAG: SC/BAA/408.
- 127. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 308.
- 128. Nugent, Smugglers, 203.
- 129. Ibid., 204.
- 130. Ibid., 205.
- 131. Bas/2/191.
- 132. Makonnen, Pan-Africanism from Within, 234.
- 133. Bas/2/191.
- 134. Nyerere, *Freedom and Unity*, 300–304; for Nkrumah's address, see his *Revolutionary Path*, 276–98.
- 135. Agyeman, Nkrumah's Ghana, 79.
- 136. Nyerere, Freedom and Unity, 302.
- 137. Ibid., 301.
- 138. A declaration of intention to set up the East African Federation between Tanzania, Kenya, and Uganda had been made on June 5, 1963. It emphasized greater economic cooperation between the three states.
- 139. Nyerere, Freedom and Unity, 302.
- 140. Ibid.
- 141. Agyeman, Nkrumah's Ghana, 83.
- 142. K. Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 288.
- 143. Ibid., 282.
- 144. Ibid., 286.
- 145. Ibid., 292.
- 146. Ben Bella, interview. Ahmed Ben Bella, leader of the FLN in Algeria, confirmed that Nkrumah was the ardent exponent of an immediate approach to African unity at this OAU summit meeting.
- 147. Legum, Pan-Africanism, 141.
- 148. Dei-Anang, Administration, 3.
- 149. Bas/2/100.
- 150. Ibid.
- 151. Dei-Anang, Administration, 83.
- 152. The OCAM was set up in February 1965 and comprised Cameroun, the Central African Republic, Congo-Brazzaville, Dahomey, Gabon, Ivory Coast, Malagasy, Mauritania, Niger, Senegal, Togo, and Upper Volta. The entente states were Ivory Coast, Togo, Upper Volta, Dahomey, and Niger.
- Nkrumah, January 14, 1965, Letters between Osagyefo and Ivory Coast Head of State, NAG: SC/BAA/430.
- 154. K. Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 298.
- 155. Ibid.
- 156. Ibid., 302-9, contains the text of Nkrumah's address to the Accra OAU summit.
- 157. Ibid., 308-9.
- 158. Ibid., 309.
- 159. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 385; and see K. Nkrumah, Rhodesia File, 65.
- 160. Public Lecture to Mark Ghana's 40th Independence Anniversary Celebrations in Accra, *Africa at 40 News*, 1997.
- 161. Ibid.
- 162. Armah, Peace without Power, 142.

- 163. Ibid., 141.
- 164. Dei-Anang, Administration, 52.
- 165. K. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 224. This is part of Nkrumah's address to the Conference of Commonwealth Prime Ministers, held in London, in May of 1960.
- 166. Dei-Anang, Administration, 52.
- 167. Quarm, Diplomatic Servant, 33-34.
- 168. Dei-Anang, Administration, 49.
- 169. Ibid., 52.
- 170. Ibid.; and Quarm, Diplomatic Servant, 40-41.
- 171. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 100.
- 172. Ibid., 173; see also Adamafio, *By Nkrumah's Side*, 89–90, where the author criticizes Nkrumah's overseas missions.
- 173. Thompson, Ghana's Foreign Policy, 102.
- 174. Ibid., 174.
- 175. See Nwaubani, United States and Decolonization, 119.
- 176. Mahoney, *JFK*, 184.
- 177. Ibid., 163.
- 178. Nwaubani, United States and Decolonization, 204.
- 179. See Amin, "Perils of Missionary Diplomacy." See also Bekoe, "Peace Corps and Ghana."
- 180. Mahoney, JFK, 185-86.
- 181. Batsa, Spark, 35-36.
- 182. Cited in K. Nkrumah, Revolutionary Path, 311.
- 183. For a copy of Ribeiro's telegram to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs dated November 18, 1965, see K. Nkrumah, *Revolutionary Path*, 312.
- 184. This close attention to the political problems in Southern Rhodesia was reflected in Nkrumah's collection of material soon after Smith's declaration of independence for a book on Rhodesia. He collated materials, documents, and writings in a file marked "Rhodesia File," which were posthumously published in a book in 1976. The book, titled *Rhodesia File*, summarizes Nkrumah's views and the principles and policies his government advocated on Southern Rhodesia from 1963 onward and his personal opinions on Rhodesia post-1966.
- 185. K. Nkrumah, I Speak of Freedom, 226.
- 186. K. Nkrumah, Rhodesia File, 74-75.
- 187. See K. Nkrumah, "Views Expressed by Nkrumah in Discussion with the British Prime Minister, Harold Wilson, in Accra on 31 October 1965," Rhodesia File, 78–83.
- 188. K. Nkrumah, Rhodesia File, 119.
- 189. Ibid., 123.
- 190. Ibid., 133–34.
- 191. Dei-Anang, Administration, 53.
- 192. Ibid.

- 1. For a detailed discussion of the close relationship between the army and the police in executing the coup, see Baynham, *Military and Politics*, 153–76.
- Baynham, Military and Politics, 199; and see J. W. K. Harlley, "The Decisive Role of the Police," NAG: ADM5/4/381.
- 3. Baynham, Military and Politics, 199.
- 4. Ibid., 201.

- 5. Ibid., 198; and Harlley, "Decisive Role," NAG: ADM5/4/381.
- 6. Baynham, Military and Politics.
- 7. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 42-43.
- 8. Baynham, Military and Politics, 199.
- 9. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 36.
- 10. Ibid., 38.
- 11. Ibid., 40.
- 12. Baynham, Military and Politics, 148.
- 13. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 74.
- 14. Ibid.
- 15. Assensoh, Kwame Nkrumah: Six Years, 52.
- 16. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 94.
- 17. Hersh, "CIA," 133-36; see also Stockwell, In Search of Enemies.
- 18. West Africa, November 19–25, 2001.
- Cited in ibid. British MI6 files have yet to be released, which may shed further light on British involvement in the coup d'état.
- 20. Dowse, "Military and Police Rule," 17.
- 21. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 16.
- 22. Nkrumah made this statement in his "Message to the Black People of Britain," written in 1968; see K. Nkrumah, *Struggle Continues*, 14.
- 23. K. Nkrumah, Dark Days in Ghana, 18-19.
- 24. Milne, Conakry Years, 6. While Nkrumah was in Guinea, he never sought to use his co-presidency to interfere in the domestic policies of the PDG government. It was therefore largely a symbolic title. It reflected the profound respect President Touré held for Nkrumah and the ideological affinity that was shared between the two men.
- Nkrumah's entourage in Guinea numbered 89 in total; box 154-1, folder 2, Kwame Nkrumah Papers, contains a full list of Nkrumah's entourage in Guinea-Conakry as of November 20, 1966.
- 26. Milne, Conakry Years, 7.
- 27. Ibid., 30.
- 28. Lamine Janha was a young man of 22–23 years of age when he stayed with Nkrumah from 1968 to 1970 at Villa Syli. He had been in Ghana from 1960 to the time of the coup as part of a Gambian youth group that visited Ghana for youth training at the Young Pioneers Institute (YPI). He was ideologically committed to Nkrumah and made the decision to serve Nkrumah despite his own father's deep objections. Janha informed me that the "Old Man" (as he referred to Nkrumah) treated him as a son. Janha, at the time of the interview, lived in Washington, DC (Janha, interview).
- 29. Milne, Conakry Years, 16.
- 30. Janha, interview.
- 31. Fathia Nkrumah, interview.
- 32. Janha, interview.
- 33. Milne, Conakry Years, 93.
- 34. Ibid., 16.
- 35. Janha, interview.
- 36. Ibid.
- 37. Ibid.
- 38. Milne, Conakry Years, 123.
- 39. Ibid., 17.
- 40. She was daughter of famous African American novelist Richard Wright.

- 41. Milne, Conakry Years, 17.
- 42. Ibid., 15.
- 43. Ibid.
- 44. Milne visited Nkrumah 16 times during 1966–72 in her capacity as his research assistant and to ensure that the galleys of his books were proofread and edited for publication. Milne, *Conakry Years*, ix.
- 45. Ibid., 13-14.
- 46. Janha, interview.
- 47. Fathia Nkrumah (interview) informed me that she was desperate to visit her husband in Conakry, but he constantly told her to wait until they returned to Ghana.
- 48. Ibid.
- 49. Daily Graphic, July 14, 1972.
- 50. The magazine was set up in 1964 and funded by the CPP government. The idea originated with Nkrumah, who wished it to be published in London to disseminate the concept of African unity. It gave publicity to economic and political news from all over the African continent. Its circulation numbered some thirty to forty thousand copies (Rogers, interview).
- 51. Milne, *Conakry Years*, contains a sample of some of the letters sent to Nkrumah. The Kwame Nkrumah Papers at the Moorland-Spingarn Research Center, Howard University, however, contain many more.
- 52. Nkrumah refused to be interviewed by British Africanist historian Basil Davidson, see Davidson to Nkrumah, December 2 and 13, 1966, and Nkrumah to Davidson, December 21, 1966, box 154, folder 32, Kwame Nkrumah Papers. During his "exile" in Conakry, Nkrumah only gave one interview, with Douglas Rogers, editor of *Africa and the World*, which was published in the May 1966 issue.
- 53. See cables to editor of the British Sunday Express and BBC TV dated October 13, 1966, and February 9, 1967, respectively, in which Nkrumah refused interviews; box 154-11, folder 1, Kwame Nkrumah Papers. Nkrumah also refused a request from Thomas Hodgkin, head of the Institute of African Studies, who had been sympathetic to his government in the 1950s.
- 54. Milne, Conakry Years, 13.
- 55. Ibid., 7.
- 56. Ibid., 9.
- 57. Ibid.
- 58. Janha, interview.
- 59. Milne, Conakry Years, 10.
- 60. Janha (interview) spoke disparagingly of individuals who misled Nkrumah.
- 61. Carmichael, Ready for Revolution, 692.
- 62. Ibid., 693.
- 63. Ibid.
- 64. Ibid., 694.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. Milne, Conakry Years, 19.
- 67. Ibid., 93.
- 68. Ibid., 137. This is Nkrumah's letter to Kwesi Armah, his former high commissioner to London, dated April 14, 1967.
- 69. Ibid.
- 70. Ibid., 106.
- 71. Milne, Conakry Years, 105-11.
- 72. Ibid., 171.

- 73. K. Nkrumah, *Struggle Continues*, 9. This short booklet contains some of Nkrumah's articles written in exile.
- 74. Ibid., 11.
- 75. Ibid., 12.
- 76. The CPP, however, were proscribed from participating in the elections; see Twumasi, "1969 Election," 140–64.
- 77. Nkrumah to Milne, December 4, 1966, in Milne, Conakry Years, 94.
- 78. Janha, interview.
- 79. Nkrumah to Milne, March 28, 1966, in Milne, Conakry Years, 29.
- 80. Ibid., 29-30.
- 81. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 10.
- 82. Milne, Conakry Years, 176.
- 83. December 18, 1967, in ibid.
- 84. Nkrumah to Milne, May 14, 1967, in ibid.
- 85. Nkrumah to Milne, October 24, 1967, in ibid.
- 86. Ibid., 190.
- 87. Ibid., 157.
- 88. K. Nkrumah, Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare, 42.
- 89. Milne, Conakry Years, 326.
- 90. K. Nkrumah, Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare, 55-62.
- 91. Ibid., 57–58.
- 92. Hountondji, African Philosophy, 150.
- 93. K. Nkrumah, Consciencism, 74.
- 94. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 80.
- 95. Ibid.
- 96. Ibid., 10.
- 97. Ibid., 17–22.
- 98. Ibid., 33.
- 99. Ibid., 57.
- 100. Fanon, Wretched of the Earth, 122.
- 101. Ibid.
- 102. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 56; and Fanon, Wretched of the Earth, 119–65.
- 103. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 48-49.
- 104. Ibid., 54.
- 105. Ibid., 87.
- 106. Ibid.
- 107. Ibid., 87–88.
- 108. Cited in Garvey, *Philosophy and Opinions*, 52.
- 109. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 88.
- 110. Letter, July 1, 1967, in Milne, Conakry Years, 161.
- 111. Ibid.
- 112. K. Nkrumah, Class Struggle in Africa, 28.
- 113. Ibid.
- 114. The pamphlet was first published in *Africa and the World* in January 1968; for a copy of the draft, see box 154-31, folders 13–15, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.
- 115. Nkrumah to Milne, August 10, 1967, in Milne, Conakry Years, 170.
- 116. Ibid.
- 117. Nkrumah to Milne, October 6, 1967, in Ibid.
- 118. Ibid., 186.
- 119. Ibid., 187.
- 120. K. Nkrumah, Struggle Continues, 36-45 and 13-15, respectively.

- 121. Nkrumah, "Spectre of Black Power," 39-40.
- 122. Milne, Con*akry Years*, 247. Nkrumah expressed this in a letter to Milne dated July 13, 1968.
- 123. Ibid.
- 124. Nkrumah to Johnson, August 27, 1968, in ibid., 253.
- 125. K. Nkrumah, "The Spectre of Black Power" in Struggle Continues, 41.
- 126. "The Myth of the Third World" in Struggle Continues, 74-78.
- 127. Ibid., 74.
- 128. Ibid., 76.
- 129. Ibid.
- 130. Ibid.
- 131. Ibid.
- 132. Ibid., 76.
- 133. Ibid.
- 134. Letter, July 8, 1966, in Milne, Conakry Years, 53.
- 135. Letter, April 9, 1967, in ibid., 136.
- 136. K. Nkrumah, Africa Must Unite, 217–18.
- 137. Nkrumah to Milne, July 8, 1966, in Milne, Conakry Years, 53.
- 138. Letter, October 10, 1966, in ibid., 76.
- 139. Ibid.
- 140. Ibid., 77.
- 141. Ibid., 326. Nkrumah expressed his frustration over the OAU in a letter to British Communist writer Idris Cox dated August 16, 1969.
- 142. Letter, September 27, 1966, in ibid., 72-73.
- 143. Nkrumah to African American female Reba Lewis, September 6, 1969, in ibid., 331.
- 144. Ibid., 335.
- 145. Ibid.
- 146. Nkrumah to Reba Lewis, February 3, 1969, in ibid., 291.
- 147. Ibid., 45. Milne noted these criticisms in her notebook dated June 10–23, 1966.
- 148. Ibid., 97–98.
- 149. Ibid., 324; and Janha, interview.
- 150. Janha, interview.
- 151. Milne, Conakry Years, 214.
- 152. Ibid., 279.
- 153. Ibid., 280.
- 154. Ibid.
- 155. Mrs. Nkrumah recalled that several times during their marriage her husband was frequently visited by doctors who gave him injections. She suspects that the cancer had begun soon after their marriage. When she asked Nkrumah about his health, he would tell her not to worry herself. She believes he shielded her from his illness (Fathia Nkrumah, interview).
- 156. Milne, Conakry Years, 410.
- 157. Ibid., 406.
- 158. Ibid., 412–13. As this drug was unavailable in Romania, Milne managed to get her own doctor to prescribe it (Milne, interview).

1. West Africa, March 19, 1966. "Africa after Nkrumah" editorial, front page.

- 2. Ibid.
- 3. Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 22.
- 4. Ibid.
- 5. Rathbone, Nkrumah and the Chiefs, 104.
- 6. Zolberg, Creating Political Order, 1–2.
- 7. Ibid., 2.
- 8. Mazrui, *Nkrumah's Legacy*. Other critics are Pobee, *Kwame Nkrumah*; Bretton, *Rise and Fall*; and Omari, *Kwame Nkrumah*.
- 9. Mazrui, "Nkrumah: The Leninist Czar."
- Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 5. Mazrui makes the point that Julius Nyerere and Jomo Kenyatta (who were contemporaries of Nkrumah), Robert Mugabe, and Yoweri Museveni also employed this cultural argument.
- 11. See Bayart, State in Africa; Mbembe, On the Postcolony; and Young, African Colonial State.
- 12. Bayart, State in Africa, 241.
- 13. Ibid., 254 and 257.
- 14. Zolberg, Creating Political Order, 54.
- 15. Ibid., 55.
- 16. Ibid. 44.
- 17. Ibid., 47–48.
- 18. Ibid., 51.
- 19. Ibid., 55.
- 20. Ibid., 53.
- 21. Ibid., 59.
- 22. Ibid., 63.
- 23. Ibid., 77.
- 24. Ibid., 79.
- 25. Ibid., 82.
- 26. Other African governments such as that of Milton Obote in Uganda, Kenneth Kaunda in Zambia, and Julius Nyerere in Tanzania also resorted to legal mechanisms to prevent the emergence of competing political parties.
- 27. Zolberg, Creating Political Order, 82.
- 28. Ibid., 86. In April 1963, 86 alleged conspirators were arrested and tried before the secretary-general of the PDCI, who was the prosecutor, and other party officials serving on the bench. Of the total, 22 were acquitted, some were sentenced to long-term imprisonment, and 13 received the death penalty.
- 29. See Ahipeaud, "Elite Ideologies," 155.
- 30. Bas/2/91.
- 31. Cooper, Africa since 1940, 89.
- 32. Ibid., 88.
- 33. Ibid.
- 34. Ibid.
- 35. Ibid.
- 36. Mazrui, "Nkrumahism," 769.
- 37. Mazrui, Nkrumah's Legacy, 3.
- 38. For literature on these two congresses, see Abdul-Raheem, *Pan-Africanism*; Sutherland and Meyer, *Guns and Gandhi*, 216–23; and Campbell, *Pan-Africanism*.
- 39. Abdul-Raheem, Pan-Africanism, 14.
- 40. Africa at 40 News 1, no. 3 (April 1997): 4.
- 41. Ibid.
- 42. Ibid.

- 43. Abdul-Raheem, Pan-Africanism, 229-37.
- 44. Nkrumah, Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare, 36.
- 45. Tieku, "Explaining the Clash," 252.
- 46. Ibid., 249-67.
- 47. Ibid., 253.
- 48. Ibid., 258.
- 49. Ibid., 261.
- 50. Ibid.
- 51. Ibid., 262.
- 52. P. Commey, "African Union What Next?" New African, September 1992, 12-15.
- 53. Imobighe, "African High Command," 241–54.
- 54. See abridged version of Gaddafi's address to the AU summit, July 4–6, 2005, in *New African*, August/September 2005, 30–33.
- 55. Ibid., 32.
- 56. Ibid., 33.
- 57. Ibid., 32.
- 58. H. Campbell, "Mummar al-Gaddafi: Obstacle of African Unity," in http://www.pambazuka.org/en/category/panafrican/63299.
- 59. Ibid.
- 60. General Acheampong carried out a coup in 1972 against the civilian government of Dr. K.A Busia that ruled Ghana from 1969 to 1972. Flight Lieutenant Rawlings deposed the civilian government of Hilla Limann that ruled Ghana from 1979 to 1981. Both Acheampong and Rawlings had to deal with the reinterment of Nkrumah during their incumbency.
- 61. Twumasi, "1969 Election," 140-61.
- 62. Legum, "Death of Kwame Nkrumah," A39–A46. The conflict was resolved when General Gowon used diplomacy to persuade President Touré to return the body to Ghana; see "All Eyes on Nkroful," *West Africa*, May 12, 1972, 575.
- 63. "All Eyes on Nkroful," West Africa, May 12, 1972, 575.
- K. Blay-Amihere, "The Man Who Never Dies," African Concord, October 9, 1986,
   7.
- 65. Ibid.
- 66. Ibid.
- 67. See V. Du Bois, "The Death of Kwame Nkrumah," West Africa Series 19, no. 4 (June 1972), box 128-2, folder 28, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 68. West Africa, May 12, 1972.
- 69. Box 128-3, folder 53, Daily Mail, May 1, 1972, Dabu Gizenga Collection.
- 70. See V. Du Bois, "The Death of Kwame Nkrumah," West Africa Series 19, no. 4 (June 1972), box 128-2, folder 28, Dabu Gizenga Collection,.
- 71. Ibid.
- 72. See Legum "The Death of Kwame Nkrumah," A39–A46, for a balanced review of both Ghanaian media and British media reaction to Nkrumah's death.
- 73. Nkrumah supported the Sahara Reclamation Programme, for he believed that the Sahara was a bridge linking Arabs of North Africa to sub-Saharan Africa.
- 74. "Nkrumah: A Redeemer Who Fell From Grace," Daily Nation, April 28, 1972.
- 75. "We Mourn the Death of Nkrumah," West African Pilot, April 29, 1972.
- 76. New Nigerian, April 29, 1972, editorial.
- 77. Legum, "Death of Kwame Nkrumah," A43. *The Times* article was printed on April 28, 1972.
- 78. Fathia Nkrumah (interview) informed the author that General Acheampong was "very good to me." She returned to Ghana in 1972 with her three children.

- 79. Jeffries, "Ghana," 81-82.
- 80. Rathbone, "Ghana," 30.
- 81. See African Concord, October 9, 1986.
- 82. K. Blay-Amihere, "The Man Who Never Dies," African Concord, October 9, 1986.
- 83. Ghanaian Times, July 2, 1992; and People's Daily Graphic, July 2, 1992.
- 84. "Ghana: The Return of the Old Parties," *Africa Confidential* 32, no. 18 (September 13, 1991): 6.
- 85. Batsa, Spark, 50.
- 86. K. P. S. Juantuah (interview) confirmed that there were pressures from Nkrumahist groups and Pan-Africanists to accord Nkrumah recognition for his contribution to Ghana's independence and role in African affairs via a public reinterment.
- 87. Kwesi Scheck (interview) was a CPP activist who was active in the CPP overseas from 1966 to 1972.
- 88. West Africa, July 20-26, 1992.
- 89. People's Daily Graphic, July 2, 1992.
- 90. For a discussion on the factors that led the PNDC to embark on a program of transition to multi-party politics, see Panford, "Elections, 113–27; see Jeffries and Thomas "Ghanaian Elections of 1992," 334–35; and Oquaye, "Ghanaian Elections of 1992," 269.
- 91. Jeffries and Thomas "Ghanaian Elections of 1992," 343-45, 357-58.
- 92. Ibid., 358.
- 93. Ibid.
- 94. Cited in Yeebo, Ghana, the Struggle for Popular Power: Rawlings, Saviour or Demagogue, 158.
- 95. Mazrui, "Nkrumah: the Leninist Czar," 125.
- 96. K. Hadjor, "Realist, dreamer or visionary?" African Concord, October 9, 1986, 8-9.
- 97. Africa at 40 News 1, no. 3 (April 1997): 4.
- 98. Padmore to Nkrumah, May 10, 1954, box 154-41, folder 14, Kwame Nkrumah Papers.

# **Bibliography**

## **Primary Sources**

#### Manuscript Collections

Basner, M. H., Memoirs. Institute of Commonwealth Studies. University of London. Gizenga, Dabu, Collection. Moorland-Spingarn Research Center. Howard University. National Archives of Ghana (NAG).

Nkrumah, Kwame, Papers. Moorland-Spingarn Research Center. Howard University. Public Record Office (PRO), The National Archives, United Kingdom.

# Parliamentary Papers

Legislative Assembly Debates (Gold Coast). Parliamentary Debates (Ghana).

# Newspapers

African Morning Post (Accra).

The Ashanti Pioneer (Accra).

Ashanti Sentinel (Accra).

Ashanti Times (Accra).

The Daily Graphic (Accra).

Daily Nation (Lagos).

Daily Nation (Uganda).

Daily News (Dar es Salaam).

The Evening Times (Accra).

The Ghanaian Times (Accra).

New Ashanti Times (Accra).

New Nigerian (Lagos).

New York Herald Tribune.

The New York Times.

People's Daily Graphic (Accra).

The Times (London).

The West African Pilot (Lagos).

## Magazines

Africa Analysis.

Africa at 40 News.

Africa Confidential.

African Concord.

Africa World Review.

Al-Ahram Weekly.

Bulletin on African Affairs.

Ghana Today.

New African.

West Africa.

#### Other Publications

*The Ghana Young Pioneers*. Publication no. 437. Winneba: Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute, n.d. George Padmore Research Library Collection, Accra.

#### Interviews

(All interviews by the author)

Armah, Kwesi. Accra, August 17, 1999.

Asante, K. B. London, September 9, 2009.

Ben Bella, Ahmed. London, January 23, 1999.

Botsio, Kojo. Accra, August 18, 1999.

Duku, Kofi. London, August 15, 2002.

Janha, Lamine. Telephone interview. September 21, 2003.

Juantuah, K. P. S. Accra, December 23, 2004.

Kingsley-Nyinah, Joseph. Accra, July 1, 2004.

Milne, June. London, June 12, 2001 and June 21, 1999.

Nkrumah, Fathia. Cairo, February 14-16, 2004.

Nkrumah, Gamal. London, May 22, 2004.

Rogers, Douglas. London, February 12, 1999.

Scheck, Kwesi. London, August 18, 2005.

# **Secondary Works**

#### Books

Abdul-Raheem, T. Pan-Africanism: Politics, Economy, and Social Change in the Twenty-First Century. London: 1996.

Abrahams, P. The Coyaba Chronicles: Reflections on the Black Experience in the Twentieth Century. London: 2000.

Adamafio, T. By Nkrumah's Side: The Labour and the Wounds. Accra: 1982.

Addo, M. Ghana's Foreign Policy in Retrospect. Accra: 1967.

Adewale, T. Pan-Africanism and Zionism: Political Movements in Polarity. Chicago: Research Associates School Times Publications, 1995.

Adi, H. West Africans in Britain, 1900–1960: Nationalism, Pan-Africanism and Communism. London: 1998.

Adi, H., and M. Sherwood, eds. *The 1945 Manchester Pan-African Congress Revisited*. London: 1995.

- Afari-Gyan, K. "Nkrumah's Ideology." In Arhin, Life and Work.
- ———. The Political Ideas of Kwame Nkrumah. New York: 1976.
- Agyeman, D. K. Ideological Education and Nationalism in Ghana under Nkrumah and Busia. Accra: 1988.
- -----. "Social and Political Outlook." In Arhin, Life and Work.
- Agyeman, O. Nkrumah's Ghana and East Africa: Pan-Africanism and African Inter-state Relations. Rutherford, NJ: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press, 1992.
- Ahuma, S. R. B. Attoh. The Gold Coast Nation and National Consciousness. London: 1911.
- Alexander, H. T. African Tightrope: My Two Years as Nkrumah's Chief of Staff. London: 1965.
- Allman, J. The Quills of the Porcupine: Asante Nationalism in an Emergent Ghana. Madison, WI: 1993.
- Ansah, P. A. V. "Kwame Nkrumah and the Mass Media." In Arhin, Life and Work.
- Anthony, D. H. Max Yergan: Race Man, Internationalist, Cold Warrior. New York: 2006. Appiah, J. Joe Appiah: The Autobiography of an African Patriot. London: 1990.
- Appeals, J. Joe Appeals. The Autobiography of an African Tatriot. London. 1990.

  Apter, D. "Ghana." In *Political Parties and National Integration in Tropical Africa*, edited
- by J. S. Coleman and C. G. Rosberg Jr. Chicago: 1970.

  ———. Ghana in Transition. Princeton, NJ: 1972.
- ------. "Nkrumah, Charisma and the Coup." In *Philosophers and Kings: Studies in Leadership*, edited by D. A. Rustow. New York: 1970.
- Arhin, K., ed. The Life and Work of Kwame Nkrumah. Trenton, NJ: 1993.
- Armah, K. Peace Without Power: Ghana's Foreign Policy, 1957-1966. Accra, 2004.
- Asante, S. K. B. *Ghana and the Promotion of Pan-Africanism and Regionalism*. The J. B. Danquah Memorial Lecture Series 40. Accra: March 2007.
- ——. Pan-African Protest and the Italo-Ethiopian Crisis, 1934–1941. London: 1977.
- Assensoh, A. B. Kwame Nkrumah of Africa: His Formative Years and the Beginning of His Political Career. London: 1989.
- -----. Kwame Nkrumah: Six Years in Exile, 1966-1972. London: 1978.
- Austin, D. Politics in Ghana, 1946-1960. Oxford: 1970.
- Austin, D., and R. Luckham. Politicians and Soldiers in Ghana. London: 1975.
- Azikiwe, N. My Odyssey. London: 1970.
- Batsa, K. The Spark: From Kwame Nkrumah to Limann. London: 1985.
- Bayart, J. F. The State in Africa: The Politics of the Belly. London: 1993.
- Baynham, S. The Military and Politics in Nkrumah's Ghana. London: 1988.
- Berg, E. J. "Structural Transformation Versus Gradualism: Recent Economic Development in Ghana and Ivory Coast." In Foster and Zolberg, *Ghana and the Ivory Coast*.
- Bing, G. Reap the Whirlwind: An Account of Kwame Nkrumah's Ghana from 1950 to 1966. London: 1968.
- Birmingham, B. Kwame Nkrumah. London: 1990.
- Blyden, E. W. "Ethiopia Stretching Out Her Hands unto God; or Africa's Service to the World." In Mutsio and Rohio, *Readings*.
- Boahen, A. A. Ghana: Evolution and Change in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries. London: 1975.
- , ed. *The Ghanaian Establishment*. Accra: 1997.
- Boateng, C. The Political Legacy of Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana. London: 2003.
- Botwe-Asamoah, K. Kwame Nkrumah's Politico-cultural Thought and Policies: An African-Centred Paradigm for the Second Phase of the African Revolution. London: 2004.
- Bourret, F. M. *Ghana: The Road to Independence, 1951–1957.* Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1960.

Bretton, H. The Rise and Fall of Kwame Nkrumah: A Study of Personal Rule in Africa. New York: Praeger, 1966.

Buah, F. K. A History of Ghana. London: 1998.

Busumtwi-Sam, D. Landmarks of Dr. Kwame Nkrumah. Accra: 2001.

Cabral, A. Unity and Struggle: Speeches and Writings of Amilcar Cabral. New York: Monthly Review Press, 1979.

Campbell, H., ed. Pan-Africanism: The Struggle against Imperialism and Neo-colonialism: Documents of the Sixth Pan-African Congress. Toronto: Afro-Carib Publications, 1975.

Carmichael, S. Ready for Revolution: The Life and Struggles of Stokely Carmichael (Kwame Ture). New York: 2003.

Conference of Independent African States: Speeches Delivered at the Inaugural Session, 15 April 1958. 2nd ed. Accra: Parliament House.

Cooper, F. Africa since 1940: The Past of the Present. Cambridge: 2002.

Crabbe, A. John Mensah Sarbah, 1864–1910: His Life and Works. Accra: Ghana Universities Press, 1971.

Daddieh, C. K. "Ethnicity, Conflict and the State in Contemporary West Africa." In *Themes in West African History*, edited by E. K. Akyeampong. London: 2006.

Danquah, J. B. The Ghanaian Establishment. Accra: 1997.

— . Gold-Coast: Akan Laws and Customs and the Akim Abuakwa Constitution. London: 1928.

Davidson, B. Black Star: A View of the Life and Times of Kwame Nkrumah. USA: 1973.

Dei-Anang, M. The Administration of Ghana's Foreign Relations, 1957–1963: A Personal Memoir. London: 1975.

Dowse, R. "Military and Police Rule." In Austin and Luckham, *Politicians and Soldiers*.

———. *Modernisation in Ghana and the USSR*. London: 1969.

Esedebe, P. O. *Pan-Africanism: The Idea and Movement, 1776–1991*. Washington: 1994. Fanon, F. *The Wretched of the Earth.* London: 1961.

Fitch, B., and M. Oppenheimer. Ghana: End of an Illusion. New York: 1966.

Foster, P., and A. R. Zolberg, eds. *Ghana and the Ivory Coast: Perspectives on Modernization*. Chicago: 1971.

Friedland, W. H., and C. G. Rosberg, eds. *African Socialism*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1964.

Fryer, P. Staying Power: A History of Black People in Britain. London: 1984.

Garvey, A. J., ed. Philosophy and Opinions of Marcus Garvey. Dover, MA: Majority Press, 1986.

Geiss, I. The Pan-African Movement: A History of Pan-Africanism in America, Europe and Africa. New York: 1974.

Genoud, R. Nationalism and Economic Development in Ghana. London: 1969.

Green, R. H. "Reflections on Economic Strategy, Structure, Implementation, and Necessity: Ghana and the Ivory Coast, 1957–67." In *Ghana and the Ivory Coast: Perspectives on Modernization*, edited by P. Foster and A. R. Zolberg. Chicago: 1971.

Gros, J., ed. *Democratization in Late Twentieth-Century Africa: Coping with Uncertainty*. Westport, CT: Greenwood, 1998.

Hadjor, K. Nkrumah and Ghana. London: 1988.

Hagan, G. P. "Nkrumah's Cultural Policy." In Arhin, Life and Work.

Hansen, E. Ghana under Rawlings: Early Years. London: 1991.

Hart, D. The Volta River Project: A Case Study in Politics and Technology. Edinburgh: 1982.

Hayford, J. E. C. Ethiopia Unbound: Studies in Race Emancipation. London: 1911.

- Hersh, S. "CIA Said to Have Aided Plotters Who Overthrew Nkrumah in Ghana." In Dirty Work 2: The CIA in Africa, edited by E. Ray, W. Schaap, K. Van Meter, and L. Wolf. London: 1980.
- Hodgkin, E., and M. Wolfers. *Thomas Hodgkin: Letters from Africa, 1947–1956.* London: 2000.
- Hodgkin, T. Nationalism in Colonial Africa. New York: 1957.
- Horton, J. Political Economy of British West Africa. London: 1865.
- -----. West African Countries and Peoples. London: 1868.
- Hoskyns, C. The Congo since Independence: January 1960–December 1961. London: 1965.
- ——. The Organisation of African Unity and the Congo Crisis, 1964–1965. Oxford: 1969.
- Hountondji, P. African Philosophy: Myth and Reality. London: 1983.
- Hunton, D. Alphaeus Hunton: The Unsung Valiant. Richmond Hill, NY: published by author, 1986.
- Jaipal, R. Non-alignment: Origins, Growth and Potential for World Peace. New Delhi: Allied, 1983.
- James, C. L. R. Nkrumah and the Ghana Revolution. London: 1977.
- ——. "The Rise and Fall of Nkrumah." In *The C. L. R. James Reader*, edited by A. Grimshaw. London: 1993.
- Jeffries, R. Class, Power and Ideology in Ghana: The Railwaymen of Sekondi. Cambridge: 1978.
- ——. "Ghana: The Political Economy of Personal Rule." In *Contemporary West African States*, edited by D. O'Brien, J. Dunn, and R. Rathbone. Cambridge: 1989.
- July, R. W. The Origins of Modern African Thought: Its Development in West Africa during the Nineteenth and Twentieth Century. London: 1968.
- Kanu, G. Nkrumah the Man: A Friend's Testimony. Enugu, Nigeria: Delta, 1982.
- Killick, T. Development Economics in Action: A Study of Economic Policies in Ghana. London: 1978.
- Kimble, D. A Political History of Ghana: The Rise of Gold Coast Nationalism, 1850–1928. Oxford: 1963.
- Kiros, T., ed. Explorations in African Political Thought, Identity, Community Ethics. London: 2001.
- Krassowski, A. Development and the Debt Trap: Economic Planning and External Borrowing in Ghana. London: 1974.
- Kraus, J. "Political Change, Conflict, and Development in Ghana." In Ghana and the Ivory Coast: Perspectives on Modernization, edited by P. Foster and A. R. Zolberg, Chicago: 1971.
- Lacouture, J. The Demigods. New York: 1970.
- Ladouceur, P. Chiefs and Politicians: The Politics of Regionalism in Northern Ghana. London: 1979.
- Langley, J. A. Pan-Africanism and Nationalism in West Africa, 1900–1945.
   Oxford: 1977.
   Laremont, R., and F. Kalouche, eds. Africa and Other Civilizations: Conquest and Counter Conquest.
   Collected Essays of Ali A. Mazrui, vol. 2. Trenton, NJ: 2002.
- Legum, C. Congo Disaster. London: 1961.
- ——. "The Death of Kwame Nkrumah, 1910–1972." In Africa Contemporary Record Annual Survey and Documents, 1972–1973, A39–A46.
- -----. Pan-Africanism: A Short Political Guide. London: 1965.
- ——. "Socialism in Ghana: A Political Interpretation." In Friedland and Rosberg, African Socialism.

- Lynch, H. R. Edward W. Blyden: Pan Negro Patriot, 1832–1912. Oxford: 1967.
- Macey, D. Frantz Fanon: A Life. London: 2000.
- Mahoney, R. D. JFK: Ordeal in Africa. New York: 1983.
- Makonnen, R. Pan-Africanism from Within. Oxford: 1973.
- Manuh, T. "Women and Their Organizations during the Convention People's Party Period." In Arhin, Life and Work.
- Marable, M. African and Caribbean Politics from Kwame Nkrumah to Maurice Bishop. London: 1982.
- Mazrui, A. "Ancient Greece in African Political Thought." In Laremont and Kalouche, Africa and Other Civilisations.
- "Ideology and African Political Culture." In Kiros, Explorations.
- "Nkrumahism and the Triple Heritage: Out of the Shadow." In Ghana in Africa and the World: Essays in Honor of Adu Boahen, edited by F. Falola. Trenton, NJ: 2003.
- Nkrumah's Legacy and Africa's Triple Heritage: Between Globalization and Counter Terrorism. Accra: 2005.
- -. "Pan-Africanism and the Intellectuals: Rise, Decline and Revival." In African Intellectuals: Rethinking Politics, Language, Gender and Development, edited by T. Mkandawire. London: 2005.
- Mbembe, A. On the Postcolony. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2001.
- Milne, J. Kwame Nkrumah: A Biography. London: 1999.
- Kwame Nkrumah: The Conakry Years, His Life and Letters. London: 1990.
- Mutiso, G., and S. W. Rohio, eds. Readings in African Political Thought. London: 1975.
- Ninsin, K. A. "The Nkrumah Government and the Opposition on the Nation State: Unity vs. Fragmentation." In Arhin, Life and Work.
- Nkrumah, K. Africa Must Unite. London: 1963.
- ——. The Autobiography of Kwame Nkrumah. 1957. Reprint, London: 1973.
- . Axioms of Kwame Nkrumah. London: 1967. . Challenge of the Congo. London: 1967.
- ——. Class Struggle in Africa. London: 1970.
- Consciencism: Philosophy and Ideology for Decolonization. London: 1964.
  Dark Days in Ghana. London: 1968.
  Handbook of Revolutionary Warfare. London: 1968.

- —. Neo-colonialism: The Last Stage of Imperialism. London: 1965.
- ———. Revolutionary Path. London: 1973. ———. Rhodesia File. London: 1976.
- —. The Struggle Continues: Six Pamphlets. London: 1973.
- Nugent, P. Africa since Independence. London: 2004.
- ———. Smugglers, Secessionists and Loyal Citizens. London: 2002.
- Nwaubani, E. The United States and Decolonization in West Africa, 1950-1960. Rochester, NY: University of Rochester Press, 2001.
- Nyerere, J. Freedom and Unity (Uhuru na Umojo): A Selection from Writings and Speeches, 1952–1965. Oxford: 1967.
- Nye, J. S. Pan-Africanism and East African Integration. Cambridge: 1966.
- Nzongola-Ntalaja, G. The Congo from Leopold to Kabila: A People's History. London: 2002.
- Obiri-Addo, E. Kwame Nkrumah: A Case Study of Religion and Politics in Ghana. Lanham, MD: University Press of America, 1999.
- Ofosu-Appiah, L. H. The Life and Times of J. B. Danquah. Accra: 1974.

Omari, P. Kwame Nkrumah: The Anatomy of an African Dictatorship. London: 1970.

Owusu, M. Uses and Abuses of Political Power: A Case Study of Continuity and Change in the Politics of Ghana. Chicago: 1970.

Padmore, G. The Gold Coast Revolution. London: 1953.

Panford, K. "Elections and Democratic Transition in Ghana, 1991–1996." In Gros, Democratization, 113–27.

Pobee, J. S. Kwame Nkrumah and the Church in Ghana, 1949–1966. Accra: 1988.

Poe, D. Zizwe. Kwame Nkrumah's Contribution to Pan-Africanism: An Afrocentric Analysis. New York: 2003.

Powell, E. Private Secretary (Female)/Gold Coast. London: 1984.

Quarm, S. E. Diplomatic Servant Reflections of a Pioneer in Ghana's Diplomatic Service. Accra: 1995.

Rahman, A. A. The Regime Change of Kwame Nkrumah: Epic Heroism in Africa and the Diaspora. New York: 2007.

Rathbone, R. J. A. R. *British Documents on the End of Empire: Ghana*. 2 vols. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office, 1992.

——. "Ghana." In West African States: Failure and Promise, edited by John Dunn. Cambridge: 1978.

Raymond, R. Black Star in the Wind. London: 1960.

Resolutions and Selected Speeches from the Sixth Pan-African Congress (Dar es Salaam: Tanzania Publishing House, 1976)

Rimmer, D. Staying Poor: Ghana's Political Economy, 1950–1990. London: 1992.

Rooney, D. Kwame Nkrumah: A Political Kingdom in the Third World. London: 1988.

Sarbah, J. M. Fanti Customary Laws. London: 1904.

----. Fanti National Constitution. London: 1906.

Sherwood, M. "Kwame Nkrumah: The London Years, 1945–47." In *Africans in Britain*, edited by D. Killingray. London: 1994.

———. Kwame Nkrumah: The Years Abroad, 1935–1947. Accra: 1996.

Smertin, Y. Kwame Nkrumah. Moscow: 1987.

Smith, E. W. Aggrey of Africa: A Study in Black and White. London: 1929.

Stockwell, J. In Search of Enemies: A CIA Story. London: 1978.

Sutherland, B., and M. Meyer. Guns and Gandhi in Africa: Pan-African Insights on Non-Violence, Armed Struggle and Liberation in Africa. Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press, 2000.

Tetteh, M. N. The Ghana Young Pioneer Movement: A Youth Organisation in the Kwame Nkrumah Era. Accra: 1999.

Thompson, S. Ghana's Foreign Policy: 1957–1966. Princeton, NJ: 1969.

Thompson, V. B. Africa and Unity: The Evolution of Pan-Africanism. London: 1969.

Tignor, R. L. W. Arthur Lewis and the Birth of Development Economics. Princeton, NJ: 2006.

Timothy, B. Kwame Nkrumah: From Cradle to Grave. London: 1981.

Twumasi, Y. "The 1969 Election" In Austin and Luckham, *Politicians and Soldiers*, 140-61.

Wallerstein, I. Africa: The Politics of Unity. New York: 1967.

Walters, R. W. Pan-Africanism in the African Diaspora: An Analysis of Modern Afrocentric Political Movements. Detroit, MI: 1993.

Wright, R. Black Power. London: 1954.

- Yeebo, Z. Ghana, the Struggle for Popular Power: Rawlings, Saviour or Demagogue. London: 1991.
- Young, C. The African Colonial State in Comparative Perspective. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1994.
- ——. Ideology and Development in Africa. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1982.
- Zack-Williams, T. Africa in Crisis: New Challenges and Possibilities. London: 1992.
- Zolberg, R. A. Creating Political Order: The Party States of West Africa. Chicago: 1966.

# Selected Articles in Journals

- Arden-Clarke, C. "Eight Years of Transition in Ghana." African Affairs 57, no. 226 (January 1958).
- Abugre, C. "In Defence of Kwame Nkrumah." *Africa World Review*, November 1992–April 1993.
- Amin, A. Julius. "The Perils of Missionary Diplomacy: The United States Peace Corps Volunteers in the Republic of Ghana." Western Journal of Black Studies, Spring 1999.
- Crook, R. "Decolonization, the Colonial State and Chieftaincy in the Gold Coast." *African Affairs* 85, no. 338 (1986): l.
- Drah, F. K. "Nkrumah and Constitutional Democracy: 1949–1966 Revisited." Research Review (Institute of African Studies, Ghana), n.s., 8, nos. 1–2 (1992).
- Folson, B. D. G. "The Development of Socialist Ideology in Ghana, 1949–1959: Part I." *Ghana Social Science Journal* 1, no. 1 (May 1971): 1–20.
- ——. "The Period of African Socialism, 1959–1962: Part II." *Ghana Social Science Journal* 1, no. 2 (November 1971): 1–20.
- Hodgkin, T. "Nkrumah's Radicalism." Presence Africaine, n.s. (bilingual), 85, no. 1 (1973).
- Hulikaras, A. "Qaddafi's Comeback: Libya and Sub-Saharan Africa in the 1990s." *African Affairs* 100 (2001).
- Imobighe, T. A. "African High Command: The Search for a Feasible Strategy of Continental Defence." *African Affairs* 79, no. 315 (1980).
- James, C. L. R. "Kwame Nkrumah: Founder of African Emancipation." Black World, July 1972.
- Jeffries, R., and C. Thomas, "The Ghanaian Elections of 1992." *African Affairs* 92 (1993): 331–66.
- Killick, T. "Price Controls in Africa: The Ghanaian Experience." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 11, no. 3 (1973).
- King, K. "James E. K. Aggrey: Collaborator, Nationalist, Pan-Africanist." Canadian Journal of African Studies 3 (1969).
- Kraus, J. "On the Politics of Nationalism and Social Change in Ghana." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 7, no. 1 (1969).
- Levey, Z. "The Rise and Decline of a Special Relationship: Israel and Ghana, 1957–1966." *African Studies Review* 46, no. 1 (April 2003): 155–77.
- Mazrui, A. "Nkrumah: The Leninist Czar." Transition 75/76 (1999).
- Metz, S. "In Lieu of Orthodoxy: The Socialist Theories of Nkrumah and Nyerere." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 20, no. 3 (September 1982): 377–92.
- Mohan, J. "Ghana Parliament and Foreign Policy, 1957–1960." *The Economic Bulletin of Ghana* 10, no. 4 (1966): 29–52.
- ——. "Ghana, the Congo and the United Nations." *Journal of Modern African Studies* 17, no. 3 (1969).
- -----. "Nkrumah and Nkrumaism." Socialist Register (1967): 191–228.

- Moyo, J. N. "The African Renaissance: A Critical Assessment." South African Political Economic Monthly, May 1998.
- Nkrumah, G. "Fathia Nkrumah: Farewell to All That." *Al-Ahram Weekly*, September 14–20, 2000.
- Nkrumah, K. "What I Mean by Socialism." *Africa and the World* 2, no. 22 (July 1966): 5–10.
- Ntuli, P. "Who's Afraid of the African Renaissance?" Indicator South Africa 2 (1999).
- Nugent, P. "Winners, Losers and Also Rans: Money, Moral Authority and Voting Patterns in the Ghana 2000 Elections." *African Affairs* 100 (2001).
- Oquaye, M. "The Ghanaian Elections of 1992—A Dissenting View." *African Affairs* 94 (1995): 259–75.
- Rimmer, D. "The Crisis in the Ghana Economy." Journal of Modern African Studies 4, no. 1 (1966).
- ——. "Notes on the Economic Outlook and the Budget." *Economic Bulletin* 4, no. 8–9 (1960).
- Saloway, R. "The New Gold Coast." International Affairs 31 (1955).
- Tieku, I. "Explaining the Clash and Accommodation of Interests of Major Actors in the Creation of the African Union." *African Affairs* 103 (2004).
- Weissman, S. R. "An Extraordinary Rendition." *Intelligence and National Security* 25, no. 2 (2010): 198–222.

#### Videos

Curtis, Adam. "Black Power." Episode 5 of *Pandora's Box*. British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC), 1992.

### Theses

- Afari-Gyan, K. "The Political Ideas of Kwame Nkrumah." PhD diss. University of Ghana, 1974.
- Ahipeaud, M. J. "Elite Ideologies and the Politics of Media: A Critical History of Ivorien Elite Ideologies and Their Press from the Brazzaville Conference to the December 24th 1999 Military Coup." PhD diss. University of London, 2003.
- Nkrumah, K. "Mind and Thought in Primitive Society: A Study in Ethno-Philosophy with Special Reference to the Akan Peoples of the Gold Coast, West Africa." PhD diss. (draft). [University of Pennsylvania, ca. 1945.] National Archive of Ghana (SC21/10/1).
- Rathbone, R. J. A. R. "The Transfer of Power in Ghana, 1945–1957." PhD diss. University of London, 1968.

# Unpublished Papers

Bekoe, E. "The Peace Corps and Ghana." Unpublished paper, 2004.

## Websites

Amin, J. A. "The Perils of Missionary Diplomacy: The United States Peace Corps Volunteers in the Republic of Ghana." Accessed December 12, 2007. http://www.questia.com/reader/print.

# Index

Adjei, Ako, 14, 18, 29, 35, 37, 44, 92, 139 African Affairs Centre (AAC), 143 African Affairs Secretariat (AAS), 143–45 African High Command (AHC), 142, 154, 181, 190  African Morning Post, 13, 60 African National Congress (ANC), 179 African Socialism, 4, 31, 87, 107, 128 African Union (AU), 8, 173, 178 African Youth Command (AYC), 184 Afrifa, Akwasi A., 155 Aggrey, James Emman Kwegyir, 12, 13, 17, 19, 22, 48, 78, 101, 113, 188 Aggrey Students' Society, 18 Akpabio, Ibanga, 18 Akpata, Bankole, 29, 32 Akuffo-Addo, A. E., 37 Akyem Abuakwa, 47, 51, 61, 83, 84 Algeria, 4, 139, 145, 149, 179 Ali, Muhammad, 163 All African Committee for Political Co-	Allua, Esi, 44 Aluko, James, 147 Amegbe, G. K., 43 Ametewee, Seth, 94–95 Amoah, 159 Amoro, W. A., 92 Amponsah, Reginald Reynolds, 68, 84–85 Amu, Ephraim, 113 Angola, 4, 164, 191 Ankrah, J. A., 2, 96, 155–56, 158, 171 Ankrah, Twumasi, 66 Annan, Nii Odom, 32 Antor, S. G., 84 Appiah, Joe, 29–30, 68 Arden-Clarke, Charles, 41, 44, 48–49, 54–56, 61, 68, 70–72, 189 Armah, Kwesi, 151 Armitage, R. P., 58 Arthur, S, 162 Aryeetey, Deede, 44 Asabea Ayisi, Akua, 114 Asante Youth Association (AYA), 66 Ashanti by election, 71 Ashanti chiefs, 84, 113
All African Committee for Political Co-	Ashanti by election, 71
ordination (AACPC), 164	Ashanti chiefs, 84, 113
•	Ashanti Confederacy, 69, 84
137–38	Ashanti Ordinance, 71–72

Ashanti Pioneer, 115 Brockway, Fenner, 35 Ashanti region, 36, 45, 47, 51, 52, 62, 65, Buah, J. B., 170 68, 70, 72, 73, 74–77, 84, 140 Bunche, Ralph, 26, 77 Ashanti Times, 74 Bureau of African Affairs (BAA), 138, 143-Ashwood Garvey, Amy, 26 44, 146 Association of African Students (AAS), 18 Burnett Harvey, William, 116 Association of African Students (AAS) in the Burns, Emile, 33 United States & Canada, 18-21, 26 Busia, Kofi. A., 8, 53, 74, 76, 84, 147, 162, Atta-Kesson, Roland, 186 174, 182–83 Atta Mills, John, 188 Attoh Ahuma, S. R. B., 120-21 Cabral, Amilcar, 2, 8, 160, 164–65, 167, Awolowo, Obafemi, 32 Camara, Sana, 158, 172 Awooner Williams, Francis, 38 Awoonor-Renner, Bankole, 32-33 Cameroon, 4 Ayeh-Kumi, E., 90 Carmichael, Stokely (Kwame Ture), 159, Ayeke, Kojo, 84 160–61, 167 Ayer, A. J., 30 Carter, Beverley, 15, 16 Casablanca Accords, 105 Ayew, John, 36 Azikiwe, Nnamdi, 13, 184 Casablanca Conference, 142 Casablanca Group, 143–46 Baako, Kofi, 119 Casely-Hayford, Archie, 44, 77 Badu, Kofi, 184 Casely-Hayford, J. E., 78, 113 Baffoe, E. Y., 65-66 Circle, The, 33-34, 37 Baidoo, John, 70 Cocoa Marketing Board (CMB), 62 Baidoo-Ansah, W., 161 Cocoa Purchasing Company (CPC), 62, Balewa, Abubakar Tafewa Sir, 144-45, 147 Banda, Hastings, 32 Coffie-Crabbe, H. H., 91–92, 95 Bandung Conference, 135, 151 Cold War, 1, 2, 8, 54–55, 112, 135, 139, Barden, A. K., 138, 144, 146, 148 151-52, 191 Colonial civil service, 48-50, 55, 87-88, Basner, Hyman, 91–92, 95, 97, 116–17, 125-26, 144, 148-49, 177 103, 109, 137, 157 Batsa, Kofi, 92-93, 95, 119, 145, 153, 185 Committee of Youth Organisations (CYO), Bawumia, M., 60 37–40 Belgium in Congo crisis, 140 Communist Party of Great Britain (CPGB), Bellamy, Joan, 93 32 - 34Conference of Independent African States Bellamy, Ron, 93 Big Six, 37 (CIAS), 120, 136–37, 139, 143, 145 Bing, Geoffrey, 85 Congo Brazzaville, 154 Black Power, 159, 164, 167-68 Congo crisis, 116, 123, 132, 140-43, 149, Black Star Shipping Line, 100 152, 154, 181 Blay, R. S., 36 Constitutive Act, of African Union, 181

Bonne, Nii Kwabena, 44

Convention People's Party (CPP), 2, 5, 7,

Botsio, Kojo, 30–32, 34–35, 37, 40, 44, 57,
 74, 77, 90, 117, 152, 185–86

Bottomley, J. R. A., 83

Bourguiba, Habib, 127

Brezhnev, Leonid, 104

Britain relations with Ghana post
Convention People's Party (CPP), 2, 5, 7,

16, 37, 40–45, 47, 49–79, 81, 83, 84,

88, 100, 127, 140, 157, 176

Council of African Affairs (CAA), 15, 26

Coussey Committee, 39

Creech Jones, Arthur, 35

Crummell, Alexander, 188

Cuffee, Paul, 188

Continental Union Government of Africa, 8, 122, 167, 179–80, 190

Blyden, Edward Wilmot, 120, 125, 188

independence, 104, 150–51, 154

Bognar, Josef, 109

Dagarti, Salifu, 94-95, 177 Garvey, Marcus, 12, 18, 21, 26, 35, 44, 48, Danquah, Joseph Boakye, 8, 25, 35-39, 42, 77–78, 100, 166, 188 51, 84, 88–89, 96, 105, 114, 174 Gbedemah, Komla, 37, 43-44, 57-58, 62, 74, 77, 90, 99, 111 Danquah, Mabel Dove, 114 Ghana Congress Party (GCP), 53, 60-61, Davies, H. O., 32 Dawn Broadcast, 89-90, 113, 157, 189 Dayan, Moshe, 108 Ghana National Trading Corporation (GNTC), 97 de Gaulle, Charles, 137, 176 Ghana News Agency (GNA), 114 de Graft Johnson, J. W., 36 Ghana Women's Movement, 123 Dei-Anang, Michael, 104, 119, 143–45, Ghana Young Pioneer Movement (GYPM), 147, 151 87, 97 Deku, A. K., 155-56 Gold Coast Marketing Board (GCCMB), 51 Deku, T., 95 Gold Coast Trade Union Congress Diallo, Abdoullaye, 139 (GCTUC), 53 Diori, Hamani, 147, 150 Gorell Barnes, W. L., 58-59 Disu, Abdul Karim, 14 Gowon, Yakubu, 169 Djan, Ohene, 51 Grant, George. Alfred, 35-36 Djin, A., 141–43 Guevara, Ernesto (pseud. Che), 163 Djin, A. Y., 157 Guinea, 4, 48, 127, 137–39, 152, 154, 158, Doku, Sophia, 44 160-62, 164, 171-72, 175-77, 181 Dombo, S. D., 60, 62, 136 Guinea-Bissau, 122, 164 Dosumu-Johnson, Thomas, 15 DuBois, David, 186 Habib Nyiang, Ikoku, 93 DuBois, W. E. B., 22, 31–32, 77, 121, 186, Hammond, Duwuona, 63 188 Hansen, Johnny, 186 Duku, Kofi, 55 Hassan, M. M., 93 Dutt, Palme, 37 Higgs, Jeffrey. J., 16 Dzenkle, Dzewu, 53, 85 Hinden, Rita, 35 Dzirasa, Stephen, 119 Hirshmann, Albert, 109 Horton, James Africanus, 25, 120, 188 East African Federation, 148 Houphouet-Boigny, Felix, 3, 108, 110, 135, Eastern Bloc, 5, 88, 89, 104-5, 151-52 146–47, 150, 174, 175, 176, 177 Economic Community of West African Hunton, Alphaeus, 26, 121–22 States (ECOMOG), 180 Hutton Mills, Tommy, 59 Edusei, Krobo, 44, 69, 71-72, 77, 85, 90-91, 137 Ikoku, Sam. G., 93, 147 Egala, Imoru, 185 International Confederation of Free Trade Egypt, 4, 108, 136 Unions (ICFTU), 53, 138 Essy, Amara, 181 Israel, relations with Ghana, 83, 87, 102, Ethiopia, 4, 13, 36, 136, 139, 149 105, 108

Fanon, Frantz, 8, 163–66 Fischer, George, 11 Freeman, Robert. T., 16 Frimpong-Ansah, Jonathan, 95

113 - 14

Gaddafi, Muammar al-, 179, 180–82 Gardiner, Robert Kweku, 18

Evening News, 41, 43, 48, 56, 63, 92,

Jackson commission, 83–84 Jagan, Cheddi, 54 James, Cyril Lionel Robert (C. L. R.), 26, 29, 94 Jesse Jones, Thomas, 17 Johnson, Christine, 168 Jones, Claudia, 25 Jones-Quartey, K. A. B., 14, 26 Juantuah, K. P. S., 186 Juvento, 147 Juxon-Smith, A., 161 Kaldor, Nicholas, 109 Mbeki, Thabo, 179-80 Kankam-Boadu, F. R., 29, 31 Mboya, Tom, 169 Kanu, Genoveva, 82, 160 Mensah, J. H., 109-10 Karefa-Smart, John, 18 Menson, Tachie, 53, 137 Kasavubu, Joseph, 140, 142 Miller, Philip, 17 Kaunda, Kenneth, 3 Keita, Modibo, 127, 145, 150, 174 Milliard, Peter, 32 Keita, Seydou, 172 Kenyatta, Jomo, 2, 8, 30, 32, 108, 169, 174, 175 Killick, Tony, 4, 7, 105-7, 109 Koi, Ansah, 58 Mozambique, 4, 164, 191 Kole, Mate, 53 Konu, B. A., 92 74, 76, 176 Korsah, Arku, 94 Kotoka, Eric K., 96, 155-56 Nartey, E. S., 52 Kuffour, John Agyekum, 188 Kufuor, B. K., 70 Kulungugu, 91–92, 94 (NASSO), 87 Kusi, B. F., 70 Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute (NCBWA), 13, 36 (KNII), 97 (NCGW), 87-88 Kwame Nkrumah Welfare Society (KNWS), 185 - 86Lamptey, Kwesi, 37, 52–53 187 - 88Lamptey, Obetsebi, 37, 93 Larbi, Koi, 32 161–62, 171 Larden, Alfai, 60 Lewis, Arthur, 57, 100, 109, 110 Libya, 4, 136, 179-81 Limann, Hilla, 186 London School of Economics (LSE), 30, 57 Lumumba, Patrice, 82, 140 (NUG), 184 Luxemburg, Rosa, 170 Nedugire, John, 186 Lyttelton, Oliver, 50, 54 Negritude, 120

Madjitey, E. R. T., 94–95, 156
Mahoney, William, 117, 152
Makeba, Miriam, 160
Makonnen, Ras T., 30, 32–33, 87, 148
Malawi, 4
Mali, 4, 127, 150, 158, 175–77
Manchester Pan-African Congress, 31, 136
Manley, Florence, 29, 82
Manley, Norman, 77
Marke, Ernest, 31
Markham, Jimmy, 43

Marshment, John, 160 Marxism and Nkrumah, 132, 162-63 Mbadiwe, Ozuomba, 18-20, 25 Military Intelligence (MI), 93, 96, 186 Milne, June, 112, 159, 160–67, 169–72 Monrovia Group, 143, 145-46 Mouvement Nacional Congolais/Congolese National Movement (MNC), 140 Muslim Association Party (MAP), 60, 66, Nasser, Gamal Abdel, 82-83, 112, 142 National Association of Socialist Students National Congress of British West Africa National Council of Ghanaian Women National Democratic Congress (NDC), National Democratic Party (NDP), 42 National Liberation Council (NLC), 158, National Liberation Movement (NLM), 45, 65–70, 72–77, 89, 140, 189 National Redemption Council (NRC), 183 National Security Council (NSC), 157 National Union of Ghanaian Students Newton-Hill, J., 16 Nikoe, Ashie, 36, 53, 85 Nketia, Nana Kwabena, 155 Nkrumah, Fathia Helen Rizk, 82-83, 160, 171, 185 Nkrumah, Francis, 186 Nkrumah, Kwame Africa Must Unite, 119, 122, 123-24, 131, 169 assassination attempts, 72, 82, 84, 91–95,

116, 152-53, 156, 177

boycott of 1948, 37

Challenge of the Congo, 140-41 Orizu, Nwafor, 18-20 Circle, The, 33, 34, 37 Osagyefo, 34, 102, 174 Consciencism, 119-20, 125-28, 133, Osei Akoto, Bafour, 65 165-67, 174, 188 Osman, Yacub, 30 and education policies, 55, 63, 87, 90, Otoo, Eric, 93 101–2, 104, 106, 109, 114–16, Otu, Stephen, 96, 156 121, 129, 131, 153, 162 Owusu-Ansah, J., 147 Ideological Institute, 97, 102–4, 190 Owuya, K. Quashie, 43 imprisonment at James Fort, 42, 95 as leader of government business, 44 Padmore, George, 29, 30-33, 35, 53, 55, marriage, 81-83, 130, 170 57–58, 61, 63, 82, 86–87, 107, 123, 136-37, 146, 190 "Motion of Destiny" speech, 53 OAU, 8, 109, 143, 155, 173 death of, 138, 144, 147 positive action, 2, 31, 38, 40–42, 47, 49, Pan-African Congress (PAC) 139, 160-62 Fifth PAC, 30, 32, 40 Pan-African Federation (PAF), 30 and tactical action, 47–50, 56–57, 63 role in the Vietnam War, 135, 154-56, Pan-African Youth Movement (PANYMO), 158, 163-64 184-85 at Watson Commission Parti de la Federation Africaine (PFA), 176 West African National Secretariat Parti Democratique de Guinea (PDG), 167, (WANS), formation of, 32, 33, 35, Party for the Independence of Guinea and Nkrumah, Samia Yaba, 187 Cape Verde Islands (PAIGC), 160 Nkrumaism, 87, 89, 119, 162 Party Vanguard Activists (PVA's), 87 non-alignment, 135, 139, 141, 151, 155 Peace Corps, 152–53 Northern People's Party (NPP), 60-63, 66, People's Daily Graphic, 186 74–76, 89 People's National Party (PNP), 185 Nujoma, Sam, 2, 186 People's Progressive Party (PPP), 54 Nyamikeh, N., 159, 172 Phelps Stokes Fund, 17–18 Nyemetei, H. P., 53 Plange, Kwesi, 39 Nyerere, Julius, 4, 8, 127–29, 135, 148–50, Poku, Osei, 162 174, 179, 190 Positive Action, 2, 31, 38, 40–42, 47, 49, Nzeribe, Arthur, 161 139, 160–62 positive neutrality, 151 Obasanjo, Olusegun, 179-80 Powell, Erica, 11, 72, 82 O'Brien, Conor, 116-17 Powell, Adam Clayton, 25 Ocran, Lee, 187 Presidential Detail Department (PDD), 93, Ocran, Turkson, 53-55 95, 97, 130, 156 Odebiyi, Afolabi, 29 Presidential Own Guard Regiment (POGR), Odinga, Oginga, 169 89, 92, 95, 156 Ofori Atta, William, 36–37 Preventive Detention Act (PDA), 84–85, 93, 157, 173-74 Ogden, Denis, 93 Ojike, Mbono, 18, 20 Provisional National Defence Council Olympio, Sylvanus, 135, 147, 153 (PNDC), 185-87 Omaboe, E. N., 109 one-party state Quaison-Sackey, Alex, 151 in Ghana, 2, 5, 6, 91, 102, 116, 174–77 Organisation Commune Africaine et Randall, Roland, 160 Malgache (OCAM), 150 Randolph, A. Philip, 77 Organisation of African Unity (OAU), 8, Rattray, R. S., 25, 121 Rawlings, Jerry John, 182, 185-87

109, 143, 155, 173

Tsiboe, John, 75

Reitsch, Hanna, L., 159 Tsiboe, Nancy, 75 Ribeiro, Miguel Augustus, 153 Tsikata, Kojo, 186 Robeson, Paul, 26 Tubman, William, 35, 136, 138-39 Rogers Douglas, 82 Tunisia, 4, 127, 136, 138 Roseveare, Richard Reginald, 102 Russell, A. C., 72, 77 Udo, Idiong Asuogo, 14 Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR), Saloway, Reginald, 41–42 5, 54, 104, 145, 152 Sarbah, John Mensah, 25, 120-21 relations with Ghana, 133, 151-52 Sarkodee Addo, Julius, 84, 95 United Arab Republic (UAR), 136 Scheck, Saki, 53 United Gold Coast Convention (UGCC), Seers, Dudley, 109 35, 53, 188 Sekyi, Kobina, 113 United Nations (UN), 3, 124, 140-41 Selassie, Haile, 145 United Nations Operation in the Congo Sendwe, Joseph, 140 (ONUC), 141-42 Senghor, Leopold, 8–9, 35, 108, 120, 128, United Party (UP), 84, 105, 188 174, 176 United States of America Seven Year Plan, 5, 59, 109–10, 111, 152 relations with Ghana, 152-53 Shabazz, Betty, 186 Universal Declaration of Independence Sharpe, Granville, 85 (UDI), 154 Smith, E. W., 18 Universal Negro Improvement Association socialism, 4, 26, 31–32, 39, 56, 63, 86–87, (UNIA), 26 89, 90, 94, 98, 103, 106–7, 109, 116, University of Lincoln, 13–17, 19, 21, 25– 119, 126–29, 163, 166–68, 170, 175, 27, 44, 51, 121 182, 187, 190-91 University of Pennsylvania, 17, 23, 26–27 Southern Rhodesia, 146, 150-51, 153-54, Van Lare, W. B., 94 Spark, The, 92-93, 119 Van Lare Report, 57 Stevens, Siaka, 161 Vietnam War. See Nkrumah, Kwame: role in Stokes Phelps, Anson, 18 the Vietnam War Sudan, 136, 154 Vile, R. J., 70, 73 Sule, Yusuf Maitima, 139 Volta River Project (VRP), 45, 58, 99, 100, Swanzy, Kwaw, 32 103, 107, 111–12, 152 Tactical Action (TA), 47–50, 56–57, 63 Tambo, Oliver, 186 Wachuku, Jaja, 32 Wallace Johnson, I. T. A., 13, 32 Tanzania, 4, 127, 135, 148, 154, 179, 184 Washington, Booker T., 22 Taylor, Kurankyi, 75 Watson, Aiken (Watson Commission), 37, Tettegah, John, 185 39, 48 Thompson, Dudley, 30 Welbeck, Nathaniel, 44, 77, 117, 142 Timothy, Bankole, 52 West African National Secretariat (WANS), Togoland Congress (TC), 45, 60, 66, 79, 32-33, 35, 38 147 West African Pilot, 184 Touré, Andree, 159 West African Students Union (WASU), 29 Touré, Sékou, 8, 127, 137-38, 145, 158-Whiteman, Hubert, 26 61, 164, 171–72, 174, 176, 183 Williams, Mennen, 153 Towards Colonial Freedom, 24, 34, 55, 106, Williams Awooner, Francis, 38 127 Trades Union Congress (TUC), 42, 53, 127 Wilson, Frank W., 15

Wilson, Harold Prime Minister, 153-54

Winneba. See Kwame Nkrumah Ideological Institute (KNII) Wood, Samuel. R., 13-14 World Federation of Trades Union (WFTU), Wright, Richard, 30, 38, 43

X, Malcolm, 159, 186

Yakubu, B. A., 155 Yankey, Ambrose, 93, 170 Yeboah, M., 162 Yergan, Max, 15, 26 Young Pioneer Movement (YPM), 87, 102

Zambia, 3, 154, 183 Zanlerigu, David, 89